A new blood glucose management algorithm for type 2 diabetes

A position statement of the Australian Diabetes Society

Large randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated that controlling blood glucose level (BGL) in people with type 2 diabetes (T2D) is important for preventing microvascular complications.1,2 Lowering BGL may also reduce myocardial infarction, though not necessarily stroke or all-cause mortality.3 In 2009, the Australian Diabetes Society (ADS) published a position statement recommending individualisation of glycaemic targets.4 The general glycaemic goal (HbA1c) target for most people with T2D is <53 mmol/mol (7%), however:

- in people without known cardiovascular disease, a long duration of diabetes, severe hypoglycaemia or another contraindication,4 the target is <48 mmol/mol (6.5%);
- in people with reduced hypoglycaemia awareness or major comorbidities, the target may increase to <64 mmol/mol (8%);
- in people with limited life expectancy, aim for symptomatic control;

and

- in women planning a pregnancy, aim for the tightest achievable control without severe hypoglycaemia; preferably <42 mmol/mol (6.0%).

Despite the increasing range of therapies available, achieving glycaemic targets can be difficult. To assist with selecting glucose-lowering pharmacotherapy for people with T2D, the ADS developed this position statement (Box 1). Its aim is to describe the place of the various drug classes in the glucose-lowering therapeutic algorithm (Box 2), drug options in the setting of renal impairment (Appendix 1; all appendices online at mja.com.au), and the current PBS-subsidised and unsubsidised costs (Appendix 3). A more detailed version of the statement that will be updated annually is available on the ADS website (http://www.diabetesociety.com.au).

**Summary**

- Lowering blood glucose levels in people with type 2 diabetes has clear benefits for preventing microvascular complications and potential benefits for reducing macrovascular complications and death.
- Treatment needs to be individualised for each person with diabetes. This should start with selecting appropriate glucose and glycated haemoglobin targets, taking into account life expectancy and the patient’s wishes. For most people, early use of glucose-lowering therapies is warranted.
- A range of recently available therapies has added to the options for lowering glucose levels, but this has made the clinical pathway for treating diabetes more complicated.
- This position statement from the Australian Diabetes Society outlines the risks, benefits and costs of the available therapies and suggests a treatment algorithm incorporating the older and newer agents.

**Drugs**

**Metformin**

Metformin decreases hepatic glucose output, lowers fasting glucose levels and is generally weight-neutral. It decreases HbA1c level by up to 15–22 mmol/mol.3 Gastrointestinal side effects are common. Metformin should be started at low doses and titrated. People with gastrointestinal side effects should be offered one of the slow-release formulations, which cost about 40% more per dose (Appendix 3). Metformin is contraindicated for patients who have severe renal, hepatic or cardiac failure (Appendix 1).

**Sulphonylureas**

Sulfonylureas have favourable long-term safety and outcome data and are cheap and effective, with decreases in HbA1c level of up to 7–16 mmol/mol when combined with metformin.4,5 They trigger insulin release in a glucose-independent manner. Their main side effects are hypoglycaemia and weight gain. The risk of hypoglycaemia is highest with sulfonylureas with long half-lives and renally excreted active metabolites, such as glibenclamide.6

**Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors**

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors decrease inactivation of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), thereby...
increasing its availability. GLP-1 improves β-cell function and insulin secretion and slows gastric emptying. A meta-analysis reported decreases in HbA1c level of 7–8 mmol/mol, except for vildagliptin (11 mmol/mol).9 Common side effects include gastrointestinal disturbance and nasopharyngitis, which often subside over 10–14 days. Rash is a rare but potentially serious side effect. Postmarketing surveillance has reported an association between DPP-4 inhibitors and pancreatitis. People with diabetes have an increased background risk of pancreatitis and it remains unclear whether DPP-4 inhibitors augment this risk.10

At the time of writing, there were five DPP-4 inhibitors available in Australia that were approved for PBS-subsidised use with either metformin or a sulfonylurea but not both. Triple therapy and monotherapy are not PBS-subsidised; nor is dual therapy with insulin or sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors (Appendix 2).

Thiazolidinediones

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are transcription factor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor PPARγ agonists, which lower BGL through insulin sensitisation. Side effects include weight gain, fluid retention, heart failure and an increased risk of non-axial fractures in women.11 Pioglitazone is associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer. In a limited number of people, TZDs combine well with metformin and sulfonylureas.

Acarbose

Acarbose is an α-glucosidase inhibitor that slows intestinal carbohydrate absorption and reduces postprandial BGL. Its main side effects are bloating and flatulence, which lead to discontinuation in up to 25% of people. If tolerated, it can be effective, particularly when combined with metformin.

Sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors

Two SGLT2 inhibitors (canagliflozin and dapagliflozin) were listed on the PBS in 2013. These drugs inhibit a renal sodium–glucose cotransporter to produce urinary glucose loss and decrease BGL. Urinary glucose loss is the mechanism for the weight loss associated with these drugs. Side effects include dehydration, dizziness and increased risk of genitourinary infections. The first two can be prevented with adequate fluid intake, and the latter diminished with meticulous hygiene. Use with loop diuretics should be avoided. SGLT2 inhibitors have diminished efficacy in people with renal impairment. PBS reimbursement requires use with metformin or a sulfonylurea but not both.

Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists

GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) are administerd by subcutaneous injection. They stimulate β-cell insulin release and slow gastric emptying, which contributes to weight loss but may cause nausea and vomiting. Effects on BGL are slightly superior to those of oral agents. An increased risk of pancreatitis (about 50% above a baseline of one to two episodes per 1000 patient-years in T2D) has been reported.10 These agents should be avoided in patients with a history of pancreatitis or pancreatic malignancy.

**Insulin**

Insulin has extensive effects on metabolism and is generally necessary for cellular glucose uptake. Short-, intermediate- and long-acting insulins are available, as well as premixed preparations. The major side effects are hypoglycaemia and weight gain. In many people, insulin is initiated only after an unnecessarily prolonged period of hyperglycaemia. Insulin is the most potent glucose-lowering agent. With adequate dosage and dietary adherence, it can almost always achieve target BGL. Insulin should be considered early if BGL is very high.

**Treatment algorithm**

The algorithm in Box 2 summarises the available clinical evidence for pharmacotherapeutic strategies to achieve target HbA1c levels in people with T2D. At each step, there are proven and effective approaches. Indications

---

**Box 2. Australian management algorithm for lowering blood glucose level in people with type 2 diabetes**

### First line: Metformin is the usual first-line therapy unless contraindicated or not tolerated

- **Metformin**
- **Sulfonylurea**
- **DPP-4 inhibitor**
- **SGLT2 inhibitor**
- **Insulin**
- **Acarbose**
- **TZD**

### Second line: If metformin was not used at first line, add it now if not contraindicated

- If sulfonylureas are the recommended initial agent to add to metformin
- If sulfonylureas are contraindicated or not tolerated, another agent is recommended

- **Sulfonylurea**
- **DPP-4 inhibitor**
- **GLP-1RA**
- **SGLT2 inhibitor**
- **Insulin**
- **Acarbose**
- **TZD**

### Third line: Consider triple oral therapy or addition of GLP-1RA or insulin

- **Add:** premixed or basal insulin
- **Intensify insulin:** basal–bolus insulin or basal plus

**Lifestyle measures: diet, exercise, weight control**

Determine the individual’s HbA1c target: this will commonly be ≤ 53 mmol/mol (see text and UKPDS). If not at target, move down the algorithm.

---

**Key points**

1. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) are administered by subcutaneous injection. They stimulate β-cell insulin release and slow gastric emptying, which contributes to weight loss but may cause nausea and vomiting. Effects on BGL are slightly superior to those of oral agents. An increased risk of pancreatitis (about 50% above a baseline of one to two episodes per 1000 patient-years in T2D) has been reported. These agents should be avoided in patients with a history of pancreatitis or pancreatic malignancy.

2. Insulin has extensive effects on metabolism and is generally necessary for cellular glucose uptake. Short-, intermediate- and long-acting insulins are available, as well as premixed preparations. The major side effects are hypoglycaemia and weight gain. In many people, insulin is initiated only after an unnecessarily prolonged period of hyperglycaemia. Insulin is the most potent glucose-lowering agent. With adequate dosage and dietary adherence, it can almost always achieve target BGL. Insulin should be considered early if BGL is very high.

---

**Notes**

- First line: Metformin is the usual first-line therapy unless contraindicated or not tolerated.
- If sulfonylureas are contraindicated or not tolerated, another agent is recommended.
- If metformin was not used at first line, add it now if not contraindicated.
- If sulfonylureas are contraindicated or not tolerated, another agent is recommended.
- If receiving triple oral therapy:
  - Switch to another oral agent or basal insulin
  - Change to premixed or basal insulin
  - Add: premixed or basal insulin
- **Intensify insulin:** basal–bolus insulin or basal plus

---
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**Clinical focus**

- Glucose lowering agents, their indications, contraindications, and side effects.
- The role of lifestyle measures in diabetes management.
- The algorithm for managing type 2 diabetes.

---
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that may be PBS-subsidised are highlighted in the algorithm by a red border. It should be noted that use of a medication outside PBS-approved indications requires that it be purchased through private prescription. The current cost of these medications is given in Appendix 3. The algorithm is structured with a usual approach to treatment initiation, and intensification and alternative approaches at each stage.

### First-line treatment

First-line treatment is appropriate diet and exercise. This should be reinforced at every stage. Both weight loss and prevention of weight gain are important.

When lifestyle measures alone no longer achieve desired targets, metformin should be added if there is no contraindication. If metformin is not tolerated or is contraindicated, a sulfonylurea should be used. Other medications are also available but, apart from acarbose and insulin, are not PBS-subsidised as initial treatment.

### Second-line treatment

If glucose control is not achieved with a single agent, there are many second-line treatment options. Sulfonylureas are good second-line agents, achieving similar decreases in HbA$_{1c}$ level as other second-line oral agents, for about 25% of the daily cost (Appendix 3). For patients who experience problematic hypoglycaemia, weight gain or other side effects, an alternative agent should be considered. The most common alternative second-line agent is a DPP-4 inhibitor. These are available in combination tablets with metformin, which may improve compliance.

SGLT2 inhibitors are another option and are PBS-subsidised with either metformin or a sulfonylurea. Addition of acarbose is another second-line alternative. GLP-1RAs can also be used with metformin or a sulfonylurea as second-line therapy. As always, insulin is an option that should be considered, especially if the HbA$_{1c}$ level is $>75$ mmol/mol (9%) after use of an oral agent, as the likelihood of achieving good glycaemic control with oral agents alone becomes lower.

### Third-line treatment

After failure of dual oral therapy, treatment options become more complex. Metformin should be continued for its insulin-sensitising effects unless contraindications develop. Ineffective therapies should be ceased and substituted with a different medication. Comparative evidence from RCTs to inform prescribing is scarce. The options are triple oral therapy or the addition of a GLP-1RA or insulin.

### Triple oral therapy

Metformin, sulfonylurea and DPP-4 inhibitor: Limited RCTs examining the addition of sitagliptin$^{12}$ and linagliptin$^{13}$ to metformin–sulfonylurea have demonstrated reductions in HbA$_{1c}$ level of 7–10 mmol/mol versus baseline and placebo, respectively,$^{12,13}$ but with small increases in weight that are significant in some studies. With triple therapy, the advantage of lower risk of hypoglycaemia with DPP-4 inhibitors appears to be lost.$^{12,13}$ It is reasonable to escalate from metformin and a sulfonylurea or metformin and a DPP-4 inhibitor to triple therapy with all three. However, this combination is not currently PBS-subsidised.

Metformin, sulfonylurea and thiazolidinedione: Trials of triple therapy with metformin, a sulfonylurea and a TZD showed HbA$_{1c}$ lowering of about 11 mmol/mol, but with an increase in weight of 3–5 kg and increased hypoglycaemia.$^{14}$ Pre-emptive decreases in the sulfonylurea dose should be considered. Pioglitazone is PBS-subsidised for triple oral therapy with metformin and a sulfonylurea.

Metformin, sulfonylurea and SGLT2 inhibitor: Limited trials indicate that triple therapy with metformin, a sulfonylurea and an SGLT2 inhibitor may be an effective combination, but this is not PBS-subsidised. A 52-week RCT comparing the addition of canagliflozin or a DPP-4 inhibitor to metformin–sulfonylurea found that HbA$_{1c}$ level decreased by 11 mmol/mol with the SGLT2 inhibitor versus 7 mmol/mol with the DPP-4 inhibitor. There were greater reductions in weight and blood pressure with the SGLT2 inhibitor, and no difference between groups in hypoglycaemia.$^{12}$

Metformin, sulfonylurea and acarbose: Acarbose is PBS-approved for triple therapy with metformin and a sulfonylurea. One double-blinded crossover trial of this combination reported a 1.9% decrease in weight and a 15 mmol/mol decrease in HbA$_{1c}$ level with the addition of acarbose.$^{15}$ Acarbose in other dual-therapy combination studies more commonly decreases HbA$_{1c}$ level by about 6–9 mmol/mol.$^{16}$

### Injected agents

Metformin, sulfonylurea and GLP-1RA: Triple-therapy studies of metformin, a sulfonylurea and a GLP-1RA are few but show reductions in HbA$_{1c}$ level of about 11 mmol/mol, with a little weight loss.$^{17,18}$ Hypoglycaemia is common but may be attenuated by reducing sulfonylurea dosage. The available GLP-1RAs have approval from the Therapeutic Goods Administration for use with metformin and sulfonylurea, but only exenatide is PBS-subsidised.

Insulin: Insulin can be used at any stage in the treatment cascade. It is commonly initiated as once-daily basal insulin added to oral drugs, particularly metformin. Alternatively, it can be initiated as once- or twice-daily premixed insulin, again usually in combination with metformin. Insulin therapy can be intensified by combining long-acting insulin with multiple injections of short-acting insulin.

Recent studies have explored combining insulin with newer therapies, including DPP-4 inhibitors, SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1RAs, with good effect. These combinations are not PBS-subsidised.

### Glucose-lowering therapy in people with renal or hepatic impairment

In the setting of renal dysfunction, pharmacokinetic changes develop that may increase the risk of hypoglycaemia and side effects. Changes are most evident with chronic kidney disease stages 4 and 5, and must be considered when deciding on therapy (Appendix 1). Drug
Glucose-lowering therapy in older people

In older people, glycaemic targets need to be moderated in light of life expectancy, cognitive impairment or frailty. This may mean that the glycaemic target should be symptom control only, or ≤ 6.4 mmol/mol (8%). Assessment of estimated glomerular filtration rate is important, as serum creatinine level is not as reliable a marker of renal function. Cardiac dysfunction is more common in older people, and severe congestive cardiac failure is a contraindication to metformin. Use of multiple drugs should prompt regular review, to minimise polypharmacy. Drugs should be used at the minimum doses needed to achieve practical and safe glycaemic targets.

Conclusions

Diabetes is a progressive condition and, as such, glycaemic targets should be reviewed at regular intervals. The importance of diet and exercise should be reinforced at each step of the therapeutic pathway.

With the range of therapies now available in Australia, there is considerable scope for individualising therapy. If the patient experiences adverse effects with one glucose-lowering agent, another should be substituted. This will often take the form of combination therapy. With diabetes education and an engaged patient, it is now possible to achieve good glycaemic control in more people with T2D.
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