Abortion and breast cancer risk for Australian women

To the Editor: Recent comments in the media have renewed attention on the question of whether abortion is a risk factor for breast cancer, despite broad acceptance internationally in the scientific, medical and cancer advocacy communities that there is no association.

It was demonstrated in 2004 that abortion is not a risk factor for breast cancer, based on a combined analysis of prospective cohort study data from around the world. The authors showed empirically that retrospective case-control studies tend to give biased findings, most likely because women with breast cancer (cases) are more likely than controls to report previous abortions. Prospective cohort studies avoid this bias because information on abortions is collected before any of the women develop the disease. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis of findings from studies of Chinese women reported an apparent increased breast cancer risk associated with abortion based on case-control studies, but no evidence of association based on prospective cohort studies. Several other large prospective cohort studies have published consistently null results since 2004.

In 1990-1994, the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study recruited 24018 Victorian women aged 40–69 years who were free of breast cancer, and collected information on risk factors, including all pregnancies. The questionnaire did not differentiate between spontaneous and induced abortions. Using record linkage with the Victorian Cancer Registry, we determined that 1235 of these women were subsequently diagnosed with breast cancer, up to 30 December 2012. We assessed associations prospectively using Cox regression, with age as the time variable, considered from recruitment to breast cancer diagnosis, and censored at death, permanent departure from Victoria or 30 December 2012. Analyses were adjusted for country, year of birth and socioeconomic status. Ever having had an abortion in the first trimester was not associated with breast cancer risk (hazard ratio [HR], 1.06; 95% CI, 0.94–1.20). The result was similar after additional adjustment for age at menarche, oral contraceptive use, number of full-term pregnancies, age at first birth and lifetime breastfeeding (HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.94–1.22). The result was also similar for abortion before first full-term pregnancy (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.81–1.16) and for abortion in the first two trimesters (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.92–1.17).

Consistent with international research findings, abortion is not associated with risk of breast cancer for Australian women.
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New TGA warning label for use of NSAIDs in fluid-depleted children

To the Editor: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been very widely used for many years in Australia and elsewhere, in both prescription and non-prescription settings.

Although their potential for gastrointestinal side effects is generally well understood within the community, the capacity for NSAIDs to cause renal damage, even after short-term use in susceptible individuals, is less well appreciated.

It has been well documented that the use of NSAIDs in those who are fluid-depleted, including their short-term use in otherwise healthy individuals, can lead to renal failure, albeit reversible. On 23 May this year, the Therapeutic Goods Administration updated its Medicines Advisory Statements on labels for non-prescription medicines. Included was a warning about paediatric products containing NSAIDs. The wording of the advisory statement is “Ask your doctor or pharmacist before use of the medicine in children suffering from dehydration through diarrhoea and/or vomiting.”

As the person who initiated the request to have this warning label added, my intention was to have this warning added to all non-prescription NSAID-containing products for both adults and children, because we know that people who are renally compromised for any reason are...
at risk of kidney damage from the use of NSAIDs. This, of course, includes those taking some antihypertensive medications containing a diuretic, the well known “triple whammy” effect.4,5

Nevertheless, I hope that the warning label on paediatric products containing NSAIDs will alert parents and carers to be vigilant if giving these medicines to children in their care, and to check with their doctor or pharmacist if the child is fluid-depleted from diarrhoea or vomiting.
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Will current health reforms in south and east Asia improve equity?

To the Editor: Hipgrave and Hort review health reform initiatives in south and east Asia and draw attention to the increasing privatisation of services and the inequity created as the poor have less access.1 More importantly, countries in south and east Asia are enjoying a period of economic development that has resulted in urbanisation and lifestyle change, specifically dietary change, in both urban and rural populations. This has resulted in a nutrition transition, which is associated with the chronic non-communicable disease (NCD) “epidemic”.2 The trends are that the poor in these countries will have higher levels of risk factors for chronic NCD.3

Health systems in most low and middle income countries have been designed for episodic care for acute conditions, and not for primary health care involving the continuity of care required for managing chronic disease. Second, most of these countries have made negligible investments for prevention of risk factors through population-based programs. Third, intersectoral policies to deal with “upstream” issues, such as marketing of unhealthy food, are not yet in their reform agenda.

Australia’s success in tobacco control and reduction of HIV/AIDS are lessons that we can share. However, the same cannot be said of food policy, and many countries have failed to resist the pressures of the multinational food industry.4 Countries in south and east Asia require intersectoral policies on healthy food to achieve a long-term, sustainable solution to health inequity.5

Rohan Jayasuriya
Associate Professor
School of Public Health and Community Medicine,
University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW.

r.jayasuriya@unsw.edu.au

Competing interests: No relevant disclosures.
doi: 10.5694/mja14.00996

In reply: Jayasuriya draws attention to the increasing problem of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) among countries in our region, and we agree that this contributes to health inequity. Prevention of NCDs requires action across many sectors, public and private, and at state, subnational, community and individual levels. Managing NCDs creates additional challenges for effective and equitable health service delivery. However, NCD-related services are only one example of currently ineffective primary health care in developing countries. We maintain that — across the disease spectrum — inadequate health reform and the lack of attention to health across sectors, the lack of public finance to provide equal access to services (including community-level preventive screening for and management of chronic NCDs), and inadequate attention to social determinants of health remain key to improving health equity.
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Inappropriate use of dual energy absorptiometry body composition estimation

To the Editor: Bone densitometry by means of dual energy absorptiometry (DXA) has been the gold standard for estimating bone mineral density (BMD) for over 3 decades and is pivotal in the current management of osteoporosis. DXA technology relies on measuring the attenuation of two different x-ray energies that can be used to calculate BMD or, alternatively, soft tissue mass, including fat and lean tissue mass. Over the past few years, there has been increasing use of DXA for estimating fat and lean tissue in body composition. These parameters are widely used in research into diseases that affect body composition (such as HIV, obesity and eating disorders), and increasingly in related clinical settings. While using DXA in this way is beneficial in some patients, there is anecdotal evidence of growing inappropriate use or overuse of DXA body composition estimation in monitoring weight...
loss or exercise programs, often supplied by non-medical practitioners.

Two problems are worth highlighting. First, a number of body composition scan providers imply that total body BMD, provided automatically with the whole body composition scans, can provide a diagnosis of osteoporosis. This is inconsistent with World Health Organization guidelines of osteoporosis being indicated by a T score for bone density that is 2.5 SD or more below the young adult mean, which applies only to the lumbar spine, proximal femur and mid shaft radius but does not apply to total body BMD. Applying the criterion of \( T \leq -2.5 \) SD to the whole body BMD, as provided by body composition scans, will underestimate the prevalence of osteoporosis.

Second, some providers recommend that DXA for estimating body composition be performed 3-monthly. Although DXA for estimating body composition delivers a low radiation dose, the radiation safety principle of ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) should always be followed and there is no published evidence supporting this scan frequency. Unfortunately as DXA for estimating body composition falls outside Medicare, the federal government has no incentive to act. Moreover the low radiation dose from DXA results in relatively little attention from state radiation regulators.
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**Multiple mini interview performance of repeat applicants to medical school admission**

**To the Editor:** As the use of the multiple mini interview (MMI) for selecting applicants for admission to health professional programs increases, so does the number of coaching classes assumed to help improve performance on the MMI. Moreover, applicants applying to several professional programs have multiple opportunities to gain experience in a high-stakes environment. These realities usher concerns about the influence of “practice effect” on subsequent MMI performance. While short-term prior access to MMI questions did not influence applicants’ performance in a Canadian medical school, in Australia, MMI participation in the year after the original attempt improved scores on stations (short, structured interviews to assess personal qualities such as communication, professionalism, ethics skills) that were the same or similar to original MMI stations.

At the University of Manitoba, Canada, about 25% of 1151 unique applicants to the Faculty of Medicine’s Undergraduate Medical Education program from 2008 to 2012 were those unsuccessful at gaining medical school admission in previous years. While 856 applicants (74.4%) appeared once for the MMI, 208 (18.1%) appeared twice, 64 (5.6%) appeared thrice, 16 (1.4%) appeared four times and seven (0.6%) appeared five times. In the faculty’s 12-station MMI, 88 unique question stems or station scenarios were used in the 5-year period. The number of questions used once, twice, thrice, four and six times was 46, 18, 17, 5 and 2, respectively, with at least a 1-year gap between original and repeat use, as recommended.

To assess whether the scores of those who repeated the MMI were higher, applicants’ average scores and within-year z scores were compared by calendar year, number and order of attempts and then analysed by multiple linear regression.

There was a decreasing trend in raw MMI scores for all applicants between 2008 and 2012. The mean MMI score at first attempt of one-time applicants was 4.66 (SD, 0.65) on a scale of 1 to 7, while that at first attempt of 295 repeat applicants was 4.25 (SD, 0.60) \((P < 0.001)\). The mean MMI score of all 707 attempts by the 295 repeat applicants improved slightly to 4.38 (SD, 0.64). After adjusting for age, sex, and calendar year, regression parameter estimates associated with 2nd, 3rd and 4th attempts, but not the 5th, gradually and significantly increased relative to the 1st attempt. Yet, only 9.1% of the variability in within-year \( z \)-transformed MMI scores was explained by the selected model.

It is unlikely that repeat attempts at MMI alone systematically and considerably benefited applicants. Other unmeasured and unexplored factors, such as individuals’ personal growth and maturity, may play a role in positively influencing MMI scores. Our study did not account for the effect of coaching that a substantial number of Australian medical school applicants reportedly attend. Despite some limitations in our study design and statistical methods, our results currently do not lend support to the concern that repeated MMI performance results in improved MMI scores.
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**Time to end the ban on HIV-positive proceduralists and dentists**

To the Editor: This year, the ban on HIV-positive surgeons and dentists practising in the United Kingdom was removed on the provision that they are clinically well, are being treated, and have an undetectable viral load.1 This development aligns the UK with over 20 other countries. Australia is lagging behind other more progressive countries on this issue.2

During the height of the HIV epidemic in the early 1990s, there were no HIV transmissions among 22,171 patients exposed to pre-antiretroviral therapy (ART)-era HIV-positive doctors and dentists during invasive operations.3 There have been only 10 published case reports indicating probable transmission from a proceduralist to patients since HIV was first reported.4 The current risk estimate is 1 in 1,672,000.5 No cases of inadvertent transmission have been reported in the literature from countries that allow HIV-positive proceduralists.6 ART is vital for reducing the risk of transmission. Extrapolating from the ongoing PARTNER study, there have been no transmissions between serodiscordant couples who have regular, unprotected, high-risk sex if the positive partner is receiving ART and virally suppressed 2 years into the study.7 The risk during procedures by HIV-positive virally suppressed proceduralists, with the use of standard universal precautions (such as gloves and sterile equipment), would likely be extremely low.

There are two major problems with a blanket ban on HIV-positive proceduralists. First, those facing a career-ending outcome of a test are likely to avoid being tested after an initial negative test result. Second, the wrong message is sent to the public that people with HIV are highly infectious and are somehow dangerous (despite treatment). Lifting the ban would lead to greater incentives for proceduralists to be regularly tested for HIV, leading to better outcomes for them and their patients. This is important as many infected individuals transmit the virus when they are seroconverting and are unaware of their diagnosis.6 Furthermore, postexposure prophylaxis could also be available to patients after possible exposure during a procedure by following occupational exposure guidelines. It is time for Australia to align with other progressive nations and end the absolute ban on HIV-positive proceduralists. The risk of transmission from them, when treated for HIV and using standard precautions, is likely to be negligible.
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