Opioid substitution therapy protects against hepatitis C virus acquisition in people who inject drugs: the HITS-c study

The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is highly prevalent globally among people who inject drugs (PWID). Among the estimated 159 million PWID worldwide, 10 million are estimated to be HCV antibody-positive, compared with about three million who are HIV-positive. Australia has relatively good coverage of harm-reduction initiatives among PWID, with low HVI prevalence and incidence. Conversely, high prevalence and incidence of HCV among Australian PWID have been documented. Between 1995 and 2010, HCV prevalence among needle and syringe program (NSP) attendees nationwide remained between 50% and 60%, and an incidence rate of 44.1/100 person-years was observed in a community-based cohort of PWID in south-western Sydney in 1999–2002. However, a reported decline in HCV infection at the population level suggests that the epidemiology of HCV among PWID in Australia may be changing. There have been no recent data from community-based cohorts of PWID to evaluate whether population-level reductions in HCV incidence may be related to a reduction in the PWID population size and reduced risk among individual PWID. Such data would also provide key indicators for evaluating the impact of Australia’s National Hepatitis C Strategy.

We examined the acquisition of HCV among PWID enrolled in a prospective observational study based in Sydney, New South Wales. Specifically, we assessed HCV incidence from 2009 to 2011, and risk and protective factors associated with incident infection, including uptake of NSPs and opioid substitution therapy (OST — methadone or buprenorphine).

Objective: To estimate hepatitis C virus (HCV) incidence and identify associated risk and protective factors among people who inject drugs (PWID) in Sydney, New South Wales.

Design, setting and participants: Community-based prospective observational study of serologically confirmed HCV antibody-negative PWID enrolled in six Sydney neighbourhoods located in three distinct regions between 10 November 2008 and 31 October 2011.

Main outcome measures: Serologically confirmed HCV incidence per person-years (py); and self-reported demographic and behavioural risk factors for HCV infection.

Results: The overall incidence of HCV infection was 7.9/100 py. Risk factors independently associated with incident HCV infection were younger age (adjusted hazard ratio [AHR] for age < 27 years, 5.66; 95% CI, 1.69–18.95; \( P = 0.005 \)) and daily or more frequent injecting (AHR, 4.06; 95% CI, 1.15–14.30; \( P = 0.03 \)). Opioid substitution therapy (OST) was protective against HCV seroconversion and was associated with a reduced risk of incident infection among those who mainly injected heroin or other opioids (AHR for those not receiving OST while mainly injecting heroin or other opioids, 5.64; 95% CI, 1.30–24.42; \( P = 0.02 \)).

Conclusion: The observed HCV incidence was substantially lower than the incidence of 30.8/100 py observed a decade earlier in a similar NSW-based cohort, suggesting a decline in HCV incidence among PWID. This is likely due to increased coverage of OST, combined with a probable decrease in the population of PWID.

Methods

The Hepatitis C Incidence and Transmission Study – community (HITS-c) is an ongoing community-based prospective observational study of HCV-negative PWID, designed to inform future trials of candidate HCV vaccines. Research methods have been described elsewhere. Briefly, field sites in six neighbourhoods located in three distinct Sydney regions (south-western, inner and western Sydney) were selected after 10 months of ethnographic fieldwork, which included mapping, observation and community engagement to determine potential eligibility and interest in the study. Snowball sampling techniques based on social and drug-use networks, including incentives for peer referral and targeted outreach sampling, were employed to identify potentially eligible PWID. Eligibility criteria for study screening were: age 16 years or older; self-reported HCV antibody-negative or unknown status; injection of drugs in the past 12 months; and willingness to provide contact details. PWID satisfying all inclusion criteria (anti-HCV antibody, hepatitis B surface antigen and anti-HIV antibody tests all negative) were considered eligible for HITS-c enrolment for 6 weeks after the screening visit. Those who failed to return within this period were required to repeat the screening process.
10 November 2008 and 31 October 2011 who had completed at least one behavioural and serological follow-up assessment were included in this analysis.

The study protocol was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee.

**Measures**

Data were collected at screening and baseline visits on demographic characteristics, including age, sex, ethnic background, education, housing and employment status. Risk variables included: incarceration history; recent NSP access; time since first injection; main drug injected in the past 6 months; receptive syringe sharing (ie, reusing another person’s used syringe); receptive ancillary injecting equipment sharing; backloading (ie, receiving drug solution into one’s own syringe from a syringe used by another person, by removing the plunger and squirting the drug into the syringe); injecting in a public place; pooling money to buy drugs; being injected by another person; having a sex partner who injects drugs; and severity of dependence score. Given that OST is indicated for dependence on opioids but not other drugs, a composite variable was created to directly assess the proportion of participants potentially eligible for, but not currently receiving, OST. Participants specifying opioids (predominantly heroin but also methadone, buprenorphine, morphine or oxycodone) as the main drug injected in the past 6 months and also indicating receiving OST in the past 6 months were the reference group, and were compared with those who had mainly injected opioids but indicated no OST in the past 6 months, and those who had mainly injected a non-opioid drug and indicated no OST.

**HCV antibody and RNA testing**

Venous blood samples were screened for HCV antibodies using a standard enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; Abbott Architect) and confirmed using the Monolisa HCV Ag–Ab ULTRA assay (Bio-Rad). Samples were screened for HCV RNA using a quantitative HCV detection assay (COBAS Ampliprep/COBAS TaqMan HCV 2.0; Roche). HCV antibody and RNA testing was conducted every 24 weeks.

**HCV incident case definitions**

Incident cases included participants who tested HCV antibody-negative at screening and were subsequently positive for HCV antibody and/or HCV RNA at baseline or follow-up visits. Date of infection was estimated as the midpoint between the last negative and the first positive HCV antibody result.

**Data analysis**

Data were analysed using Stata 12.1 (StataCorp). Cumulative HCV incidence was estimated using the person-time method, where the total number of incident infections observed was divided by the total number of person-years (py) of observation, calculated from the date of screening to the estimated date of infection for incident cases or the date of last follow-up before 31 October 2011 for those without incident HCV infection. The exact binomial method was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals.

Parametric proportional hazards models were used to assess baseline factors independently associated with HCV infection. With the exponential survival distribution best suiting our data, hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were produced. Consistent with the broader aim of the study to identify and characterise potential participants in future HCV candidate vaccine field trials, baseline rather than on-study variables were included in the models. We also conducted an analysis to adjust for a potential clustering effect by recruitment region, but the results did not provide evidence for this adjustment ($P>0.05$) and we concluded that the use of a random effect model was appropriate.

**Results**

By 31 October 2011, 268 PWID had been screened for the study. Of these, 166 were eligible for enrolment, and 156 (94%) were subsequently enrolled. Of 141 participants enrolled for at least 24 weeks, 127 (90%) completed one or more follow-up risk behaviour and serological assessments. An additional two participants who were HCV antibody-negative at screening and had HCV RNA detected at baseline (3 and 6 weeks after screening) were included in the estimates of HCV incident infection and associated risk factors ($n=129$).

**Incident HCV infection**

In addition to the two participants who were found to be HCV RNA positive at baseline, 15 participants were positive for HCV antibody and/or HCV RNA at follow-up visits (six at 24 weeks, five at 48 weeks, and four at 72 weeks). Thus, a total of 17 HCV incident infections were observed over 215.2 py of follow-up, giving an incidence rate of 7.9/100 py (95% CI, 4.9–12.7) (Box 1). Annual incidence fluctuated (Box 2). HCV incidence was similar in south-western Sydney (8.6/100 py) and western Sydney (8.2/100 py), with a slightly lower rate in inner Sydney (5.0/100 py), although this difference was not statistically significant (Appendix; online at mja.com.au).

**Risk factors for incident HCV infection**

After controlling a priori for sex and ethnic background, as well as for factors significant at $P<0.05$ in the unadjusted analysis (age, daily or more frequent injecting, receptive syringe sharing and not receiving OST while reporting heroin or other opioids as the main drug injected), incident HCV infection was independently associated with younger age (adjusted HR [AHR] for age less than the sample median of 27 years, 5.66; 95% CI, 1.69–18.95; $P=0.005$), daily or more frequent injecting in the 6 months before baseline (AHR, 4.06;
Discussion

The overall HCV incidence we observed of 79/100py between 2009 and 2011 is considerably lower than the incidence of 30.8/100py in a seronegative cohort of PWID conducted in three sites in NSW a decade earlier, between 1999 and 2001.7 Likewise, our observed incidence of 8.6/100py in south-western Sydney is lower than the rate of 44.1/100py found in south-western Sydney in the previous study.7 The two studies recruited samples that were similarly marginalised in terms of housing instability, unemployment and history of imprisonment. However, the more recent HITS-c sample was slightly older and had been injecting for longer (consistent with a reduction in new initiates to injecting) and had lower rates of injecting risk behaviour (consistent with reduced incidence of infection).2,13 Our HCV incidence rates of 79/100py overall and 5.0/100py in inner Sydney are also considerably lower than the rate of 18.9/100py observed in a retrospective cohort of PWID attending an inner Sydney primary health care service two decades ago, in 1992–1993.14 The lower HCV incidence observed in HITS-c than in previous studies is consistent with other data sources indicating that the epidemiology of HCV among PWID in Australia is changing. Declining HCV incidence over the past decade likely reflects a combination of factors, including changes in the drug market and a decline in the rate of initiation to injecting drug use, a decrease in the PWID population and increased NSP and OST coverage.8,15

Our study has limitations, including the non-random selection of the sample and the degree to which the results are generalisable to the broader population of PWID. For example, PWID who were likely to refer others to the study may be less likely to engage in receptive syringe sharing, so our results may underestimate true HCV incidence. However, syringe sharing at baseline was not significantly different between participants who were peer referred and those who were not (data not shown). As behavioural surveys were administered by interviewers, the possibility of misclassification of risk due to the impact of social desirability bias (ie, underreporting of stigmatised or socially undesirable behaviour) cannot be dismissed. Efforts to reduce this included the use of experienced and non-judgemental field staff who were familiar with the study population. Study recall bias was minimised by assessing risk behaviour every 24 weeks. Finally, the small number of incident HCV infections limited the power of the multivariate analyses and the precision of estimates.

Discussion

We found that younger age was associated with about a 5.5-fold increased risk of incident HCV infection. In the previous prospective cohort study of PWID in NSW, injecting for less than 1 year at baseline — a marker of younger age — was associated with an increased risk of incident HCV infection (AHR, 4.32; 95% CI, 1.89–9.84).7 In the earlier inner Sydney retrospective clinical cohort study, younger age was also associated with HCV acquisition (adjusted odds ratio for age <20 years, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.01–6.01).14 In our study, younger participants were less well educated, more likely to indicate residential instability and less likely to be identified as drug-dependent compared with older participants (data not shown). However, age was not associated with differences in key risk behaviour at baseline or follow-up, suggesting that unmeasured factors such as injecting networks and other behavioural, social and structural elements may account for the observed association between age and HCV acquisition. Daily or more frequent injecting was also independently associated with incident HCV infection, which may be a marker of increased exposure to injecting with a contaminated syringe.

In our study, OST was protective against incident HCV infection and associated with a greater than fivefold reduced risk among those who mainly injected heroin or other opioids. There is good existing evidence that stand-alone OST reduces injecting frequency and injecting risk behaviour, as well as growing evidence that OST prevents HIV transmission.16 However, previous reports suggest that evidence is limited in relation to HCV prevention. A recent systematic review of the effectiveness of OST alone in preventing HCV infection in PWID found pooled results remained non-significant.17 The lack of a similarly protective effect for NSPs in our study may be a result of our measure of access, which was restricted to NSP attendance only, whereas individual-level syringe coverage as a proportion of all injections would have been preferable.

Our study is the first community-based prospective cohort study to observe an independent protective effect of OST against HCV infection among PWID who reported heroin (or other opioids) as the main drug injected. A Welsh study that examined incident HCV infection (determined using dried blood spot samples) among PWID in 2004–2006 found that participants receiving OST at 12-month follow-up were less likely to acquire HCV than those not receiving treatment (adjusted incidence rate ratio, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.12–0.99; P = 0.047).18 Importantly, when the results of this study were included in an analysis of pooled data from other United Kingdom studies, PWID receiving OST had 64% reduced odds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Person-years at risk</th>
<th>Number newly diagnosed</th>
<th>Incidence per 100 person-years (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.0 (4.5–22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8 (3.0–15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011*</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.8 (3.3–18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.9 (4.9–12.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes data only until 31 October 2011. †Includes 2.7 person-years at risk observed between 10 November and 31 December 2008.
of primary HCV infection compared with those not receiving OST.29

The potential role of OST in preventing HCV infection is encouraging, given improving access in the Australian setting. The number of people receiving OST nationally has almost doubled since 1998, from 1.3 to 2.1 per 1000 population,20 and this has been accompanied by a concurrent reduction in the population size of PWID.8 These two factors are the likely key drivers of reduced HCV incidence, including the lower incidence observed in our study.

A primary goal of Australia’s Fourth National Hepatitis C Strategy 2014–2017 is to reduce the transmission of HCV, with the annual incidence of HCV among PWID a key indicator of this goal.8 Our results suggest that a reduction in HCV incidence in the HTS-c cohort may be a result of improved coverage of primary prevention measures such as OST, a priority action area of the Strategy. Our findings are also consistent with international reports of declining HCV incidence among PWID in high-income settings, including in the Netherlands between 1985 and 2005,21 and the United States between 1998 and 2008.22 However, despite a reduction in HCV incidence, our data suggest that young PWID in Australia remain at risk of HCV infection. Other prevention and education initiatives, such as increased access to sterile injecting equipment and innovative health service delivery models, will remain essential. Finally, despite recent advances in direct-acting antiviral therapies for HCV infection and their potential impact on trends in HCV incidence,23 barriers to access and prohibitive costs mean that uptake of these new agents by PWID is likely to remain low in the short to medium term.24
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