Tiger snake (Notechis spp) envenoming: Australian Snakebite Project (ASP-13)

Tiger snakes are one of the most frequent causes of envenoming in Australia, particularly in Victoria, and are the most important venomous snake in Tasmania. There are two species of tiger snake — Notechis scutatus (mainland tiger snake) and Notechis ater (black tiger snake) — although a recent taxonomic revision suggests the distinction may be somewhat arbitrary.1 Mainland tiger snakes average 1.2 metres in length and have significant variability in appearance, from the classical banded dark grey/black and yellow skin to unbanded types with a range of colours from black to light grey.2

Most previous reports on tiger snake bites have been case reports of one or a few cases,3–8 but there have been two case-series studies, one from South Australia9 and another from Western Australia.10 Both series were retrospective, with case confirmation based on clinical effects and on a positive result for tiger snake venom using the commercially available snake venom detection kit (sVDK).10 The frequency of different envenoming syndromes and the severity of clinical effects in tiger snake envenoming has not been fully characterised.

Tiger snake antivenom (TSAV) was the first snake antivenom to be released by the then Commonwealth Serum Laboratories (which later became CSL Ltd) in 1930. The initial dose was 1 vial. However, over the past few decades, the recommended dose has increased to 4 vials11 and, in some cases, many more are given.10,12 Recent laboratory and clinical studies have shown that far less antivenom is required to neutralise the effects of Australian snake venoms13,14 and to fully bind venom in vivo.15–17

The aims of our study were to report the clinical syndrome that occurs with definite tiger snake envenoming and to examine the ability of TSAV to bind free venom in vivo.

Methods

We conducted a prospective cohort study of tiger snake envenomings recruited to the Australian Snakebite Project (ASP). The ASP is a prospective multicentre study of suspected snakebites or snake envenomings from over 100 Australian hospitals. The study design, recruitment and data collection have been described previously,15,16 and approval has been obtained from 19 human research and ethics committees covering all institutions involved.
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These are the average conversions, polyvalent antivenom is equivalent to tiger snake antivenom, and 1 vial of antivenom is equivalent to 0.6 vials of bitten a patient who showed features of any one of these syndromes was considered indicative of systemic envenoming. Clinical features of any hypersensitivity reactions were also documented and were graded according to Brown’s scale.20

Case identification

We reviewed all cases from October 2004 to June 2011 and identified possible tiger snake bites or envenoming cases on the basis of expert snake identification, a positive sVDK test for tiger snake venom, or clinical suspicion (in geographical areas where tiger snakes occur). These included cases of VICC, from areas where tiger snakes occur, in which a urine or bite-site sample initially tested positive for brown snake (Pseudonaja spp) venom with sVDK, but was later found to be negative for brown snake venom using serum venom-specific enzyme immunoassay (EIA). Stored samples were analysed for the presence of venoms from snakes known to inhabit the relevant geographical area. Definite tiger snake envenomings were defined by either a serum venom-specific EIA being positive for tiger snake venom and negative for all other relevant venoms or by expert identification of the snake that had bitten a patient who showed features of envenoming.

Antivenom dose

Antivenom dose was defined as the amount given before the first post-antivenom blood collection for the measurement of venom. For patients given antivenom other than tiger snake antivenom, the following conversions were used (based on a previous study that showed that all terrestrial snake antivenoms produced by CSL Ltd are in fact polyvalent21): 1 vial of brown snake antivenom is equivalent to 0.6 vials of tiger snake antivenom, and 1 vial of polyvalent antivenom is equivalent to 4 vials of tiger snake antivenom.

Enzyme immunoassay

In patients with blood samples available before and/or after antivenom administration, the venom concentration was measured using a venom-specific ELISA to detect venoms from Notechis spp (tiger snake), Tropidechis carinatus (rough-scaled snake), Hoplocephalus spp (which includes the broad-headed snake, pale-headed snake and Stephens’ banded snake) and Pseudonaja spp (brown snake). Venom-specific antibodies were not available for Austrelaps spp (copperhead snake).

The method uses polyclonal antibodies (IgG) to each venom, raised in rabbits. Detection is by biotinylated antibodies followed by streptavidin horseradish peroxidase, as described elsewhere.17,22 The limit of detection for the assay is 0.15 ng/mL for individual venoms, and the assay is highly specific for each venom, except that Notechis and T. carinatus can only be distinguished from one another at concentrations above 2 ng/mL.16 This quantitative and specific EIA differs from the sVDK test, which is a qualitative EIA based on visual inspection of colour changes in wells. The latter is used to rapidly detect venom at the bite site or in urine.

The peak pre-antivenom tiger snake venom concentration was reported for each patient.

Statistical analysis

For descriptive statistics, median, range and interquartile range (IQR) were calculated for data not normally distributed. Proportions are given with 95% confidence intervals calculated using the Wilson’s procedure with a continuity correction.23 Statistical and graphical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism software, version 5.03 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, Calif, USA).

Results

From 138 possible tiger snake bites and an additional four cases initially classified as brown snake bites, we identified 56 definite tiger snake envenomings cases and four definite non-envenomed tiger snake bites. The remaining 78 cases included 39 definite rough-scaled snakebites (based on ELISA), 17 probable tiger snake bites (based on geography and sVDK tests without ELA confirmation), 18 cases in which there was either no venom ELA confirmation or the amount of venom detected by ELA was too low to distinguish between tiger snake or rough-scaled snake venom, one definite brown snake bite, and three inconclusive cases in which no venom was detected. Of the 56 definite tiger snake envenomings, the majority occurred in southern Australia and nine involved bites by captive snakes (Box 1).

Clinical effects

The patient demographic characteristics and clinical effects of the 56 tiger snake envenoming cases are summarised in Box 2 and Box 3. All envenomed patients developed VICC, neurotoxicity or myotoxicity, alone or in combination (Box 4). There was no relationship between the pattern of clinical syndromes and geographical location of the bite. There were no deaths. Five patients had an early collapse, including one associated with a seizure. One of the patients had a cardiac arrest, but also developed features of anaphylaxis before receiving antivenom and had a history of previous snakebites. Systemic symptoms occurred in 45 patients (80%), with nausea, vomiting and headache being most common (Box 3).

VICC was the commonest manifestation of envenoming. Thirty-nine patients had complete VICC. In these patients the median time until the INR returned to <2.0 was 14.5 hours (IQR, 11.3–18.6 hours). Fourteen patients had partial VICC. Of the remaining three envenomed patients, one presented 8 days after the bite, one had a normal INR and a D-dimer level 10 times the upper limit of normal, and the third had a normal INR but fibrinogen and D-dimer levels were not tested. Bleeding occurred in 17 patients, and two of these had haematemesis without evidence of major gastrointestinal haemorrhage. There were no other major haemorrhages. Thrombotic microangiopathy, which occurred in three patients with VICC, was characterised by acute renal failure, thrombocytopenia and...
microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia (two of these cases have been reported in detail elsewhere\textsuperscript{24}).

Neurotoxicity occurred in 17 patients (30%) and was severe in one patient, who had bulbar, respiratory and limb weakness requiring intubation and ventilation. The remaining 16 patients had mainly extracranial involvement (Box 3). Four of the 17 patients with neurotoxicity also had myotoxicity. Myotoxicity occurred in 11 patients and there was a raised CK level (> 1000 U/L) in another five. The median peak CK level was 4749 U/L (range, 1193–203110 U/L). All 11 patients had local muscle pain and tenderness, six developed generalised myalgia or muscle tenderness, and one developed trismus. Acute renal failure did not develop in association with myotoxicity, except in one case where thrombotic microangiopathy was present. The patient with the most severe case of myotoxicity (who also developed severe neurotoxicity) had a peak CK level of 203110 U/L but did not develop renal failure.

Local pain was reported in 51 patients, with associated bruising in 35 patients and swelling in 33. Of two patients who developed local necrosis, one had an associated secondary infection.

**Venom detection kit results**

In 44 of the 56 definite envenoming cases, a bite-site sVDK test was done. Of the 12 patients who did not have a bite-site sVDK test, four had a positive urine sVDK test for tiger snake, four had an expert identification of the snake at the time, and four were in Tasmania, where sVDK tests are not required because tiger snakes and copperhead snakes are the only venomous species present.

The bite-site sVDK test was positive for tiger snake only in 33/44 cases, negative for all species in four cases (subsequent urine sVDK tests were positive for tiger snake in three of these), positive for both brown snake and tiger snake in two cases, and positive for brown snake only in five cases. Of the five patients with a positive sVDK test for brown snake, three received brown snake antivenom, one received 1 vial of brown snake and 2 vials of tiger snake antivenom, and one presented with myotoxicity 8 days after being bitten by a captive snake and did not receive antivenom. In one of the non-envenomed cases involving an identified tiger snake, an sVDK test was positive for brown snake, but a venom-specific EIA on serum was negative for tiger snake venom.

**Antivenom treatment**

Of the 56 patients with definite tiger snake envenoming, 53 received antivenom, with a median dose of 2 vials (IQR, 1–2 vials; range, 1–4 vials). Eleven of these patients received only 1 vial of TSAV. The remainder received 2 or more vials of TSAV, except for three patients who received brown snake antivenom (2, 2 and 5 vials, respectively). There was no difference in time to receipt of antivenom between patients who developed neurotoxicity and those who did not. Eight patients had systemic hypersensitivity reactions: seven had skin-only reactions (Brown Grade 1) and one had severe anaphylaxis (Brown Grade 3).

Of the three envenomed patients who did not receive antivenom, two had partial VICC alone, and one presented 8 days after being bitten.

**Venom assays**

In the 50 patients for whom pre-treatment blood samples were available, the median peak venom concentration was 3.2 ng/mL (IQR, 1–12 ng/mL; range, 0.17–152 ng/mL). Pre-treatment venom concentrations did not differ between patients who subsequently received more than 1 vial of antivenom (median, 3.1 ng/mL; IQR, 0.9–13 ng/mL) and patients who received only 1 vial of antivenom (median, 3.7 ng/mL; IQR, 1–8 ng/mL).

In the 49 patients for whom post-antivenom blood samples were available — including three given only brown snake antivenom and 10 given only 1 vial of TSAV — the post-antivenom blood venom concentration was zero.

**Discussion**

Our study showed that tiger snake envenoming is characterised by VICC in almost all cases, neurotoxicity in a third of cases and myotoxicity in a fifth of cases. Major bleeding and thrombotic microangiopathy were uncommon. Bite-site sVDK tests were negative for tiger snake antivenom in a quarter of cases, and the incorrect antivenom was administered in four cases. In the 49 patients for whom post-antivenom blood samples were available, no venom was detected in blood after antivenom administration. This included 10 patients who received only 1 vial of TSAV. Patients given only 1 vial of antivenom had similar pre-treatment serum venom concentrations to patients given more than 1 vial.

The clinical syndrome associated with tiger snake envenoming has
been previously based on expert anecdotal reports and case reports. Although our results are generally consistent with current understanding of tiger snake envenoming, they provide an accurate description of tiger snake envenoming in a series of patients treated with early antivenom therapy. Tiger snake and rough-scaled snake envenoming syndromes were previously regarded as similar. Our results show that neurotoxicity is more common with tiger snake envenoming, occurring in almost a third of cases, compared with two out of 24 cases of rough-scaled snake envenoming reported in a previous study.16

Scop and colleagues reported on a 16-year retrospective series of 23 tiger snake envenoming cases.10 However, their inclusion criteria were based on a positive sVDK test, which we have shown here to be unreliable and thus unsuitable for the purpose of case definition in research studies. In addition, Scop and colleagues included only cases in which fibrinogen was undetectable, which biased the series towards patients with complete VICC.10 It is recognised that VICC can occur with only partial coagulation factor consumption,25 and in our study almost a third of patients with tiger snake envenoming had only partial VICC. An earlier series reported by White described 10 cases of tiger snake envenoming in which there was a similar range of effects.9

A possible limitation of our study was that serum samples were not tested for all elapid snake venoms, and potentially related snakes such as copperheads (Austrelaps spp) could not be excluded as being responsible for bites where their distribution overlaps that of tiger snakes. However, reports of bites by identified Austrelaps spp are exceedingly rare, and there was no geographical variation in the clinical syndromes reported for tiger snake envenoming that occurred in areas where Austrelaps spp are found compared with other areas.

Our study brings into question the reliance on the sVDK test for determining appropriate antivenom treatment. The sVDK result was incorrect in 5/44 cases, giving a positive result for brown snake venom, which led to the incorrect use of antivenom in four of the five cases. The use of sVDK is thus problematic and may confuse clinical assessment. A “saving grace” in this situation is that, because all commercial antivenoms are polyvalent,21 brown snake antivenom contains sufficient TSAV to neutralise the procoagulant activity of tiger snake venom.26 However, this principle cannot be extended to the treatment of bites from other snakes that need much higher-volume antivenoms, such as the mulga snake, death adder and taipan. Although all commercial antivenoms are polyvalent, the low-volume monovalent antivenoms (for brown and tiger snake bites) may contain insufficient antivenom to treat bites from snakes that require higher-volume antivenoms. Knowledge about medically important snakes that occur in a region and the clinical syndromes they cause is required for the selection of appropriate antivenom.

The data presented here provide further evidence that 1 vial of antivenom is sufficient to treat envenoming, as initially recommended by CSL Ltd. Larger doses of antivenom have been recommended up until recently, based on in-vitro27 and clinical studies. An in-vitro study used venom concentrations that were 10 000-fold those seen in human snake envenoming. The study by Sprivulis and colleagues27 used a serum tiger snake venom concentration of 33 μg/mL, compared with the
median serum concentration of 3.2 ng/mL found in our study. It is therefore not surprising that this study suggested that larger doses of antivenom were required. Previous clinical studies may have had unrealistic expectations about the time to recovery of clotting function and have reported the amount of antivenom given to patients, rather than determining the amount required to bind all antivenin in vivo, thus overestimating the required dose of antivenom. Our study provides in-vivo support for the claim that 1 vial of antivenom is an adequate dose. This is consistent with studies on other snakes15-17 and with in-vitro studies.14
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