In 2003, the National Heart Foundation of Australia (NHFA) published a position statement relating to psychosocial risk factors and coronary heart disease (CHD).

Here, we provide an updated review of the literature on psychosocial stressors, to complement a separate consensus statement from the Expert Working Group on depression and CHD. Psychosocial stressors include chronic stressors (in particular, work stress), acute individual stressors (such as bereavement or job loss) and acute population stressors (such as earthquakes and sporting events). The process for developing this consensus statement is described in Box 1. Treatment decisions should take into account the individual clinical circumstances of each patient.

Chronic stressors and coronary heart disease

Chronic work stress

The previous NHFA review found that there was neither strong nor consistent evidence of a causal association between work-related stressors and CHD. However, there is plausible evidence for work stress having a biological impact. Furthermore, work stress has a prominent place in the public perception of potential causes of CHD, particularly heart attacks.

Most of the literature evaluating the effects of work stress on cardiovascular disease (CVD) has focused on job strain and effort–reward imbalance. Recently, the literature has broadened to include other concepts, such as job insecurity, organisational justice, job satisfaction and working hours. Throughout the literature, these concepts generally refer to individuals’ perceptions rather than external ratings of work stress. Studies of the impact of work stress on the development of CHD have been comprehensively reviewed since 2003. Differing study methodology (in particular, the method used to assess work stress) has a strong effect on whether or not an association between work stress and CHD is found.

Job strain

People who report that the demands of their work are too great and that they have too little control over how and when their work is performed are considered to be experiencing “job strain”. One review found that for high versus low job strain, the age- and sex-adjusted relative risk (RR) of CHD was 1.43 (95% CI, 1.15–1.84), but this was not significant after adjustment for standard CHD risk factors and potential mediators. Another review found that a majority of studies of men found no independent association between job strain and CHD, while studies of women suggested trends toward an association. The relevance of this difference between the sexes is unclear. A European meta-analysis of individual participant data showed that for the 15% of employed participants who reported high levels of job strain, the hazard ratio (HR) for an incident CHD event was 1.23 (95% CI, 1.10–1.37), compared with...
the participants reporting low levels of job strain. However, job strain only accounted for 3.4% of the overall population attributable fraction (PAF; a reflection of the contribution of a risk factor to a disease) of CHD. This PAF is much less than that for standard CHD risks, such as smoking or hypertension. Confirming this, the Whitehall II study demonstrated that adding information on job strain does not improve 10-year risk prediction for CHD above the standard Framingham risk score.

**Effort–reward imbalance**

This is the perception of an imbalance between the effort of work (which includes the demands and challenges of the work itself and the effort of balancing work with other aspects of one’s life) and the rewards of work (financial rewards, increased self-esteem and advancement opportunities). The RR for the combination of high effort and low reward in predicting CHD in one review was not statistically significant (1.58; 95% CI, 0.84–2.97); a finding of a trend only, that was confirmed by another review.

**Organisational injustice**

The perception of organisational injustice (unfair treatment at work) has been associated with a significant RR of CHD (1.47; 95% CI, 1.12–1.95). However, as almost all of the evidence for this came from the Whitehall II study, no generalised conclusion about the association between organisational injustice and CHD can be reached.

**Shift work**

The impact of shift work on CHD was thoroughly reviewed and analysed in 2012. Shift work was found to be associated with a moderate increase in myocardial infarction (MI) (RR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.15–1.31) and CHD events (RR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.10–1.39), but not with increased rates of mortality. All shift work schedules studied, with the exception of evening shifts, were associated with a higher risk of CHD events, even after adjusting for unhealthy behaviour and other CHD risks.

**Other types of work stress**

The evidence of an association between the development of CHD and job (in)security, job satisfaction and working hours is mixed, and no firm conclusions can be made at this stage. It is recognised that the potential for bias in this area is large.
difficult to study and quantify the magnitude of their effects.\textsuperscript{1} Since then, further publications have supported the link between acute stressors and CHD. However, there is potential for recall bias in this evidence, arising from case-crossover\textsuperscript{28} and observational\textsuperscript{29} studies, and for other potential confounders or varying individual responses to stressors to influence the results. While many psychological stressors have a clear time of initiation, others are less precise.

Several reviews have examined the relationship between acute psychological stressors and CHD.\textsuperscript{30–33} One review assessed studies of triggers of non-fatal MI and calculated PAFs.\textsuperscript{34} Taking into account the odds ratio (OR) and the prevalence of exposures, the estimated PAFs included negative emotions (3.9\%) and anger (3.1\%).

**Pathophysiology**

There are several mechanisms by which acute psychological stress might trigger an acute MI.\textsuperscript{35} Psychological stress produces significant increases in heart rate and blood pressure that may lead to increased myocardial oxygen demand and plaque disruption. There is also evidence that mental stress may lead to a primary reduction in myocardial oxygen supply. Whereas coronary arteries of people without CHD dilate during mental stress, impaired dilation and even constriction have been demonstrated in atherosclerotic arteries.\textsuperscript{36} In some studies, mental stress has been found to enhance platelet aggregation.\textsuperscript{37}

**Individual stressors**

**Acute emotional responses**

Individual studies have shown a varying transient RR of about 2–9 (compared with baseline) for anger triggering MI, typically using a 2-hour hazard period after an episode of anger. Anger shortly before MI has been reported in 2\%–17\% of different populations.\textsuperscript{38–40} Acute anger episodes have also been reported to trigger ventricular arrhythmias.\textsuperscript{41} A higher likelihood of anger triggering MI has been observed in people with socioeconomic deprivation and lower educational attainment.\textsuperscript{42}

Acute anxiety episodes are also associated with a transient increase in cardiac risk. In the Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study (the Onset study), experiencing anxiety symptoms in the 2 hours before MI symptom onset was associated with a significant RR of 1.6 (95\% CI, 1.1–2.2; $P = 0.01$).\textsuperscript{38} However, these studies are prone to recall bias.

**Bereavement**

Increased cardiac mortality in bereaved people is well described.\textsuperscript{33,43} In a cohort of middle-aged widowers, a 40\% relative increase in mortality rate was observed in the first 6 months after bereavement.\textsuperscript{43} Using the new criteria in the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, many of these bereaved individuals could now be diagnosed as having “depression”.\textsuperscript{44} The risk appears to be maximal in the first few weeks. In the Onset study, there was a 21.1-fold (95\% CI, 13.1–34.1) increase in incidence rate ratio of non-fatal MI in the 24 hours after bereavement, with a fourfold increase in the first month after bereavement.\textsuperscript{45}

**Acute work-related stressors and job loss**

Acute work stressors (eg, high-pressure deadline), when linked to negative emotions, have been associated with a transient increase in risk of MI (OR, 6.0; 95\% CI, 1.8–20.3).\textsuperscript{46} However, confirmatory studies are needed.

Job loss is a major stressor that may disrupt socioeconomic dimensions, such as income and social connections, and is commonly thought to be a cause of adverse health events.\textsuperscript{47–49} The United States Health and Retirement Survey found that involuntary job loss (eg, from workplace closures or redundancies) among older workers was associated with a more than doubling in MI (HR, 2.48; 95\% CI, 1.49–4.14) relative to working people, after adjustment for potential confounders.\textsuperscript{47} A greater number of job losses experienced by an individual was associated with a greater risk of MI.\textsuperscript{49} This risk increased incrementally from one job loss (HR, 1.22; 95\% CI, 1.04–1.42) to four or more cumulative job losses (HR, 1.63; 95\% CI, 1.29–2.07). The risk of MI was elevated within the first year of unemployment (HR, 1.27; 95\% CI, 1.01–1.60) but not thereafter.

In contrast, studies from Europe and New Zealand have shown no link between job loss and CVD.\textsuperscript{50–53} The reasons for these different findings may relate to differing study approaches. The US studies all used self-reporting for determination of CHD,\textsuperscript{47–49} whereas the European and New Zealand studies used national data linkages for more robust determination of CHD deaths and hospitalisation.\textsuperscript{50–53} Also, the context may have an influence; for example, in the US, employment is important in accessing health care. The impact of job loss during a recession, when such loss is more common, is different to the impact of job loss during a boom.\textsuperscript{54} Paradoxically, country-level data have shown temporal associations of decreasing unemployment with increased deaths from CVD.\textsuperscript{55,56} It has been suggested that this effect may reflect people using the extra time to undertake healthier behaviour. Overall, while job loss may have detrimental economic and psychological consequences, its association with CHD remains unclear.

**Population stressors**

**Natural and other disasters**

Earthquakes and wartime missile attacks are associated with acute increases in cardiovascular event rates,\textsuperscript{29,57–59} possibly moderated by the time of the event. It is postulated that the added stress of abrupt awakening may have contributed to the triggering of MI by the 1994 Los Angeles earthquake, which occurred at 4.31 am.\textsuperscript{59} In the 60 days after the September 11 terrorist attacks in 2001, there was a 49% increase in patients with MI admitted through New York emergency departments, compared with the 60 days before (118 v 79; $P = 0.01$).\textsuperscript{59}

**Sporting events**

Sporting events provide another example of population stress.\textsuperscript{61,62} On the day of the 1996 European football championship quarterfinal in which the Netherlands narrowly lost to France, Dutch men had an increased RR of mortality from MI or stroke of 1.51 (95\% CI, 1.08–2.09). However, there was no increased risk for Dutch women.\textsuperscript{61} A German study of the football World Cup provided further evidence for the triggering of cardiovascular events
during emotional stress associated with watching sporting events.62

**Takotsubo cardiomyopathy**

There has been increased recognition of takotsubo cardiomyopathy and its relationship to acute emotional stress.53-56 The use of angiography has led to the recognition of takotsubo cardiomyopathy in 1%–3% of patients presenting with suspected acute coronary syndrome. A distinctive abnormality of left ventricular contraction, leading to a systolic appearance on angiography that resembles the short, narrow neck and round bottom of a Japanese octopus trap (a *takotsubo*), gave the entity its name. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy is characterised by signs and symptoms of myocardial ischaemia in the absence of obstructive CHD.63 Acute myocarditis may present with similar symptoms and with normal coronary arteries, but without the distinctive left ventricular appearance of takotsubo cardiomyopathy. Although incidence rates vary, an episode of acute psychological stress frequently seems to trigger the onset of takotsubo cardiomyopathy, which is also referred to as “stress cardiomyopathy”. However, the absolute incidence is low.53 Women, particularly postmenopausal women, are a susceptible population, accounting for up to 90% of affected individuals.53 Takotsubo cardiomyopathy appears to have a neurohormonal basis associated with high catecholamine levels.65 Full recovery of left ventricular function usually occurs within several days.65

**Preventive strategies for triggered acute risk**

While the evidence supports a link between acute psychological triggers and cardiovascular risk, there is no convincing evidence for specific prevention at an individual level. It is therefore important to note that the absolute risk from a single triggering event, and likewise the risk reduction from any single episode of therapy, is very low.38,66 Any low additional transient risk also needs to be considered in the context of an individual’s overall risk factor profile. Suggested approaches to protecting against MI triggered by acute emotional stress include reinforcing the value of general cardiovascular risk factor modification, with an emphasis on lowering lipid levels, reducing blood pressure, smoking cessation, regular physical activity and maintaining a healthy weight.66 A range of resources regarding these measures and general workplace wellness are available from health promotion organisations including the NHFA.67 Other approaches include education about reducing anxiety and anger responses to stress.68 The use of agents that have a cardioprotective effect, such as aspirin and β-blockers, has been shown to alter the physiological response to acute stressors and may result in reduced risk of trigger-related MI.38,66 although this requires further study.

From a population perspective, the recognition that acute stressors can trigger CHD supports the need for cardiac care to be available for large gatherings of people who may experience mental stress. This could include availability of public-access defibrillators at sporting venues and airports, or as part of the initial rescue response to natural and other disasters, such as earthquakes.29,69,70

---

**Clinical focus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence statement</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors for onset of CHD (aetiology)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 High job strain increases the risk of CHD</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>I†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Shift work increases the risk of CHD</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>I†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Limited evidence that social isolation is a risk factor for CHD</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome of CHD (prognosis)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Limited evidence that high job strain increases the risk of a poor CHD prognosis</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Social isolation increases the risk of a poor CHD prognosis</td>
<td>B*</td>
<td>I†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acute stressors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence statement</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 MI can be precipitated by negative emotional states</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>III-3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 CHD events can be precipitated by bereavement</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>II†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 No consistent evidence that involuntary job loss causes CHD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>II†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Takotsubo cardiomyopathy can be precipitated by acute emotional stress</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>III-3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Acute population stressors (eg, earthquakes, missile attacks and sporting events) may transiently increase cardiovascular events</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>III-2†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

A summary of the key evidence-based points is provided in Box 2 and Box 3.

There is now consistent observational evidence that some aspects of work stress, high perceived job strain and shift work are associated with a small increased risk for development of CHD. These studies have been conducted almost entirely in northern Europe and may not be generalisable to the Australian context, with its different health system, job market and sociodemographic structure. There is also considerable publication bias in the available literature, and the measures of work stress are often only assessed once and are highly variable.

The increased RR of CHD events (about 20%–30%) may account for between 3% (job strain) and 7% (shift work) of all CHD events, as job strain and shift work are so common. Although notable, this effect is far weaker than that from standard CHD risk factors such as smoking, hypertension, abnormal lipid levels and depression. Knowledge of an individual’s work stress levels does not appear to help clinicians in predicting future CHD events. Furthermore, no studies have been conducted to show whether any intervention for work stress can reduce the development of CHD. With the many factors involved and the uncommon occurrence of CHD events in working populations, the likelihood of workplace stress prevention programs demonstrating an effect on CHD events is
remote. More promising is the potential of workplace programs aimed specifically at weight loss, exercise and other standard cardiovascular risk factors, although no evidence is yet available regarding the effect of such programs on the development of CHD. Insufficient evidence was found for an association between CHD and organisational injustice, job (in)security or satisfaction, or working hours, and no firm conclusions can be made about these at this stage.

Given the large body of consistent observational evidence that social isolation after an MI is associated with an adverse prognosis, attempts to enhance social support and reduce isolation should be encouraged. Such attempts will almost certainly produce positive psychosocial effects for most people, even though there is no definitive evidence that they will result in improved CHD outcomes.

Extensive literature supports a role for acute emotional stress in triggering MI and takotsubo cardiomyopathy, with potential mechanisms for the link described. However, the absolute increase in transient risk from an individual stressor is generally very low. While there is evidence of a link between acute psychological triggers and CHD, there is no convincing evidence for specific prevention at an individual level. However, there is a rationale to consider minimising cardiac risk factors to offer some protection against MI. Efforts to interrupt the link between the stressor and the cardiovascular event by non-pharmacological and pharmacological means require further research. The recognition that acute stressors can trigger CHD events supports the NHFA recommendation that wider public access to defibrillators be made available where large groups of people gather, such as sporting venues and airports, and as part of the response to natural and other disasters. From a public health perspective, awareness of the potential for increased cardiovascular risk among populations exposed to natural disasters and other conditions of extreme stress may be useful for emergency services response planning.
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Appendix: Definition of National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) grades of recommendations and evidence hierarchy*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice in most situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Body of evidence provides some support for recommendation(s) but care should be taken in its application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Body of evidence is weak and recommendation must be applied with caution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NHMRC evidence hierarchy: designation of levels of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
<th>Aetiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A systematic review of level II studies</td>
<td>A systematic review of level II studies</td>
<td>A systematic review of level II studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>A randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>A prospective cohort study</td>
<td>A prospective cohort study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-1</td>
<td>A pseudorandomised controlled trial (e. alternate allocation or some other method)</td>
<td>All or none</td>
<td>All or none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| III-2 | A comparative study with concurrent controls:  
- Non-randomised, experimental trial  
- Cohort study  
- Case–control study  
- Interrupted time series with a control group | Analysis of prognostic factors among persons in a single arm of a randomised controlled trial | A retrospective cohort study |
| III-3 | A comparative study without concurrent controls:  
- Historical control study  
- Two or more single arm study  
- Interrupted time series without a parallel control group | A retrospective cohort study | A case–control study |
| IV    | Case series with either pre-test or pre-test/post-test outcomes | Case series, or cohort study of persons at different stages of disease | A cross-sectional study or case series |

* From NHMRC additional levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for developers of guidelines.23