Carrying weapons and intent to harm among Victorian secondary school students in 1999 and 2009

Youth violence (for example, carrying a weapon or attacking someone with intent to harm) is one of the most important social and public health problems worldwide. The costs of youth violence stem from harm caused to victims, as well as policing and criminal justice responses and community perceptions of reduced safety. Violence was listed in the top three issues concerning young Australians in 2010.

Eight per cent of Victorian students in Years 7 and 9 engaged in violent behaviour in 2002, with higher rates among boys than girls. In addition, rates of youth violence are higher in disadvantaged communities and in regional communities with unstable populations and economic structures as well as high unemployment, and lower among youths from immigrant families.

There have been reports of increases in violent offences perpetrated by youth in Australia and media reports suggest more youth have engaged in violent offences, rates of students carrying weapons and attacking others with intent to harm, after controlling for demographic variables.

Conclusions: In contrast to media portrayals and reported trends in violent offences, rates of students carrying weapons and attacking others with intent to harm have not changed between 1999 and 2009. These findings underline the importance of having national population-based data to regularly monitor the rates of these and related behaviours among young Australians.

Objective: To examine comparable survey data across 10 years to assess whether rates of self-reported weapon carrying and intent to harm others have increased as suggested in reported trends in violent offences.

Design, setting and participants: Population-based surveys administered to Victorian secondary school students in 1999 (8984 students) and 2009 (10 273 students) attending government, Catholic and independent schools.

Main outcome measures: Student self-reports of carrying a weapon and attacking someone with the intent to harm in the past 12 months.

Results: In both surveys, about 15.0% of students reported carrying a weapon and about 7.0% reported attacking someone with intent to harm in the past 12 months, with higher rates among boys than girls. There was no change over time in the rates of students carrying weapons or attacking someone with the intent to harm, after controlling for demographic variables.

Conclusions: In contrast to media portrayals and reported trends in violent offences, rates of students carrying weapons and attacking others with intent to harm have not changed between 1999 and 2009. These findings underline the importance of having national population-based data to regularly monitor the rates of these and related behaviours among young Australians.

Methods

Data for this study were drawn from two Victorian cross-sectional surveys; the 1999 Adolescent Health and Wellbeing Survey and the 2009 Victorian Adolescent Health and Wellbeing Survey (HOWRU). Both surveys used a modified version of the Communities That Care Youth Survey to measure behavioural and mental health outcomes, as well as risk and protective factors.

Both surveys used a two-stage cluster sampling approach. The first stage consisted of a stratified random sample of government (public) and non-government (Catholic and independent) schools from each of 36 areas across Victoria — the 31 metropolitan government areas and the five state government regions outside the metropolitan area. Schools were selected randomly with a probability proportional to the number of Year 7, 9 and 11 students in the school. In the second stage, a random sample was taken of one class at each year level.

Data collection covered the first three school terms (February to September) in 1999 and the second and third terms (April to November) in 2009. Responses to questionnaires were anonymous. Students completed the questionnaire in class, taking about 40 minutes in 1999 for a pen-and-paper survey and about 60 minutes in 2009 to complete a longer online survey.

Carrying a weapon was measured by asking students whether in the past 12 months they had carried a weapon. Attacking someone with intent to harm was measured by asking students whether in the past 12 months they had attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them. In the 1999 survey, participants responded to both questions on an 8-point scale ranging from “No” to “Yes, more than 40...
In the 2009 survey, items were rated on a 5-point scale ranging from “Never” to “10 or more times”. For both surveys, responses to these items were recoded and dichotomised to 0 (“No/Never”) or 1 (“At least once”). Demographic measures controlled in the analyses included age, sex, language spoken at home, and geographic location of school. Student residential socioeconomic disadvantage was measured using four quartiles: (0–25th quartile [most disadvantaged], 26th–50th quartile [disadvantaged], 51st–75th quartile [advantaged] and 76th-100th quartile [most disadvantaged]), on the index of relative socioeconomic disadvantage from the Australian Bureau of Statistics’ Socio-Economic Indices for Areas (SEIFA) for 1996 and 2006. 17,18

Statistical analysis
Prevalence rates with 95% CIs were estimated for the outcomes of interest in each survey. In unadjusted analyses, the relationship between year of survey and the outcomes was analysed by logistic generalised estimating equations (GEE) to take account of the clustering of students in schools. These analyses were then repeated, controlling for all demographic measures. A complete case analysis was performed with Stata, version 11 (StataCorp), that included only students who responded to all the variables analysed here.

Results
The student participation rate was 70% (8984 students) in the 1999 survey and 76% (10 273 students) in the 2009 survey. There were complete case data for 92% of students in the 1999 survey (7998) and 91% of students in the 2009 survey (9364). Box 1 describes the school and student samples for each survey.

The prevalence rate for carrying a weapon was about 15.0% in both the 1999 and 2009 surveys and the prevalence rate for attacking someone with intent to harm was about 7.0% in both surveys. Rates for both outcomes did not differ over time. More boys than girls engaged in these behaviours (Box 2).

Discussion
We found no change over time in the self-reported rates of student violent behaviour based on two indicators, carrying weapons or attacking someone with intent to harm, even after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>1999 Survey</th>
<th>2009 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of government areas</td>
<td>Metropolitan 31</td>
<td>Regional 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of schools</td>
<td>In sampling frame* 535</td>
<td>Approached 309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of school</td>
<td>Government 126 (65.0%)</td>
<td>Catholic 41 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student sample</td>
<td>Total number in analysed sample 7998</td>
<td>Mean age in years (SE) 14.1 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English spoken at home 6500 (81.3%)</td>
<td>School located in metropolitan area 5811 (72.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School located in metropolitan area 5811 (72.7%)</td>
<td>Neighbourhood disadvantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbourhood disadvantage</td>
<td>Disadvantaged 2004 (25.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbourhood disadvantage</td>
<td>Most advantaged 2005 (25.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| * In both 1999 and 2009, special schools were not included in the sampling frame.

2 Rates of carrying a weapon and attacking someone with intent to harm in 1999 and 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying a weapon</th>
<th>Attacking someone with intent to harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>7998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall sample*</td>
<td>1208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| * For the overall sample, we found no difference in rates between 1999 and 2009 surveys whether findings were or were not adjusted for demographic characteristics. This was the case for both carrying a weapon (unadjusted odds ratio [OR], 1.0; 95% CI, 0.9–1.1 and adjusted OR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–1.1) and attacking someone with intent to harm (unadjusted OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.8–1.1 and adjusted OR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–1.1).
controlling for demographic changes. Our findings suggest that changes in policing and court policies rather than in youth behaviour may explain increases in violence offences.19 Consistent with previous research,20 more boys than girls carried weapons or attacked another with intent to harm.

A strength of our study is that the methods used in the 1999 and 2009 surveys were virtually identical, enabling comparison of rates of behaviour across a 10-year period. However, response rates in the two surveys differed, with a lower response rate in 1999, most likely most likely because that survey required active parental consent. The survey measures, which originated in the United States, have been used in other high-income countries, and had been extensively tested before their use with Victorian students. Although the survey relies on youth self-report, this is considered a reliable data source for behaviours not always visible to adults, such as violence, and the reliability of reporting is unlikely to have changed over the decade.20,21

Violence in adolescence remains an important social and health issue. Yet our study challenges trends in offence data and recent media reports of increasing youth violence by finding no shifts in two self-report indices between 1999 and 2009. Our findings illustrate the need for sound self-report data for planning balanced policy responses and to challenge some negative media portrayals that can create erroneous and damaging stereotypes of young people.22
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