What risks do herbal products pose to the Australian community?
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Herbal medicines are plant-derived materials and preparations consumed for their presumed therapeutic or other health benefits. They may consist of raw materials such as bark, roots, leaves and seeds, or include processed ingredients in capsules or tablets, teas, or powders. Some herbal preparations may also contain declared or undeclared products derived from endangered animals, such as the snow leopard.1

Unfortunately, some herbal products are problematic in terms of their ingredients, effects and interactions with prescription medications. Complications associated with “non-medically qualified healers, lack of product standards, undeclared ingredients, non-disclosure of usage and long-term medication” have been identified.2 A survey of naturopaths and Western herbalists in Australia has, however, revealed support for formal registration and for evidence-based practice.3 A report published in 2016 detailed the potentially serious side effects of herbal dietary supplements, such as sub-massive hepatic necrosis necessitating organ transplantation caused by ingestion of a weight loss supplement containing garcinia cambogia (Garcinia gummi-gata).4

We undertook a literature review after searching general internet and PubMed databases for selected terms and combinations of terms, including “adverse event” and “herbal”, “complementary and alternative medicine” (CAM) and “Australia”, “dietary supplement”, “traditional Chinese medicine” (TCM), and “ayurvedic”, as well as more specific clinical terms, such as “hepatotoxicity”. We examined the range of problems that may be encountered with preparations containing herbal materials, in order to determine the nature of the risks they pose to the Australian public and to make recommendations about their regulation.

Trends in use

An estimated 70–80% of the world’s population use non-Western medicine in the form of herbal preparations for their primary health care.5 The proportion of the Australian population using herbal products as mono- or ancillary therapy increased from 48% in 1996 to 69% in 2005,6,6 with spending on complementary medicine increasing by more than 100% between 1996 and 2004.7 Similar increases have been reported in many Western countries; in the United States, spending on herbal preparations doubled between 1997 and 2007.8

Despite these trends, there have been few recent investigations of the specific problems associated with the use of herbal medicines, and assessments of their safety rely on older studies. About 30% of American patients use herbal medicines, often without the knowledge of their treating doctor.9-11 In Australia, more than half of those using complementary medicine (including herbal products) did not inform their doctors, and they often used such products together with conventional medications.6,6 There are a number of reasons for this behaviour, including the belief that herbal preparations are natural products that can be freely combined with pharmaceutical drugs, the desire for a personal therapeutic regime, and a fear of disapproval or ridicule by health professionals.12 Access to traditional medicines does not require a prescription, and they are prominently advertised in the popular media.

That side effects of herbal medicines used in traditional societies have not been reported is often cited in favour of their safety, but the lack of systematic observation has meant that even serious adverse reactions, such as kidney failure and liver damage caused by Aristotolochia species, were unrecognised until recently.13,14 Further, this argument overlooks the potential for serious interactions of herbal preparations with prescription medications, the higher mortality rates in traditional societies, and the effects of globalisation on the content and quality of herbal medicines. The recent Sansom report to the federal Minister for Health made a series of recommendations about the regulation of complementary medicines in Australia, including continued evaluation of ingredients, and permitting the listing on packaging only of ingredients that have been approved for medicinal purposes.15

The predominant user group of complementary medicines in Australia comprises younger women (under 35 years old) with a tertiary education.6,6,16 People with chronic diseases or comorbidities such as cancer, diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders or mental illness frequently use complementary medicines.16 A study of 10 011 Australian women aged 59–64 years found that 39% of those with menopausal symptoms consulted complementary medicine practitioners, and that 75% used self-prescribed complementary therapies;9 53% of 1037 people with HIV attending
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specialist clinics also used complementary medicines.19 As many as 65% of Australian people with cancer in 2010 used some form of complementary medicine, more than half of whom did so in combination with conventional therapy.20 A similar finding was made in a study of 4500 Australians aged 50 years or more; 46.3% of the participants used complementary medicines, of whom 87.4% used them alongside conventional therapy.21 The ten most commonly used herbal preparations in Australia are aloe vera, garlic, green tea, chamomile, echinacea, ginger, cranberry, peppermint, ginseng, and *Gingko biloba.*22 Patterns of use differ according to the medical problem being treated; for example, St John’s wort is mainly used to treat depression.

### Problems with herbal medicines

**Adulteration with pharmaceutical agents**

Twenty-four per cent of herbal preparations assessed in Taiwan in 1992–1993 included prescription medicines; this figure was quite as such augmentation is illegal in Taiwan.23 Pharmaceutical agents are still added to herbal preparations, as found in a recent study of TCMs purchased in Australia.24 These substances are presumably added to increase the apparent efficacy of the herbal product, reinforcing its authority as a therapeutic alternative. A patient with epilepsy suffered phenytoin poisoning after ingesting a Chinese herbal preparation for seizures that had been augmented with phenytoin, although this drug was not mentioned on the label.2 Other pharmaceuticals that have been detected in ostensibly herbal products include antibiotics, antihistamines, corticosteroids, aminopyrine, aspirin, bromhexine, chlor Diazepoxide, diclofenac, glibenclamide, hydrochlorothiazide, indomethacin, mefenamic acid, paracetamol, phencetin, phenylbutazone, and theophylline.1,10,25,26 In addition to the potential risk of ingesting uncontrolled amounts of these drugs, there are risks of allergies to undisclosed ingredients (such as antibiotics) and of interactions with prescribed medications. There have been reports of Cushing syndrome associated with exposure to undisclosed steroids, aplastic anaemia with exposure to phenylbutazone, and hypoglycaemia with ingestion of glyburide.20

**Substituted plant species**

Replacement of one botanical species with another may be deliberate if the original plant is difficult to obtain or expensive.25 One of the better known examples of this practice involved replacement of *Stephania tetrandra* by *Aristolochia fangchi* in a weight loss preparation in Belgium; the substituted plant contained the nephrotoxic aristolochic acid, which caused progressive interstitial nephritis, resulting in renal failure in some patients.13 Herbal substitution may also be inadvertent if there has been misinterpretation or inaccurate transcribing of the names or formulae in texts. Vernacular names for herbs may differ from region to region, different preparations may have the same name, or one herb may have several names.29 All of this can lead to preparation errors; for example, *Podophyllum emodi* has been used instead of *Gentiana* species, resulting in serious podophyllin poisoning leading to liver, gut and kidney disease and permanent neurological damage;30 Chinese silk vine (*Periploca sepium*) taken by a mother instead of Siberian ginseng (*Eleutherococcus senticosus*) caused androgenisation in an infant.31

**Presence of toxic substances**

Toxic materials from animals or plants may be deliberately added to herbal preparations or can be natural components of the plant species used; for example, aconite root (used in TCM) is poisonous, but can be blended with other herbs to neutralise its toxic effect.10 As shown by a case reported in this journal1 and another in South Australia that had a lethal outcome despite liver transplantation,31 herbal preparations can be hepatotoxic, with significant adverse effects ranging from marked elevation of liver enzyme activity to fatal hepatic failure. These effects may be due to direct liver cell damage caused by plant toxins, or to interactions with prescription medications.14 Box 1 lists a selection of conditions and effects associated with certain herbal remedies.

Infants are more vulnerable to the adverse effects of herbal poisoning because of their immature liver detoxification pathways and lower body weight. Chuenlin (*Coptis chinensis*), given to neonates to “remove toxic products of pregnancy”, may cause kernicterus that results in brain damage, as the alkaloid berberine displaces bilirubin from plasma proteins, elevating its free levels in serum.10

A toxic animal material knowingly added to traditional medicines is the poisonous secretion of Asian toads (*Duttaphrynus melanostictus* Schneider and *Bufo gargarizans* Cantor).34 Chan su, used to treat a variety of conditions ranging from skin and throat infections to palpitations, contains toad venom; its active components are bufadienolides that have a digoxin-like effect on the heart, leading to arrhythmias, seizures and coma.37,38 Various herbal preparations are reported to have caused myocardial infarction, congestive cardiac failure, hypotension, hypertension, arteritis, pericarditis, movement disorders, muscle weakness, stroke, and seizures.35,36

Heavy metals, including arsenic, lead, mercury, copper, iron, thallium, cadmium, manganese, nickel, and zinc can be essential components of certain traditional medicines. A study of 251 Asian herbal products in California found arsenic in 36 (14%), mercury in 35 (14%), and lead in 24 (10%).39 A study of TCM products in Australia found excessive levels of lead, cadmium and arsenic in 61% of preparations.41 The levels can be high enough to cause acute mercury and lead poisoning, the latter leading to encephalopathy.10 A 5-year-old boy suffered arsenic poisoning when his parents treated a malignancy with a traditional Indian remedy,38 while another young boy treated with “Tibetan herbal vitamins” consumed about 63 g of lead over 4 years.41 Preparations can be accidentally contaminated by heavy metals if plants are cultivated in polluted environments or stored in tainted containers.27

Traditional herbal preparations may also contain pesticides, including organochlorine preparations and banned substances such as DDT. Other contaminants associated with poor storage
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### Table 1: Medical conditions and effects associated with selected herbal preparations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition or effect</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver failure</td>
<td>Jin bu huan (includes <em>Lycopodium serratum</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ma huang (<em>Ephedra sinica</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sho-saiko-to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kava (<em>Piper methysticum</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pennyroyal (<em>Mentha pulegium</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic veno-occlusive disease</td>
<td>Comfrey (<em>Symphytum officinale</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skullcap (<em>Scutellaria lateriflora</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td><em>Aristolochia</em> species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germander (<em>Teucrium chamaedrys</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticholinergic effects</td>
<td>Datura species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage, with seizures</td>
<td><em>Gingko biloba</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conditions include herbicides, microorganisms, mycotoxins, and insects.42

Inadequate processing
Processing of herbs should ensure removal of unwanted components that may elicit adverse reactions. For example, dried aconite root must be soaked in water and boiled before it is safe to use. Aconite in Chinese herbal preparations has caused poisoning that can lead to lethal cardiac failure and arrhythmia.43

Pharmaceutical interactions

A major area of concern is the potential interaction of herbal medicines with conventional drugs, both prescribed and over-the-counter products. Herbal medicines may enhance or reduce the effects of prescribed medications or elicit unpredictable idiosyncratic effects. Serum drug levels may be increased if their clearance is reduced or their absorption enhanced because of pharmacokinetic interactions. A selection of potential pharmacological interactions of some herbal preparations with prescription drugs is given in Box 2. This table is not exhaustive. For example, the effects of any drug metabolised by cytochrome P450 3A4 can be affected by St John’s wort. While its negative impact on the effectiveness of warfarin is a particular problem, the effect of clopidogrel can be increased, as St John’s wort enhances the formation of its active metabolites.46

The American Society of Anesthesiologists has suggested that herbal medicines should not be taken for at least 2 weeks before surgery because of possible herb–drug interactions. Garlic, ginseng and gingko, for instance, all increase the risk of haemorrhage; ginseng also predisposes patients to hypoglycaemia. Echinacea is associated with allergic reactions, and kava and valerian may enhance the sedative effects of anaesthetic agents. Ephedra may cause intra-operative haemodynamic instability by lowering endogenous catecholamine levels, and has been associated with ventricular arrhythmias when halothane is used as general anaesthetic.47 Herbal preparations may also alter the results of laboratory tests by interfering with immunoassays.48

Auditing, regulation and awareness

It is likely that the majority of adverse drug reactions and drug interactions associated with alternative medicines are unreported. As noted in several Australian studies, fewer than 50% of patients discuss their use of herbal preparations with health professionals, and these medicines are rarely recorded on hospital admission forms. The true risks of adopting herbal preparations as a treatment option therefore remain unclear. In Australia, the sale of herbal medicines is profitable and their use is advertised and strongly advocated in popular media, and is not balanced by package warnings or awareness campaigns about the risks and side effects. The examples we have discussed in this article indicate that some herbal products should carry clear warnings, and highlight the need for a more open debate about claims regarding their efficacy and risks. Guidelines have recently been developed that provide a framework for selecting chemical markers for quality control.49

In Australia, all packaged herbal medicines must be placed on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (ARTG) before they are marketed. Medicines are either registered (a product that has been assessed for safety, quality and effectiveness: AUSTR) or listed (lower risk products not evaluated for efficacy: AUSTR-L). Most herbal medicines are listed products. Listing of products on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (ARTG) before they are marketed. Medicines are either registered (a product that has been assessed for safety, quality and effectiveness: AUSTR) or listed (lower risk products not evaluated for efficacy: AUSTR-L). Most herbal medicines are listed products. Listing of products on the

2 Reported pharmacological interactions of common herbal preparations with prescription medicines27,40–45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Potential effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| St John’s wort (Hypericum perforatum) | - Interferes with the action of cyclosporine, causing acute transplant rejection  
- Causes intermenstrual bleeding in women using oral contraceptives  
- Reduces the anticoagulant effect of warfarin  
- Reduces the bioavailability of theophylline, amitriptyline, indinavir (HIV-1 protease inhibitor), methadone, and digoxin  
- Potentiates the side effects of tricyclic and selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressants; can lead to serotonin syndrome |
| Asian ginseng (Panax ginseng) | - Reduces the effects of immunosuppressant drugs  
- Increases the effects of oral hypoglycaemic agents  
- Potentiates the side effects of monoamine oxidase inhibitors  
- Has an additive effect with benzodiazepines  
- Increases the liver toxicity of paracetamol  
- Enhances the effects of oral hypoglycaemic agents  
- Increases the incidence of bleeding in patients using anticoagulants  
- Lower the seizure threshold in people with epilepsy  
- Interfere with the actions of certain anti-epileptic medications, including phenytoin  
- Potentiate the action of warfarin |
| Garlic (Allium sativum) | - Increases the incidence of bleeding in patients using anticoagulants  
- Lower the seizure threshold in people with epilepsy  
- Interfere with the actions of certain anti-epileptic medications, including phenytoin |
| Evening primrose (Oenothera species) and borage (Borago officinalis) oils (contain gamolenic acid) | - Increases the incidence of bleeding in patients using anticoagulants  
- Lower the seizure threshold in people with epilepsy  
- Interfere with the actions of certain anti-epileptic medications, including phenytoin |
| Dong quai (Angelica sinensis) | - Potentiates the action of warfarin |
| Devil’s claw (Harpagophytyum) | |
| Ginseng (Panax species) | |
| Dan shen (root of Salvia miltiorhiza) | |

ARTG is based on self-assessment, a system that relies on the honesty of the manufacturer with regard to their composition. Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) audits during 2013 and 2014 found that 6–7% of complementary medicines did not comply with regulations regarding formulation, manufacturing or quality. With more than one in 20 complementary medicines failing essential composition quality control in Australia, it is clear that more meaningful regulation of the sector is needed. The regulation of complementary medicines was recently reviewed, but the recommendations still retain significant elements of self-assessment.51

Further, the presence of AUST-L numbers on herbal medicines can be misleading, as for consumers it implies a higher level of quality control than is accurate. It was recently found that AUST-L TCM products were adulterated with pharmaceuticals and carried inaccurate or misleading ingredient lists. This shows that, at least for TCMs, the TGA needs to adopt new auditing approaches and to
compose a herbal product, and it is clear that no single tech-

nique can provide the breadth of information needed to fully profile a herbal medicine. However, the recent development of DNA-based approaches has enhanced the capacity to ascertain the ingredients of herbal products, and recent research has discovered that substitution and use of non-declared ingredients are commonplace. The integration of DNA auditing techniques with advanced toxicological and heavy metal analyses can provide effective means for holistically evaluating herbal medicines. By combining several cutting edge technologies, a comprehensive analysis of the composition of herbal medicines sold in Australia is achievable.

Another way to improve auditing is to broaden the understanding of the biochemical effects of these medicines, allowing the prediction of pathological outcomes. Numerous studies have suggested that the “omics” suite of technologies (such as genomics, proteomics and metabolomics) has a significant role to play in investigating herbal preparations.1 In particular, metabolomics (a form of advanced, comprehensive biochemical profiling) has the potential to provide detailed information on the composition of herbal products. Combined with in vitro approaches, this may provide the basis for a high throughput screening program for profiling large numbers of herbal products. The combination of latest generation technologies could provide the evidence needed to accurately assess the potential risks posed by herbal preparations.

A new auditing tool kit

As with food products, it is reasonable to expect that the list of ingredients on the packaging of herbal products is accurate. Auditing of commercial herbal remedies has often been limited to morphologically identifying botanical constituents, which can be almost impossible in heavily processed material.2,3 Obsolete quality control methods are often applied, and many are based on pharmacopoeia entries that have not been updated to reflect recent advances in analytic technology. In many cases, quality control is limited to measuring a single active component; this is inadequate, as a single botanical component may contain several compounds with different pharmacological actions. For example, assessment of St John’s wort preparations is typically standardised according to its hyperforin concentration, but this is just one of the hundreds of small molecules that the plant contains.

Until recently, there was no way to comprehensively audit the composition of a herbal product, and it is clear that no single technique can provide the breadth of information needed to fully profile a herbal medicine. However, the recent development of DNA-based approaches has enhanced the capacity to ascertain the ingredients of herbal products, and recent research has discovered that substitution and use of non-declared ingredients are commonplace. The integration of DNA auditing techniques with advanced toxicological and heavy metal analyses can provide effective means for holistically evaluating herbal medicines. By combining several cutting edge technologies, a comprehensive analysis of the composition of herbal medicines sold in Australia is achievable.

Another way to improve auditing is to broaden the understanding of the biochemical effects of these medicines, allowing the prediction of pathological outcomes. Numerous studies have suggested that the “omics” suite of technologies (such as genomics, proteomics and metabolomics) has a significant role to play in investigating herbal preparations.1 In particular, metabolomics
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Conclusions

While there is no doubt that prescription medications are responsible for many serious side effects and deaths in Australia every year, this should not distract us from trying to determine the contribution of herbal preparations to morbidity and mortality. Although herbal products are claimed (especially on social media sites) to be completely safe, it is difficult to understand why it is widely believed that preparations with biological activity would not also have the potential to cause medicinal side effects. Light touch regulation of the industry means that dosages can be inconsistent, contents inaccurately listed, and toxic and pharmaceutical contaminants and adulterants may be present in herbal medicines.

Rather disturbingly, the answer to the question we asked at the beginning of this discussion — what are the risks to the Australian community from herbal products? — is that we simply do not know. This is a far from satisfactory situation, and perhaps one to which state and federal governments and regulatory bodies, including the TGA, should respond with greater urgency. We would certainly support more widespread testing of products and policing of label and website inaccuracies, although this will require financial support from an already stretched health budget. It may be appropriate for the TGA to require manufacturers to have samples independently tested before placing them on the market. Legal action should be considered in cases of non-compliance with applicable regulations, and preparations containing illegal substances should be banned. How many more adverse drug reactions involving herbal products are needed before more attention is given to this important public health problem?
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