The Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study: socio-economic status at birth and cardiovascular risk factors to 25 years of age
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Abstract

Objectives: To determine whether socio-economic status at birth is associated with differences in risk factors for cardiovascular disease — body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, blood lipid levels — during the first 25 years of life.

Design: Analysis of prospectively collected data.

Setting, participants: 570 of 686 children born to Aboriginal mothers at the Royal Darwin Hospital during 1987–1990 and recruited for the Aboriginal Birth Cohort Study in the Northern Territory. Participants resided in 46 urban and remote communities across the NT. The analysed data were collected at three follow-ups: Wave 2 in 1998–2001 (570 participants; mean age, 11 years), Wave 3 in 2006–2008 (442 participants; mean age, 18 years), and Wave 4 in 2014–2016 (423 participants; mean age, 25 years).

Main outcome measures: Cardiovascular disease risk factors by study wave and three socio-economic measures at the time of birth: area-level Indigenous Relative Socioeconomic Outcomes (IRSEO) index score and location (urban, remote) of residence, and parity of mother.

Results: Area-level IRSEO of residence at birth influenced BMI (P < 0.001), systolic blood pressure (P = 0.024), LDL-cholesterol (P = 0.010), and HDL-cholesterol levels (P < 0.001). Remote location of residence at birth influenced BMI (P < 0.001), HDL-cholesterol (P < 0.001), and triglyceride levels (P = 0.043). Mother’s parity at birth influenced BMI (P = 0.039).


Methods

Participants

Details of the ABC study have been published previously.8,9 Briefly, 686 of the 1238 children born to Indigenous Australian mothers at the Royal Darwin Hospital during 1987–1990 were recruited for the study; the mean birthweights and sex ratio of the recruited children were similar to those for the children not recruited. Three clinical follow-ups have since been conducted: Wave 2 in 1998–2001 (570 of 668 living participants; mean age, 11 years; range, 8–14 years), Wave 3 in 2006–2008 (442 of 659 living participants; mean age, 18 years; range, 16–20 years), and Wave 4 in 2014–2016 (423 of 647 living participants [65%]; mean age, 25 years; range, 23–28 years). Participants resided in 46 urban and remote communities across the NT; 428 participants (75%) lived in remote communities (including the Arnhem, Victoria Daly, and Tiwi regions) and 142 (25%) in urban Darwin and its immediate surrounds (Supporting Information, figure 1).

Socio-economic variables

Area-level socio-economic disadvantage was defined according to the Indigenous Relative Socioeconomic Outcomes (IRSEO) index. The IRSEO score is calculated at the Indigenous Area level from data for nine variables (three related to employment, three to education, two to housing, one to income) derived from the 2011 Census of Population and Housing; each area is assigned to one of 100 percentiles (from 1, the most
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advantaged, to 100, the most disadvantaged). Participants were assigned IRSEO scores according to their reported residential addresses at birth. Scores were categorised into four groups: least disadvantage (range, 13–40), moderate disadvantage (range, 41–80), high disadvantage (range, 81–90), and highest disadvantage (range 91–99). Families were classified as urban or remote according to location of residence of the participant at birth. Parity of the mother at the time of birth of the participant was categorised as one, 2–3, 4–5, or 6 or more children.

**Blood lipid levels, blood pressure, body mass index**

Venous blood samples were collected for assessing lipid levels (low density lipoprotein [LDL]-cholesterol, high density lipoprotein [HDL]-cholesterol, triglycerides) by enzymatic methods (analytic devices employed: Waves 2 and 3, Hitachi 917, Roche; Wave 4, XPand Plus, Siemens). Blood pressure was measured three times during each wave (right arm, sitting after resting) with an automatic oscillatory unit (LifeSign 420, Welch Allyn); the mean systolic and diastolic values were included in analyses. BMI was calculated from weight, measured to 0.1 kg with a digital scale (TBF-521, Tanita) while the participant was barefoot and in light clothing, and height, measured with a portable stadiometer to the nearest millimetre.

**Statistical analyses**

Characteristics of study participants are reported as means with standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and as proportions for categorical variables. Attrition analyses compared baseline characteristics for participants and non-participants in each follow-up (Student t tests for continuous variables, χ² tests for categorical variables).

The main analyses examined whether associations between socio-economic factors at birth and longitudinal data on cardiovascular risk factors were statistically significant. To assess these associations and to calculate point estimates (with 95% confidence intervals [CIs]) of risk factor levels for each study wave, we used linear mixed models to account for intra-subject correlation arising from the repeated measures. Models included one of the socio-economic variables (IRSEO score, residence, mother’s parity at the birth of the participant) as the variable of interest, and sex, BMI (except in BMI models), and study wave as covariates. To provide least square means (with 95% CIs) for each follow-up wave, terms for interactions between the socio-economic variables and study wave were also included. Age was not included as a factor in the main analyses because it was collinear with study wave (variance inflation factor, 30); as age variability in each wave was limited, study wave was included as a factor in the models rather than continuous age. However, we also performed sensitivity analyses that included age as a factor. To assess the influence of missing data, we also analysed data limited to participants who had participated in all three follow-ups. All analyses were performed in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute); P < 0.05 (two-tailed) was deemed statistically significant.

**Ethics approval**

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Northern Territory Department of Health and Menzies School of Health Research, including the Aboriginal Ethics Sub-committee with the power of veto (reference, 2013-2022). The investigation complied with the National
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### 1 Characteristics of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Wave 2</th>
<th>Wave 3</th>
<th>Wave 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>11.0 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>17.8 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>25.3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>303 (53.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>221 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>207 (48.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRSEO (at birth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least disadvantage</td>
<td>120 (21.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate disadvantage</td>
<td>30 (5.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High disadvantage</td>
<td>201 (35.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest disadvantage</td>
<td>219 (38.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence at birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>101 (17.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>469 (82.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s parity at birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One child</td>
<td>190 (33.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3 children</td>
<td>227 (39.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5 children</td>
<td>110 (19.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 or more children</td>
<td>43 (7.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRSEO = Indigenous Relative Socioeconomic Outcomes; SD = standard deviation.
numbers of children than for participants (three or fewer children: 80% vs 71%).

**Body mass index**

IRSEO of residence at birth \( (P < 0.001) \), remoteness of residence \( (P < 0.001) \), and parity at birth \( (P = 0.039) \) each influenced mean BMI levels (Box 2).

**Blood pressure**

IRSEO of residence at birth influenced systolic blood pressure level \( (P = 0.024) \); remoteness of residence \( (P = 0.32) \) and parity \( (P = 0.54) \) did not (Box 3). There were no significant associations between socio-economic variables and diastolic blood pressure: IRSEO, \( P = 0.07 \); remoteness, \( P = 0.93 \); parity, \( P = 0.23 \) (Supporting Information, figure 2).

**Lipid levels**

IRSEO of residence at birth influenced LDL-cholesterol \( (P = 0.010) \) (Box 4) and HDL-cholesterol levels \( (P < 0.001) \) (Box 5). LDL-cholesterol level was influenced neither by remoteness of residence \( (P = 0.21) \) nor by parity \( (P = 0.30) \) (Box 4); HDL-cholesterol level was influenced by remoteness of residence \( (P < 0.001) \), but not by parity \( (P = 0.53) \) (Box 5). Triglyceride levels were influenced by remoteness of residence \( (P = 0.42) \), but not by IRSEO \( (P = 0.043) \) or parity \( (P = 0.25) \) (Box 6).

**Sensitivity analyses**

The results of analyses also adjusted for age were similar to those of the main analysis (data not shown). The results of analyses restricted to data for participants who participated in all three follow-ups were also similar, except that the associations between IRSEO and LDL-cholesterol level \( (P = 0.17) \) and between remoteness and triglyceride level \( (P = 0.13) \) were not statistically significant.

**Discussion**

We found that socio-economic factors at the time of birth are statistically associated with differences in the prevalence of CVD risk factors among Indigenous Australians in the Northern Territory. In particular, higher HDL-cholesterol levels were associated with having a mother living in an area of low disadvantage or in an urban area at the time of birth. Of the three socio-economic
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**2 Relationship between body mass index and socio-economic variables in the Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Least disadvantage</th>
<th>Moderate disadvantage</th>
<th>High disadvantage</th>
<th>Highest disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRSEO = Indigenous Relative Socioeconomic Outcomes. * Least square means with 95% confidence intervals. Linear mixed models also included sex and study wave as variables. Interactions: wave*IRSEO, \( P = 0.001 \); wave*remoteness, \( P = 0.001 \); wave*parity, \( P = 0.036 \).

**3 Relationship between systolic blood pressure and socio-economic variables in the Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Least disadvantage</th>
<th>Moderate disadvantage</th>
<th>High disadvantage</th>
<th>Highest disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1 child</td>
<td>2–3 children</td>
<td>4–5 children</td>
<td>6 or more children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRSEO = Indigenous Relative Socioeconomic Outcomes. * Least square means with 95% confidence intervals. Linear mixed models also included sex, study wave, and body mass index as variables. Interactions: wave*IRSEO, \( P = 0.022 \); wave*remoteness, \( P = 0.46 \); wave*parity, \( P = 0.17 \).
4 Relationship between LDL-cholesterol level and socio-economic variables in the Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study.*
A. Residence at birth: IRSEO; B. Residence at birth: remoteness; C. Mother’s parity at birth

5 Relationship between HDL-cholesterol level and socio-economic variables in the Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study.*
A. Residence at birth: IRSEO; B. Residence at birth: remoteness; C. Mother’s parity at birth

6 Relationship between triglyceride level and socio-economic variables in the Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort study.*
A. Residence at birth: IRSEO; B. Residence at birth: remoteness; C. Mother’s parity at birth

Earlier studies have consistently found that neighbourhood factors influence the prevalence among adults of CVD and its risk factors. A Canadian study reported that children from...
disadvantaged neighbourhoods were more likely than those from less disadvantaged areas to have CVD risk factors or events during 34 years of follow-up.\textsuperscript{11} In the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns study, neighbourhood socio-economic disadvantage across life was associated with higher blood pressure and triglyceride levels in midlife.\textsuperscript{7} We found, in contrast, that systolic blood pressure and LDL-cholesterol levels were lower among people from areas of highest disadvantage. However, the prevalence among Indigenous Australians of small, dense LDL particles is high,\textsuperscript{12} we did not have data for evaluating this phenomenon. Our findings regarding HDL-cholesterol and triglyceride levels were consistent with previous reports,\textsuperscript{21,13} that they are most favourable in people from least disadvantaged areas (HDL-cholesterol) and from urban places of residence (triglycerides).

Our findings have several potential explanations. Dietary factors are important, and poor diet is one of the main reasons for health gaps between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians.\textsuperscript{14} Relatively high food prices in remote communities, combined with low incomes, cause food insecurity.\textsuperscript{15} In American studies, food insecurity has been associated with lower blood pressure and cholesterol levels.\textsuperscript{16,17} Even short periods of low income reduce dietary quality among remote Indigenous Australians; the most notable differences between periods of high and low money availability are in energy intake, the proportions of energy provided by fats and carbohydrates, and the ratio of sodium to potassium intake.\textsuperscript{18} Structural factors, such as limited food storage facilities and transport, affect dietary choices.\textsuperscript{19} Complex food-sharing networks also influence food choices, and consuming traditional bush foods may affect diet quality, but information on the health effects of these factors is sparse.

For Indigenous Australians, neighbourhood disadvantage is inversely and urban residence directly associated with positive scores on an American Heart Association index of ideal cardiovascular health.\textsuperscript{20} As we have found that greater neighbourhood disadvantage and remoteness were favourably associated with some characteristics (blood pressure, LDL-cholesterol levels) and unfavourably with others (HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride levels), the underlying reasons for these associations probably include non-dietary factors. The importance of social factors — education, inequities in resource allocation, employment opportunities, physical infrastructure — must also be taken into account.\textsuperscript{21} Finally, genetic and inflammatory factors play important roles in determining serum lipid levels in Indigenous Australians.\textsuperscript{22} In a medium-sized study in southeast Queensland (\textit{N} = 155), apolipoprotein E4 polymorphisms associated with high triglyceride and low HDL-cholesterol levels were 80\% more frequent among Indigenous than among non-Indigenous participants.\textsuperscript{23}

From the clinical and public health points of view, our findings provide novel information about the effects of socio-economic factors on cardiovascular risk in an Indigenous community. It has been estimated that each 20 mmHg rise in systolic blood pressure in people aged 40–69 years is associated with a doubling of cardiovascular mortality;\textsuperscript{24} an increase of 1 mmol/L in LDL-cholesterol levels has been associated with a 62\% prospective increase in coronary disease.\textsuperscript{25} The largest differences by IRSEO group we found were 3.5 mmHg for systolic blood pressure and 0.6 mmol/L for LDL-cholesterol (in Wave 4). From the public health perspective, our data indicate that intervention strategies for preventing CVD in Indigenous Australian communities must take the perspectives and socio-economic conditions of the residents into account.

**Limitations**

The strengths of our study include its longitudinal design commencing in childhood, and the structured follow-ups with good retention rates (of the participants in Wave 2, 78\% participated in Wave 3 and 75\% in Wave 4), particularly given the accessibility problems that hamper data collection in remote areas. The study population, however, was quite small, which limits extrapolation of our findings. Further, attrition analyses identified differences between non-participants and participants in Waves 3 and 4. Another limitation to generalising our findings is our definition of socio-economic disadvantage. For studies of non-Indigenous neighbourhoods, authors have assessed income, education level, occupation, unemployment rates, and the proportions of people living in owned and rental housing,\textsuperscript{24,7} but data for these variables were not available for our study; moreover, they would not be appropriate for assessing remote communities. The IRSEO scores we employed refer to area-level socio-economic status and do not necessarily reflect the socio-economic status of individual participants. Finally, the participants were still young adults at the most recent follow-up, prohibiting the investigation of associations between socio-economic measures and CVD morbidity.

**Conclusion**

Our longitudinal life course analysis provides evidence for associations between area-level socio-economic status at birth and the prevalence of major cardiovascular disease risk factors among Indigenous Australians. Mean BMI, blood pressure, and LDL-cholesterol were at healthier levels among individuals born in highly disadvantaged and remote areas, whereas mean HDL-cholesterol and triglyceride levels were least favourable in these people.
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