Survey of alcohol-related presentations to Australasian emergency departments

**Abstract**

**Objective:** To determine the proportion of alcohol-related presentations to emergency departments (EDs) in Australia and New Zealand, at a single time point on a weekend night shift.

**Design, setting and participants:** A point prevalence survey of ED patients either waiting to be seen or currently being seen conducted at 02:00 local time on 14 December 2013 in 106 EDs in Australia and New Zealand.

**Main outcome measures:** The number of ED presentations that were alcohol-related, defined using World Health Organization ICD-10 codes.

**Results:** At the 106 hospitals (92 Australia, 14 New Zealand) that provided data, 395 (14.3%; 95% CI, 13.0%–15.6%) of 2766 patients in EDs at the study time were presenting for alcohol-related reasons; 13.8% (95% CI, 12.5%–15.2%) in Australia and 17.9% (95% CI, 13.9%–22.8%) in New Zealand. The distribution was skewed left, with proportions ranging from 0 to 50% and a median of 12.5%. Nine Australian hospitals and one New Zealand hospital reported that more than a third of their ED patients had alcohol-related presentations; the Northern Territory (38.1%) and Western Australia (21.1%) reported the highest proportions of alcohol-related presentations.

**Conclusions:** One in seven ED presentations in Australian and New Zealand at this 02:00 snapshot were alcohol-related, with some EDs seeing more than one in three alcohol-related presentations. This confirms that alcohol-related presentations to EDs are currently underreported and makes a strong case for public health initiatives.

**Methods**

We conducted a survey-based point prevalence study of EDs in Australia and New Zealand using a validated point prevalence “snapshot” method previously used to study access block.15 All EDs in Australia and New Zealand accredited by the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) for specialty training and non-accredited EDs that are part of the Emergency Medicine Education and Training (EMET) teaching network were included. Paediatric-only EDs were excluded from our analysis because alcohol-related presentations are rare among children. A survey instrument consisting of eight questions (Box 1) was developed and piloted by a reference group of emergency physicians and researchers.

Participating EDs were asked to nominate a site coordinator and provide a telephone number for the night of the survey. Each site coordinator was emailed the survey instrument.

---

**Alcohol consumption in excess of that recommended in the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) alcohol guidelines is the norm in Australia.** One in five Australians and New Zealanders drink at a level that increases their lifetime risk of alcohol-related disease or injury. Almost half of Australians aged over 18 years (44.7%) reported consuming an amount of alcohol on a single occasion in the preceding year that put them at an increased risk of acute injury. Emergency physicians are at the forefront of responding to and treating the consequences of alcohol-related harm. This ranges from treating alcohol intoxication and severe injuries sustained as a direct result of intoxication, to managing the acute complications of chronic alcohol-related conditions. While emergency departments (EDs) anecdotally see a high proportion of patients with alcohol-related injuries and conditions, there are very few national or state and territory prevalence data.

At present, it is not mandatory for Australian or New Zealand EDs to screen for or collect alcohol-related presentation data. Consequently, attempts to quantify alcohol-related presentations to EDs through existing datasets are likely to provide underestimates. The literature to date has focused largely on alcohol screening and intervention strategies, and on patients with alcohol-related injuries presenting to EDs. Australasian studies have investigated the association between injuries and alcohol consumption in EDs and found that 17%–35% of total injury presentations to EDs involved alcohol consumption. Several small-scale prospective studies have attempted to quantify all alcohol-related presentations at a single site or local level. They found rates of alcohol-related harm ranging from 5% to 9% of all ED presentations. There have also been some site-specific studies of the impact of alcohol-related presentations on the ED workforce. Previous attempts to quantify harm on a regional level have been limited by having to rely on retrospective data and the use of diagnostic codes. These studies are likely to underestimate the true prevalence of alcohol-related presentations. For example, a Western Australian study found that alcohol-related attendances to metropolitan EDs during 2002–2006 represented around 0.8% of all ED attendances. This study is the first large-scale, binational point prevalence study of alcohol harm in EDs to be carried out in Australia and New Zealand. The data will be used to establish the scale of alcohol-related presentations to EDs. It will provide a benchmark for further surveys, and enable informed community debate on this important public health issue.
and the list of definitions of alcohol-related presentations (Box 2). Site coordinators were asked to educate all staff rostered on at the time of the survey. The clinical definition of alcohol-related presentations (Box 2) was developed by the reference group using a consensus approach. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) codes for alcohol intoxication were used.\(^{16}\) Broadly, the definition included presentations that were directly or indirectly related to alcohol consumption, as judged by the senior doctor in the ED at the time of the survey. Direct presentations were divided into injuries (intentional and unintentional), intoxication and medical conditions related to alcohol use. Direct presentations were for intentional or unintentional injuries caused by a third party who was affected by alcohol. This definition was used as a guide for data collectors only. Individual types of alcohol harm were not recorded as this would have increased complexity and possibly reduced the response rate.

The survey was conducted at 02:00 local time, on Saturday 14 December 2013. The time and date was chosen by the researchers as being feasible for ED clinicians to complete the survey. The site coordinators received a reminder email the day before, and a short message service text message at 01:30 on the survey date. Data could be returned by fax, email or telephone. Where data were not returned by 02:10 local time, sites were contacted by telephone and further follow-up was undertaken in order to maximise response rates.

The Australian Capital Territory Health Department (ACT Health) Human Research and Ethics Committee’s Low Risk Sub-Committee approved this study, and site-specific governance approval was obtained. The primary outcome was the proportion of alcohol-related presentations in each ED at that point in time. For analysis, EDs were stratified by role delineation and by state and country. To maintain confidentiality and statistical meaning, we report aggregate data only. Data analysis was by descriptive statistics. Comparisons were undertaken using \(\chi^2\) and \(t\) tests, as appropriate.

**Results**

All 126 ACEM-accredited hospitals in Australia and New Zealand were invited to participate. Seven of these (mostly paediatric and private hospitals) declined. A further nine non-accredited hospitals also agreed to submit data. At the time of the survey, a further two hospitals declined, and 22 did not provide data, as shown in Box 3. The 106 responding hospitals identified 2766 patients in EDs at 02:00, of whom 395 (14.3%; 95% CI, 13.0%–15.6%) had presented because of alcohol consumption. This is an average number of patients per ED of 3.8 in Australia and 4.0 in New Zealand (Box 4). The overall differences between Australia and New Zealand were only of borderline significance, with overlapping 95% CIs \((P = 0.05)\). Breakdown of rates by jurisdiction and role delineation are shown in Box 5.

The distribution of alcohol-related presentations among hospitals was skewed toward the left, with a range from zero (one New Zealand hospital, eight non-paediatric Australian hospitals) to 15 (one Australian hospital) and a median of two, with proportions ranging from 0 to 50% and a median of 12.5%. In total, one New Zealand hospital and nine Australian hospitals (representing five states and territories) reported their prevalence of alcohol-related presentations to be more than a third of patients in the ED.

**Discussion**

In this study, we quantified the point prevalence of patients with alcohol-related harm presenting to EDs on a binational scale. The study is representative of adult hospitals with an ED in Australia and New Zealand, having achieved an excellent geographic and role-related response rate. Our finding that one in seven patients in EDs in Australia and one in six in New Zealand present for reasons related to alcohol consumption indicates...
3 Participating hospitals and response rates by jurisdiction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Potential hospitals</th>
<th>Participating hospitals</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qld</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Australia</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number being observed is based on a smaller sample as three New Zealand hospitals and 28 Australian hospitals reported not having observation units.

Our study has several potential limitations. It represents a single point in time and, while providing an estimate of point prevalence, incidence cannot be estimated. The prevalence is likely to change over the time of day, day of the week and perhaps seasonally. We performed the survey in the pre-Christmas period, and this may have resulted in a higher proportion of alcohol-related presentations than might occur at other times of the year. Collecting more precise prevalence data would further clarify the extent of presentations of patients with alcohol-related harms to EDs, and inform preventive strategies and interventions. We therefore intend to undertake further research, including a 7-day prevalence study.

Non-responder bias may have resulted in EDs with differing rates of presentation of patients with alcohol-related harm not completing the survey. EDs with a low census of alcohol-related presentations may have been less motivated to respond compared with those with a high census. While our definition of “alcohol-related” was based on ICD-10 codes, there is no internationally validated definition. This may have resulted in an underestimate of alcohol-related harm.

Measurement errors related to the definition of alcohol-related harm may have occurred. Ascertainment bias may have occurred with patients who appeared intoxicated being assumed to be intoxicated with alcohol, whereas their symptoms may instead have been caused primarily by other drugs. While our definition did include indirect alcohol-related harm, it is likely that the data collection method would underestimate the true prevalence of indirect harm.

Despite our use of site coordinators to train responding staff, the study design meant that most data were collected by busy clinical staff. Further standardisation of the measurement and recording of alcohol-related presentations that can be implemented in the context of busy EDs would assist, but would need to be resourced.

Our study happened to coincide with Operation Unite, a proactive binational policing initiative targeting alcohol-related antisocial behaviour in precincts across Australia and New Zealand, and heightening community awareness of the issue of excessive alcohol consumption. We acknowledge the potential confounding effects of this policing and public awareness campaign on our point prevalence data, but cannot determine their extent.

Not enough is yet known about the impact of alcohol-related presentations on ED resources. While we didn’t specifically address this in our study, it is reasonable to extrapolate from our point prevalence data. Alcohol-related assaults on ED staff are common and appear to be increasing in frequency. Dealing with aggressive, intoxicated patients is resource-intensive and distressing for staff. It is likely that this will have a negative impact on the care of other patients in the ED, especially in a setting where one in three patient presentations are alcohol-related. This form of “innocent bystander” alcohol-related harm has not been quantified.

The contemporary discourse and policy response to alcohol misuse in the Australasian community emphasises law enforcement and regulatory initiatives. Our study draws attention to the important reality that alcohol misuse also has a significant impact on the health care system, as reflected in the very high prevalence of alcohol-related presentations in some EDs. As alcohol-related harm is an entirely preventable condition, and when hospitals in multiple jurisdictions report more than a third of their ED workload is due to this single cause, we contend that this represents a strong case for preventive public health interventions as a key component of a broad policy response to this issue.
Evidence-based alcohol policies, along with effective strategies and interventions to reduce alcohol-related harm, are now more available than ever before.19 These should inform national solutions to the widespread alcohol misuse and harms afflicting local communities across Australia and New Zealand, along with addressing current societal attitudes towards excessive drinking.
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