Thirty years of the World Health Organization’s target caesarean section rate: time to move on
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The 2009 edition of the World Health Organization Monitoring emergency obstetric care handbook was the first since 1985 not to recommend a maximum caesarean section (CS) rate of 15%.¹ In its place was the statement, “there is no empirical evidence for an optimum percentage or range of percentages”, and a concession that “what matters most is that all women who need caesarean sections actually receive them”. Despite this change, a perception remained that CSs above such a “target” rate were unnecessary.²

In 2015, a new WHO standalone policy statement was released, restating that “every effort should be made to provide caesarean sections to women in need, rather than striving to achieve a specific rate”.³ However, the document justified a return to the old recommendation, recognising that “the international community has increasingly referenced the need to revisit the 1985 recommended rate”:⁴

Since 1985, the international healthcare community has considered the ideal rate for caesarean sections to be between 10% and 15% ... there is no evidence showing the benefits of caesarean delivery for women or infants who do not require the procedure ... caesarean sections are associated with short and long term risk which can extend many years beyond the current delivery and affect the health of the woman, her child, and future pregnancies. These risks are higher in women with limited access to comprehensive obstetric care.³

The WHO’s original 1985 consensus opinion arose from the observation that certain countries with low perinatal mortality rates had CS rates of less than 10%.⁴ Thus, “CS rates above a certain limit have not shown additional benefit for the mother or the baby, and some studies have even shown that high CS rates could be linked to negative consequences in maternal and child health”.²,⁵-¹¹ But is it really possible to prescribe a target CS rate applicable to all 194 WHO member countries?

In this narrative review, we have used original papers and review articles from the past 30 years to formulate an overview of this topic, which is fundamental to the provision of women’s comprehensive obstetric care.³

Is an international caesarean section target rate realistic?

In Australia at present, almost a third of all births are caesarean deliveries: close to 100 000 CSs are performed each year.¹² Consider the differences between Australia and our nearest neighbour, Papua New Guinea (PNG), where the CS rate is estimated to be less than 5%.¹² In Australia, the median maternal age at first birth is 29 years, and the median number of births a woman will have is two — less than 5% of Australian women will have more than three children.¹² The only situation in which Australian women do not have a skilled attendant present at birth is by choice or accident.

In contrast, the median maternal age at first birth in PNG is 20 years, with about one woman in six having her first baby before the age of 18 years; the average number of births per woman is 4.6.¹⁴ The maternal mortality rate has been estimated at 500 per 100 000 (compared with 7.1 per 100 000 in Australia) and the perinatal mortality rate at about 66 per 1000 births (10 per 1000 in Australia).²,¹² Less than 40% of births are attended by skilled attendants. Are Australia and PNG sufficiently comparable in terms of the demographics of mothers and access to health care that the same recommendations should apply to both countries?

The studies on which the WHO based the 15% recommendation 30 years ago were “limited by either having incomplete data or relying on averaged cesarean delivery rates from multiple years without accounting for year-to-year variation in these estimates”.¹⁶ To address such differences, Molina and colleagues conducted a study in 2012 using data from the World Bank’s World Development Indicators database and other reliable sources to compare neonatal and maternal mortality among the 194 WHO member

Summary

- It has been 30 years since the World Health Organization first recommended a “maximum” caesarean section (CS) rate of 15%.
- There are demographic differences across the 194 WHO member countries; recent analyses suggest the optimal global CS rate is almost 20%.
- Attempts to reduce CS rates in developed countries have not worked.
- The strongest predictor of caesarean delivery for the first birth of “low risk” women appears to be maternal age; a factor that continues to increase.
- Most women whose first baby is born by caesarean delivery will have all subsequent children by caesarean delivery.
- Outcomes that informed the WHO recommendation primarily relate to maternal and perinatal mortality, which are easy to measure.
- Longer term outcomes, such as pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence, are closely related to mode of birth, and up to 20% of women will undergo surgery for these conditions. Pelvic floor surgery is typically undertaken for older women who are less fit for surgery.
- Serious complications such as placenta accreta occur with repeat caesarean deliveries, but the odds only reach statistical significance at the third or subsequent caesarean delivery. However, in Australia, parity is falling, and only 20% of women will have more than two births.
- We should aim to provide CS to women in need and to continue including women in the conversation about the benefits and disadvantages, both short and long term, of birth by caesarean delivery.
Influence of demographic changes on caesarean section rates

Notwithstanding the WHO recommendation, attempts to reduce CS rates in developed countries have not worked very well,\textsuperscript{15} begging the question of why rates increased in the first place.\textsuperscript{12,19-22} Purported explanations include reluctance on the part of obstetricians to manage complex vaginal births\textsuperscript{24,25} and greater numbers of women requesting caesarean delivery.\textsuperscript{26,27} More importantly, over the past two decades, there have been major changes in the demographics of women.

Of Australian women having their first child in 1993, only 26% were aged 30 years or older, and a mere 6% were aged at least 35 years. By 2013, the proportion of women having their first baby at age 30 or older had reached 45%, and 14% of first-time mothers were aged 35 years or older.\textsuperscript{12} A study of first births in South Australia over a 20-year period found that the adjusted odds for emergency caesarean delivery increased multiplicatively by more than a third for every 5-year increase in maternal age.\textsuperscript{19} The authors concluded that increasing maternal age at first birth contributed to almost 75% of the observed increase in CS and instrumental delivery. A study from Scotland reported that 38% of the increase in primary caesarean delivery from 1980 to 2005 was explained by increases in the age of women having their first baby.\textsuperscript{26} Similar findings have been reported from elsewhere in the United Kingdom\textsuperscript{21} and Europe.\textsuperscript{22} Studies undertaken in developed countries at the time the WHO recommendation was extant showed that the strongest predictor of caesarean delivery of the first baby for “low risk” women was maternal age.\textsuperscript{23} Among women whose first birth is vaginal, the rate of caesarean delivery for the next baby is around 7%,\textsuperscript{28} so primary CS rates are the single largest determinant of CS in subsequent pregnancies.\textsuperscript{29,30} For this reason, age at first birth strongly influences the overall rate of caesarean delivery for a country.

Of the 40 nations included in Molina and colleagues’ study where the mean age at first birth was 20 years or younger, 85% had an estimated CS rate below 15%.\textsuperscript{16} Unsurprisingly, of countries with a CS rate greater than 15%, less than a quarter (37 of 154) had a mean age at first birth below 20 years. In Australia, less than 5% of all births are to teenaged women, and the rate of CS in this group was almost 75% of the observed increase in CS and instrumental delivery.
deliveries of all subsequent children. Women who have a primary caesarean delivery, however, are most likely to have all subsequent children by repeat caesarean delivery.12

The other influential demographic change is the increasing rate of obesity in women. During 2013 in Australia, in pregnancies where maternal body mass index (BMI) was recorded, more than 20% of women had a BMI of 30 kg/m² or greater.14 Maternal obesity affects the outcome of labour and increases the risk of caesarean delivery.32,33

A longer term outlook

The outcomes informing the WHO recommendation — primarily maternal and perinatal mortality — are short term and severe. What is not considered are the longer term effects of birth on women; in particular, pelvic organ prolapse (POP) and urinary incontinence (UI) in later life. Some potential risk factors for POP and UI, such as the number of babies a woman has, the size of her babies and the woman’s BMI, are difficult to change. The most important potentially modifiable risk factor is mode of delivery. Recent estimates from the United States suggest that women face a lifetime risk of surgery for either POP or UI of 20%.35 Pelvic floor surgery for POP and UI is normally undertaken after menopause, when women are less fit for surgery, and the rate of complications for primary native tissue repair of POP has been reported to be about 15%, with an emergency reoperation rate of 1%.36 Longer term reoperation rates have been estimated to be as high as 8.9%.37

Women who have only given birth by caesarean delivery have a markedly reduced risk of objectively measured POP (5% compared with 29% after one or more vaginal births)38 and are much less likely to be symptomatic for prolapse.39 Compared with women having exclusively caesarean deliveries, women who have had their babies vaginally face a hazard ratio of 9.2 (95% CI, 7–12.1) for risk of surgery for POP.40 The hazard ratio increases to 20.9 (95% CI, 5.5–79.9) for women who have undergone a forceps vaginal delivery. Notably, as caesarean delivery has become more common in Australia, the rate of forceps vaginal delivery has decreased (Box 2). Women who have only given birth by caesarean delivery have rates of UI that are reduced by as much as half.41,42

Vaginal birth complicated by POP and UI in later life consigns women to symptoms that are often miserable to endure, last for many years, interfere markedly with quality of life and commonly lead to surgical treatments associated with much greater rates of complications and reoperation than with an initial CS. Yet the WHO documents do not refer to these outcomes at all. As the rate of caesarean delivery has increased in Australia, the incidence rate of surgery for POP and UI in women has gradually decreased (Box 3).

Another severe adverse outcome of vaginal birth is obstetric fistula. Fistula is a major public health problem in developing countries, with an incidence rate of up to one in 500 births, of which 80% result from obstructed labour.43,44 In Australia, such fistulae are almost unheard of, and the incidence rate of surgical repair for vaginal fistula, always low, is now two per 100 000 women per year, and falling (Box 4). Similarly, the rate of neonatal brachial plexus injury (Erb–Duchenne palsy) has fallen significantly in Australia, and this fall is closely correlated with caesarean delivery.45

A major concern for women is the possibility of adverse consequences in subsequent pregnancies after a caesarean delivery. In particular, complications such as placenta accreta and percreta become more common with repeat caesarean deliveries. The incidence of morbidly adherent placenta has been estimated at about one in 10 000 births in Australia, and it appears to be increasing.46 Large prospective studies have reported that increases in the odds for these and other serious complications of repeat caesarean delivery reach statistical significance at the third or subsequent caesarean delivery.47-49 However, parity continues
to decrease in Australia, and third or subsequent births occur for only about 20% of women (Box 5). This is not the case in most developing countries, where attempting a vaginal birth after a previous caesarean delivery has the potential to be lethal, so care to avoid unnecessary primary caesarean delivery takes on a special importance.

**Conclusion**

It is now clear that an idealised and universal maximum CS rate of 15% is too low. The demographic profile of Australian women makes such an achievement highly unlikely and, were it to be achieved, it would expose more women to the risk of surgery in later life. Rather than seeking to work to such a goal in Australia, we should be aiming to provide CS to all women in need and to continue including women themselves in the conversation about the benefits and disadvantages, both short and long term, of birth by caesarean delivery.
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