Mindfulness training: an adjunctive role in the management of chronic illness?

Summary

- Narrowly defined, mindfulness is the tendency to encounter moment-to-moment experience without being lost in unhelpful or distressing thoughts triggered by the experience.
- Mindfulness training involves group instruction in and discussion of a variety of meditation styles aimed at enhancing this tendency in daily life.
- There is an accumulating evidence base, albeit of variable quality, which suggests that mindfulness training, when used as part of an integrated approach to chronic disease management, may help alleviate associated psychological distress and improve patients’ quality of life.

In the past 50 years, the most compelling body of empirical evidence for psychological treatment has pertained to cognitive behaviour therapy, wherein symptoms (eg, an unfounded thought about future disability) are challenged and actively extinguished. The growing popularity of MT reflects and extends the recognition that detailed awareness and acceptance of symptoms may also have a transformative role. Awareness allows one to distinguish the relative contribution to unpleasantness of the sensory experience of a symptom (eg, pain) from thoughts about it (eg, “I’m going to become an invalid”) or emotions triggered by it (eg, fear). Acceptance is used here in the sense of not creating unnecessary distress and distraction by fighting the fact that something unwanted has happened (eg, “Why me?”). It is not a passivity or “giving up” in the face of difficulties. Patients are often relieved to find that they can gradually let go of their reactions to a symptom and surprised to learn that the symptom itself thus becomes more tolerable.

This approach is particularly relevant when distressing thoughts and associated anxiety and depressive symptoms are continually generated, and may worsen outcomes, in the context of chronic physical disease. Chronic disease accounts for two-thirds of health care expenditure in Australia. Reducing associated psychological morbidity may, directly and through enhanced patient self-management, lead to improved health outcomes and reduced health care costs.

The most commonly studied MT program, mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), developed by Kabat-Zinn at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center in 1979, was aimed at chronically ill general medical patients who had exhausted physical treatment possibilities. The program involves eight weekly 2.5-hour sessions and a 7-hour weekend session, usually delivered by one facilitator to a group of 10–30 participants. An
### Clinical focus

**Selected randomised controlled trials of mindfulness-based interventions in patients with chronic physical disorders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention and control</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Outcome measures and statistically significant results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astin et al&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR + qigong Education</td>
<td>64/64</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>No between-group difference in improvements at up to 6 months (SF-36 quality of life and BDI depression score)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brännström et al&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR Waitlist</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/39</td>
<td>Cancer&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pre–post improvement in perceived stress (PSS: ES = 0.63, P = 0.004) and positive mental state (PSOM: ES = 0.5, P = 0.02), which did not remain significant at 6-month follow-up&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman et al&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR Waitlist</td>
<td>76&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;/74</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Pre–post improvement in quality of life (PQOLC: ES = 0.86, P &lt; 0.001; HAQUAMS: ES = 0.43, P &lt; 0.001), and reductions in depressive symptoms (CES-D: ES = 0.65, P &lt; 0.001), anxiety (STAI: ES = 0.39, P &lt; 0.001) and fatigue (MFIS: ES = 0.41, P &lt; 0.001), which remained significant at 6-month follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lengacher et al&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR Waitlist</td>
<td>41&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;/43</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Pre–post reductions in anxiety (STAI state subscale: mean, MBSR = 28.3, WL = 33.0, P = 0.03; STAI trait subscale: mean, MBSR = 30.4, WL = 34.5, P = 0.004) and symptoms of depression (CES-D: mean, MBSR = 6.3, WL = 9.6, P = 0.03), and improvement in quality of life (SF-36 physical functioning subscale: mean, MBSR = 50.1, WL = 47.0, P = 0.01&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monti et al&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBAT Waitlist</td>
<td>56&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;/55</td>
<td>Cancer&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pre–post reduction in psychological distress (SCL-90: mean change, MBAT = -0.20, WL = -0.04, P &lt; 0.001) and improvement in quality of life (SF-36 mental health subscale: mean change, MBAT = 13.05, WL = 2.16, P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulser et al&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBBT Support group</td>
<td>44/42</td>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>No pre–post between-group difference in quality of life (SF-36 for Veterans), dyspnoea or rates of exacerbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradhan et al&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR Waitlist</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>Reduction in psychological distress (SCL-90: mean change, MBSR = -0.17, WL = -0.03, P = 0.04) and improvement in psychological wellbeing (PWBS: mean change, MBSR = 5.55, WL = -5.47, P = 0.03) at 6 months after program commencement (note: MBSR group received three refresher classes in the 4 months after completing the 2-month program)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speca et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MBSR Waitlist</td>
<td>53/37</td>
<td>Cancer&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pre–post reductions in mood disturbance (POMS: mean change, MBSR = -24.1, WL = -21, P &lt; 0.001), anxiety (POMS: mean change, MBSR = -4.8, WL = -4, P = 0.04) and stress symptoms (SOSI: mean change, MBSR = -13.1, WL = -12.3, P &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zautra et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MP CBT for pain Education</td>
<td>48/44</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>Pre–post improvement in patients with a previous history of recurrent depression compared with CBT and education groups for clinician-assessed swelling (DAS-28: ES = -0.62, P &lt; 0.001), tenderness (DAS-28: ES = 0.83, P &lt; 0.001), affect (PANAS positive affect subscale: ES = 0.78, P &lt; 0.001; negative affect subscale: ES = 0.89, P &lt; 0.01) and relationship with pain (CSQ pain catastrophizing: ES = -0.18, P &lt; 0.001; pain coping: ES = 0.65, P &lt; 0.005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CBT = cognitive behaviour therapy, BDI = Beck Depression Inventory, CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CPRQ = Chronic Pain Acceptance Questionnaire, CSQ = Coping Strategies Questionnaire, DAS-28 = Disease Activity Score-28, ES = effect size (Cohen’s d), HAQUAMS = Hamburg Quality of Life Questionnaire in Multiple Sclerosis, MBAT = mindfulness-based art therapy, MBBT = mindfulness-based breathing therapy, MBSR = mindfulness-based stress reduction, MFIS = Modified Fatigue Impact Scale, MP = mindfulness program based on MBSR designed specifically for patient group, PANAS = Positive And Negative Affect Schedule, POMS = Profile of Mood States, PQOLC = Profile of Health-Related Quality of Life in Chronic Disorders, PSOM = Positive States of Mind scale, PSS = Perceived Stress Scale, PWBS = Psychological Well-Being Scale, SCL-90 = 90-item Symptom Checklist – Revised. SF-36 = Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short-Form Health Survey, SOSI = Symptoms of Stress Inventory, STAI = State–Trait Anxiety Inventory, WL = waitlist.**

* Trials reported before July 2011 and selected based on study quality (Jadad score<sup>23</sup> ≥ 3) and sample size (n > 50). † Effect sizes reported are between groups. ‡ Power calculation reported. § Heterogeneous type and stage. ¶ Means shown for MBSR and WL were adjusted for baseline score, African American ethnicity, age and time since treatment completion.

---

**Summary of the evidence**

A recent meta-analysis of eight RCTs (n = 667) of MBSR for patients suffering chronic medical illness included seven studies with a waitlist group as a control; the other one used an education/support program.<sup>9</sup> All but one study reported attrition rates below 25%. Six studies met Cochrane criteria for medium to high quality. Between-group effect sizes were reported using Cohen’s d, which reflects the difference between means divided by the standard deviation: a value of 0.2 is considered a small positive impact of intervention, 0.5 a medium impact, and 0.8 a large impact. The meta-analysis found between-group effect sizes of 0.26 (95% CI, 0.18–0.34; P < 0.001) for measures of depression and 0.32 (95% CI, 0.13–0.50; P < 0.001) for overall psychological distress, both without heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0), and 0.47 (95% CI, 0.11–0.83; P < 0.05) for measures of anxiety, but with considerable heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 53.95).

A meta-analysis of 10 studies (n = 583) of MBSR for patients with cancer included only four controlled trials.<sup>8</sup> Six studies included patients with various cancer types in MT groups, while in four all patients had the same malignancy. Breast cancer was the most common type of cancer across all studies. The mean attrition rate was 23%. The meta-analysis found a within-group effect size (d) after the intervention of 0.48 (95% CI, 0.38–0.59; two-tailed educational, rather than therapeutic, approach is used, and modules include the neurophysiology of stress and exercises aimed at enhancing how stressful life situations may be dealt with. There is a requirement for home practice of various forms of meditation and other exercises for 45–60 minutes per day.

Unless delivered in an extended silent retreat — and undertaken by an individual with a fragile mental state — MT does not appear to be associated with adverse events.<sup>2</sup> Nonetheless, patients with active psychotic symptoms, substance dependence or significant cognitive impairment are generally excluded from MT group programs, unless the programs are designed specifically for such populations.<sup>2</sup>

Accumulating evidence of variable quality

Several recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have collated the evidence pertaining to MT in healthy participants, patients with psychiatric disorders, and those with a physical illness.<sup>8,12</sup> Here, we focus on studies involving general medical patients — randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of relatively high quality and large sample size are summarised in the Box. While MT research in Australia has largely focused on psychiatric populations, studies in general medical patients are also beginning to emerge (eg, patients with cancer<sup>24,25</sup> and chronic back pain<sup>26</sup>).
P < 0.0001) with adequate homogeneity ($\chi^2 = 13.34, df = 6$) for mental health measures, and 0.18 (95% CI, 0.08–0.28; two-tailed $P < 0.0003$) with poor homogeneity ($\chi^2 = 28.72, df = 7$) for physical health measures.

A recent systematic review of controlled studies of MT for patients with chronic pain included 10 studies ($n = 951$), four of which were RCTs. Four studies included an active control (cognitive behaviour therapy, progressive muscle relaxation, massage and group support). MT appeared to confer a non-specific (i.e., possibly related to factors such as the shared group experience) beneficial effect on measures of pain and depression and a specific effect on pain acceptance or tolerance, day-to-day stress and quality of life. Of note was the persistence of some beneficial effects during follow-up of up to 3 years.

Limitations and challenges

Many trials in MT have attracted significant criticism, on methodological grounds such as small sample size, lack of a control group, use of non-intervention control groups (thus disallowing detection of a specific effect from mindfulness), inadequate follow-up, use of non-standardised measures, absence of measures of mindfulness, and a lack of adequate reporting of randomisation and/or blinding. In addition, differences between exactly how MT was delivered (where this was reported — for example, session length or program duration), heterogeneity of patient populations between (and sometimes within) studies, and variability of effects within the same condition make meaningful comparison between trials difficult.

As yet, no compelling evidence exists regarding the cost-effectiveness of MT. MBSR, as a particularly resource-intensive example, stipulates 27 hours of contact time with up to 30 participants may be conducted by one facilitator. One study reported the encouraging finding that the number of medical visits by patients suffering chronic physical illness was reduced by 27% in the year after an MBSR program ($P = 0.03$).

The subjective experience of mindfulness is often complex and somewhat paradoxical (e.g., accepting pain while taking reasonable steps to reduce it). Facilitators are required to teach the group based on their own experience of moment-to-moment awareness, making “manualising” interventions and analyses of fidelity difficult. These factors, among others, have been cited as challenges to quantitative research in MT and suggest the need for including rigorous qualitative assessment as part of the evaluation process.

Perhaps not surprisingly, there are conflicting data about the relationship between aspects of MT (duration of training sessions, engagement with homework practice, and overall amount of meditation experience) and the magnitude of effects.

Conclusions

Chronic disease places a tremendous burden on society that is exacerbated by associated psychological distress. MT holds promise for alleviating such distress and improving patients’ quality of life. It should be welcomed with caution, however, considering the methodological issues currently affecting the evidence base. Adequately powered, high-quality clinical trials, ideally including rigorous qualitative evaluation, may help to determine the place of mindfulness as part of an integrated approach to chronic disease management.
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