Gonorrhoea notifications and nucleic acid amplification testing in a very low-prevalence Australian female population

Passive surveillance has shown a rapid increase in the number of gonorrhoea (Neisseria gonorrhoeae) notifications in Victoria, Australia, over the past decade. About 17% of all notified cases are in women, and of cases in men, 71% are among men who have sex with men (MSM).1

Gonorrhoea notifications can occur from a positive culture or a nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT). NAATs are more sensitive than culture, particularly for urine sampling,2 which is the most common specimen type collected in Australia. However, NAATs for gonorrhoea are less specific than culture, and the specificity of NAAT varies by specimen type and testing platform, producing false-positive results that reduce the positive predictive value (PPV) when the prevalence of infection is low.3,4 The product information5 and United States sexually transmitted infection (STI) treatment guidelines warn against the use of NAAT in low-prevalence populations for this reason.3 In contrast, gonorrhoea culture has a specificity of 100%.7

A dual NAAT for the detection of chlamydia (Chlamydia trachomatis) and gonorrhoea infection was introduced in Australia in 2007, and is now substantially more commonly used than the single test for chlamydia detection.8,9 The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners screening guidelines recommend chlamydia screening for individuals aged 15–29 years, but only recommend gonorrhoea screening for individuals at higher risk.10 The prevalence of gonorrhoea is extremely low even among Australian women attending sexual health clinics (0.3%–0.4%).11 Conversely, gonorrhoea prevalence is higher in certain populations, such as the Indigenous population in remote areas (7.2%)12 and MSM (7.1%).13 These individuals are advised to undergo gonorrhoea testing every 3–6 months.

If NAAT is widely used among Australian women with low gonorrhoea prevalence, the low PPV of NAAT may cause substantial harm.3,14 We therefore aimed to evaluate the proportion of positive NAATs among women, and whether this changed over time. We also assessed possible changes in gonorrhoea prevalence by analysing women tested by culture alone in a sentinel sexual health clinic population.

Abstract

Objectives: To examine whether the rapid increase of gonorrhoea notifications in Victoria, Australia, identified by nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) is supported by similar changes in diagnoses by culture, which has higher specificity, and to determine the proportion of tests positive among women tested.

Design, setting and participants: Retrospective analysis of Medicare reporting of dual NAATs in Victoria, Victorian Department of Health gonorrhoea notifications, and gonorrhoea culture data at the Melbourne Sexual Health Centre (MSHC), among women, 2008 to 2013.

Main outcome measures: Gonorrhoea notifications and testing methods.

Results: Gonorrhoea cases identified by NAAT increased from 98 to 343 cases over the study period. Notifications by culture alone decreased from 19 to five cases. The proportion of NAATs positive for gonorrhoea in Victoria were low (0.2%–0.3%) and did not change over time (P for trend, 0.66). Similarly, the proportion of women tested at the MSHC for gonorrhoea who tested positive (0.4%–0.6%) did not change over time (P for trend, 0.70). Of untreated women who had a positive NAAT result for gonorrhoea and were referred to the MSHC, 10/25 were confirmed by culture.

Conclusions: The positivity of gonorrhoea in women identified by culture remains stable over time. Using NAAT for gonorrhoea screening in low-prevalence populations will result in many false positives. Positive NAAT results among low-risk women should be regarded as doubtful, and confirmatory cultures should be performed.

The study received ethics approval from the Alfred Health Human Ethics Committee (no. 147/14).

Data sources

We reviewed Medicare Item Reports from Medicare Australia for chlamydia testing alone (Medicare Benefits Schedule [MBS] item 69316) and the dual NAAT (MBS items 69317 and 69319) for chlamydia and a second or third organism (which predominantly reflects the multiplexed assay for chlamydia and gonorrhoea) among women in Victoria during the study period.15 As gonorrhoea is a notifiable disease in Australia, the annual notified cases among women, stratified by test type (ie, NAAT alone, culture alone or NAAT and culture), were obtained from the Victorian Department of Health. We also reviewed the electronic database records of all women attending the MSHC over the same period to determine if changes had occurred at the MSHC, which uses culture only for...
endocervical swabs taken for culture by a clinician. All swabs were directly plated onto gonococci agar in the clinic room and immediately delivered to the onsite laboratory for culture. We also reviewed the records of women who were referred by GPs to the MSHC with a positive NAAT result of gonorrhoea who had not had treatment and had a swab taken for gonorrhoea culture at the MSHC.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics and frequency distribution of the sample were calculated. The percentage of women who tested positive for gonorrhoea over the study period was calculated. A $\chi^2$ test for trend was used to evaluate the significance of gonorrhoea positivity in women over time. Data were analysed using SPSS, version 21.0 (SPSS Inc).

Results
There was a 2.3-fold increase in the use of dual NAATs for chlamydia and gonorrhoea among women in Victoria over the study period, from 47,134 tests in 2008 to 110,209 in 2013 (Box 1). The number of chlamydia tests alone increased 1.3-fold, from 56,916 tests in 2008 to 76,485 tests in 2013.

Notifications to the Victorian Department of Health of gonorrhoea cases identified by culture alone (excluding cases diagnosed at the MSHC) among women decreased slightly from 19 cases in 2008 to five cases in 2013. Gonorrhoea cases identified by culture alone and cases identified by NAAT and culture increased from 38 cases in 2008 to 86 cases in 2013. However, gonorrhoea cases identified by NAAT alone increased 3.5-fold, from 98 cases in 2008 to 343 cases in 2013 (Box 2). Of all NAATs performed in Victoria, the proportion of positive tests ranged between 0.2% and 0.3% and did not change over time ($P$ for trend 0.66) (Box 3).

Over the same period, 35,874 women were tested for gonorrhoea by culture alone at the MSHC, of whom 158 (0.4%) tested positive. Gonococcal culture positivity among all women at the MSHC did not change over time ($P$ for trend 0.70) (Box 3).

Discussion
Our study shows that most testing of gonorrhoea among women in Victoria is undertaken using NAAT detection, despite the very low population prevalence. Testing with dual NAAT rose 2.3-fold over the study period and was temporally associated with substantial increases in gonorrhoea notifications among women in Victoria. However, there was no observable increase in the proportion of women diagnosed with gonorrhoea by culture at the MSHC, or in the proportion of notifications per reported MBS item numbers for dual NAAT in Victoria. Taken collectively, these data suggest that the prevalence of gonorrhoea among women remains very low and stable in Victoria (0.2%–0.3%) and at the MSHC (0.4%–0.6%), and that the rise in notifications is likely due to false-positive results arising from the increased use of NAATs in a low-prevalence population, with potential for unnecessary treatment of patients and partners and consequent harm.

These findings confirm current guidelines that testing for gonorrhoea with NAAT should be used when there is a clinical indication or for women at increased risk of gonorrhoea. We recommend that women being screened for chlamydia who are not at increased risk of gonorrhoea should be tested for chlamydia alone, or the gonorrhoea result suppressed in the MBS.

Our study has several limitations. First, the nature of notification data may be incomplete or inaccurate. However, in Victoria, notifications are received from both clinicians and laboratories, with duplicates carefully removed. Second, a small proportion of laboratory testing for gonorrhoea is done in public hospitals that do not use the MBS, so we may have overestimated

---

**Box 1.** Number of tests ordered and laboratory tests for chlamydia and gonorrhoea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of tests ordered</th>
<th>Number of notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Box 2.** Gonorrhoea notifications among women in Victoria, 2008–2013, by year and type of test

- **Chlamydia:**
  - Cases identified by NAAT only
  - Cases identified by NAAT and confirmed by culture
  - Cases identified by culture only

- **Dual chlamydia and other infection:**
  - Cases identified by NAAT only
  - Cases identified by NAAT and confirmed by culture
  - Cases identified by culture only

**Box 3.** Descriptive statistics and frequency distribution of the sample

- **Women tested for gonorrhoea by culture alone:**
  - At MSHC, of whom 158 (0.4%) tested positive.

- **Gonococcal culture positivity among women:**
  - At MSHC did not change over time ($P$ for trend 0.70).
the prevalence, but a lower prevalence would only strengthen our conclusions. Third, the sample of women referred to the MSHC for treatment of gonorrhoea who were initially diagnosed by NAAT was small, which may limit the statistical power. However, if these were real cases of gonorrhoea, one would expect about 90% to test positive by endocervical culture regardless of bias, but only 40% of untreated infection was confirmed by culture, suggesting that the remainder (60%) were false positives. Finally, we do not know how many laboratories suppress gonorrhoea results from the dual NAAT. If a significant proportion do not suppress gonorrhoea results when testing for chlamydia, the number of gonorrhoea notifications could have been overestimated, and up to 60% could be false positives based on our data.

Although endocervical culture is almost as sensitive as NAAT for that site, only 10/25 of our NAAT-positive samples had positive endocervical cultures for gonorrhoea, suggesting that most of the positive gonorrhoea NAATs (60%) are false positives. This finding is consistent with the product information and the screening recommendation from the US Preventive Services Task Force warning against routine screening for gonorrhoea with NAAT among individuals in a low-prevalence population (ie, <1%).

Previous studies have shown that the dual NAAT for chlamydia and gonorrhoea has high sensitivity and specificity, and does not require further confirmatory testing. However, this recommendation is only valid in high-prevalence populations. The Australian Public Health Laboratory Network guidelines recommend that cases identified by NAAT should be confirmed by supplementary testing in low-prevalence populations, or the PPV of the test should exceed 90%. A New Zealand study with low gonorrhoea prevalence (0.77%) showed that the PPV of NAATs in female urogenital specimens reached 80% if a secondary confirmatory assay was not used. Our lowest prevalence was about a third of this, so the PPV would be expected to be substantially lower.

In the New Zealand study, when discordant results were evaluated by a clinical microbiologist, the PPV exceeded 90%. Supplementary confirmatory testing is advocated in Australia, European countries and the United Kingdom. The proportion of Australian laboratories that do this is unknown.

Over our study period, there was a substantial increase in the proportion of laboratories using the dual NAAT for chlamydia and gonorrhoea. The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia Molecular Quality Assurance Programs Diagnostics Report found that about 53% of participating laboratories used dual NAAT in 2013 compared with 8% in 2007. This increase has been driven by the move to dual assays by the main manufacturers. The capacity to test for dual targets, with little or no difference in cost, may have contributed to this increase. If the gonorrhoea test is performed and is positive, the laboratory has a duty of care to report the positive gonorrhoea result. A recent study suggests that even when only chlamydia is ordered, most laboratories are reporting a positive gonorrhoea result. When this is done, the clinician needs to be aware of the possibility of a false positive, and a confirmatory test by culture should be performed. Ideally, laboratories should suppress any gonorrhoea result.

It is difficult to estimate the number of women, or low-risk heterosexual men, who receive a false-positive result from a gonorrhoea screening test. This number depends on the specificity of the assay used, and whether confirmatory testing is always carried out. The published specificity of the four most popular screening platforms varies from 98.8% to 99.9%. If 0.3% of NAATs ordered test positive, the PPV will be between 19% and 75% — a false-positive rate between 25% and 81%. If supplementary assays are always carried out, this will be substantially reduced. In a recent study in a New Zealand population with a prevalence of 0.77%, 22% (8/37) of NAAT-positive, culture-negative samples were evaluated by a clinical microbiologist and deemed to be false-positive results.

Finding the balance between under- and overdiagnosis of gonorrhoea among women at low risk is a difficult clinical and public health question. Currently, we are likely testing too many low-risk women, who are presumably attending a GP for chlamydia screening. A false-positive diagnosis of an STI has important implications for relationships, and unnecessary treatment may be associated with side effects.

In conclusion, testing for gonorrhoea using NAAT is currently being done in a very low-prevalence population in Victoria, contrary to the manufacturers’ and other guidelines, and likely resulting in a substantial number of false-positive results and unnecessary treatment. The current increase in notifications may be an artefact of more testing for gonorrhoea using automated multiplex NAAT. In Victoria, and Australia outside of high-prevalence populations (eg, Indigenous populations and MSM), testing should be minimised or done by culture unless the pretest probability is high (eg, symptoms of pelvic inflammatory disease, had a gonorrhoea contact, engaged in commercial sex, or had sex overseas). Positive gonococcal NAATs among low-risk women should then be confirmed by culture or regarded as doubtful. This will minimise the number of false-positive notifications, and reduce potential harm to individuals.
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