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ince around 1990, laparoscopic surgery has revolutionised abdominal surgery. Procedures such as laparoscopic cholecystectomy and Nissen fundoplication were quickly adopted and their use diffused rapidly through health systems around the world. Nevertheless, the widespread adoption of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer (CRC) has been much slower despite proven short-term benefits over open resection. These benefits included less blood loss, reduced pain, shorter postoperative ileus, and length of hospital stay is reduced by about 3 days (8.1 vs 11.8 days; \( P = 0.01 \)). The widespread adoption of laparoscopic surgery has revolutionised abdominal surgery.

The use of laparoscopic resection for colorectal cancer has increased rapidly through health systems around the world. Nevertheless, the widespread adoption of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer (CRC) has been much slower despite proven short-term benefits over open resection. These benefits included less blood loss, reduced pain, shorter postoperative ileus, and length of hospital stay is reduced by about 3 days (8.1 vs 11.8 days; \( P = 0.01 \)). The widespread adoption of laparoscopic surgery has revolutionised abdominal surgery.

Since around 1990, laparoscopic surgery has revolutionised abdominal surgery. Procedures such as laparoscopic cholecystectomy and Nissen fundoplication were quickly adopted and their use diffused rapidly through health systems around the world. Nevertheless, the widespread adoption of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer (CRC) has been much slower despite proven short-term benefits over open resection. These benefits included less blood loss, reduced pain, shorter postoperative ileus, and length of hospital stay is reduced by about 3 days (8.1 vs 11.8 days; \( P = 0.01 \)).

These concerns have subsequently been allayed. For example, a recent meta-analysis reported that across seven studies, only three of 826 patients with colon cancer (0.4%) who were randomly allocated to laparoscopic surgery had port-site metastases, and a Cochrane review concluded that resection with laparoscopic access resulted in cancer-related mortality equivalent to that for open surgery. Data from randomised trials in rectal cancer are not as mature, but the evidence that is available suggests that the oncological outcomes are equivalent for laparoscopic and open access surgery.

In this article, we aim to examine trends in the uptake of laparoscopic surgery for CRC in Australia, and to consider the implications for the organisation of surgical services for patients with CRC. We were particularly interested in whether there were differences in the uptake of laparoscopic resection for colon cancer compared with rectal cancer because resection for rectal cancer is technically more difficult. We were also interested in whether there was differential uptake across the public and private sectors and whether this varied by the volume of patients with CRC treated at a particular hospital.

ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To examine the trends in the uptake of laparoscopic resection for colorectal cancer.

**Design and setting:** Retrospective analysis of Australia-wide data on elective resections for colorectal cancer over the 8 financial years 2000–01 to 2007–08, obtained from the National Hospital Morbidity Database.

**Main outcome measures:** National trends in annual percentage of colorectal resections for cancer that were conducted laparoscopically for each year, stratified by hospitals conducting a high volume of elective resections (40 or more/year) versus a low volume, and by public versus private hospitals.

**Results:** For all Australian hospitals combined, the percentage of resections for colon cancer conducted laparoscopically increased from 2.4% in 2000–01 to 27.5% in 2007–08. For rectal cancer, this increase was from 1.1% to 21.5%. The largest increases were seen in high-volume private hospitals (colon cancer, 2.7% to 34.1%; rectal cancer, 1.5% to 26.2%), but increases also occurred in high-volume public hospitals (colon cancer, 2.7% to 32.2%; rectal cancer, 0.5% to 20.3%), low-volume private (colon cancer, 3.8% to 27.1%; rectal cancer, 2.4% to 25.5%) and low-volume public (colon cancer, 1.1% to 17.0%; rectal cancer, 0.5% to 13.8%) hospitals.

**Conclusions:** The use of laparoscopic resection for colorectal cancer has increased throughout Australian hospitals. Our findings provide the data necessary to ensure adequate resource allocation by the appropriate medical bodies to achieve optimal success in the uptake of laparoscopic resection for colorectal cancer in Australia.
To simplify and clarify the presentation of results, we grouped procedures into two categories: those for elective segmental resection of the colon and those for elective resection of the rectum (Box 1). Total colectomy and proctocolectomy were not included in the data extracted because they are uncommon. Cases of Hartmann’s procedure were also excluded from the data extracted because they are an emergency procedure.

Ethical approval for this analysis was granted by the University of Queensland’s School of Population Health Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

There were 5424 elective segmental resections for colon cancer in Australian hospitals in 2000–01 and 6523 in 2007–08; elective resections for rectal cancer increased from 2530 to 3072 in the same period. There was no change in the percentage of elective resections for CRC done in public versus private hospitals between 2000–01 and 2007–08. However, within the private sector, an increasing percentage of resections were performed in low-volume compared with high-volume hospitals. For public hospitals, the percentage of resections performed in low-volume compared with high-volume hospitals remained about the same (Box 2).

Over the 8 years of the study, the percentage of elective resections for colon cancer that were performed laparoscopically increased from 2.4% to 27.5%; the corresponding increase for elective resections for rectal cancer that were performed laparoscopically was from 1.1% to 21.5% (Box 3).

There was an increase in the percentage of laparoscopic resections across all hospital types and for cancer of both the colon and rectum, with the largest increases in high-volume private hospitals (Box 4). For both colon and rectal cancer, the rate of uptake of laparoscopic resection appeared to increase in 2003–04 (Box 5).

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic colorectal resection is a very complex procedure, requiring mobilisation of a bulky structure, access to more than one quadrant of the abdomen, control of multiple large blood vessels, extraction of a large specimen, and successful creation of an anastomosis.9 Resection for malignant tumours has even more demanding requirements than resection for benign disease, because the surgeon must adhere to oncological principles — attainment of adequate surgical margins, removal of lymph nodes, proximal ligation of the vascular pedicles, minimal handling, and avoidance of perforation.9 Surgeons therefore need adequate training and experience to undertake laparoscopic resection for CRC.10

In Australia by 2007–08, about a quarter of elective resections for CRC were laparoscopic. Barring capacity constraints, it is likely that the percentage of laparoscopic resections will continue to increase because such minimally invasive surgery is probably feasible in about 90% of elective resections for CRC performed by experienced surgeons.11 Also, the number of elective resections are likely to increase as early detection of CRC through screening (either with faecal occult blood tests or colonoscopy) and the use of colonic stenting for obstruction reduce the need for emergency resections.

Compared with open resection, there is a much longer learning curve associated with...
l laparoscopic resection for CRC, the required number of cases has been estimated to be up to 60–100. In Australia, uptake of laparoscopic resection for CRC across all hospital types is a reflection of continued postfellowship education and training of surgeons in this technique.

The training of surgeons and the assessment of their competency in laparoscopic CRC needs to be hierarchical because laparoscopic surgery for CRC involves a range of complexities, which are dependent on the anatomical site. Training typically begins with segmental resections of the right or left colon and then progresses to the more difficult resections of the rectosigmoid colon, transverse colon, and extraperitoneal rectum. A surgeon’s application for credentialing at each level of laparoscopic colorectal surgery should be accompanied by evidence of appropriate experience in the relevant procedures in open surgery. It is important that emerging specialists are also proficient in performing open resections for emergency cases and in cases where the laparoscopic technique is relatively contraindicated, such as when there are bowel adhesions. Progression through the levels needs to rely on objective evaluations from teachers and peers.

The increasing uptake of laparoscopic resection for CRC in Australia is probably related to both better equipment and increasing evidence that long-term oncological outcomes are equivalent to those of open resection. The ultrasonic tissue dissector was introduced around the late 1990s, and better endoscopic stapling devices and high-definition videoendoscopy were introduced in the early 2000s. These devices improved laparoscopic access, particularly for resections within the pelvis. The increase in laparoscopic surgery for CRC in low-volume hospitals indicates that smaller centres outside the major cities are acquiring the technical facilities to perform laparoscopic resections. For both colon and rectal cancer, the rate of uptake increased in 2003–04. Publication of the Clinical Outcomes of Surgical Therapy trial for colon cancer and of the first randomised data for rectal cancer might have contributed to this.

A strength of our study is that it is based on data for all of Australia. At the same time, this is also a limitation because of the limited data items available nationally. In particular, we could not obtain data on items that might be used to assess quality, such as overall survival. Further research evaluating such outcomes for patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery in everyday clinical practice (as opposed to clinical trials) would assist in developing service capability frameworks. For example, should complex laparoscopic surgery (eg, for cancers of the transverse colon or extraperitoneal rectum) be restricted to major cancer centres?

We could only find one other study of population-based trends in the rates of laparoscopic resection for cancers of the colon and rectum. That publication, from the National Institute for Clinical Excellence in the United Kingdom, reported a percentage of laparoscopic procedures for elective resections for CRC of 9.0% in 2006–07, compared with 21.5% from our Australian study for the same year.

Four publications from the United States reported the percentage of laparoscopic resections for cancers of the colon only. These population-based studies used data from three different sources and reported a wide range in the percentage of CRC resections performed laparoscopically. Three of these studies reported a percentage of around 5%, which is very similar to that for Australia at the same time (2003–04). However, another study reported a percentage of 33.7% for the period 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2006, which is higher than the 14.3% for Australia over the same period (Box 3).

The short-term benefits of laparoscopic resection shown by international studies

### Table 3: Laparoscopic resections as a percentage of all elective resections for colorectal cancer, Australia, 2000–01 and 2007–08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial year</th>
<th>Segmental resections of colon</th>
<th>Resections of rectum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–01</td>
<td>5425</td>
<td>130 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001–02</td>
<td>5629</td>
<td>200 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002–03</td>
<td>5561</td>
<td>251 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–04</td>
<td>5616</td>
<td>302 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–05</td>
<td>5709</td>
<td>619 (10.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–06</td>
<td>5921</td>
<td>1047 (17.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–07</td>
<td>6247</td>
<td>1462 (23.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–08</td>
<td>6523</td>
<td>1796 (27.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53300</td>
<td>5952 (11.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Absolute % increase** (95% CI) 25.10% (24.0%–26.3%) 20.30% (18.8%–21.9%)


### Table 4: Laparoscopic resections as a percentage of all elective resections for colorectal cancer by hospital volume and sector, Australia, 2000–01 and 2007–08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial year</th>
<th>Absolute % increase* (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000–01</td>
<td>1.1% (18/1601) 17.0% (285/1675) 15.9% (14.0%–17.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–08</td>
<td>2.7% (29/1065) 32.2% (484/1504) 29.5% (26.0%–32.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–01</td>
<td>3.8% (27/709) 27.1% (441/1628) 23.3% (20.7%–25.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–08</td>
<td>2.7% (56/2050) 34.1% (586/1716) 31.4% (29.1%–33.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

probably provide impetus for the uptake of this technique in Australia. Short-term outcomes from the Australian Laparoscopic Colon Cancer Surgical trial indicate that laparoscopic resection is associated with faster return of bowel function and shorter hospital stay.\(^1\) However, whether these and other short-term benefits such as reduced blood loss and better postoperative pulmonary function are being experienced outside the clinical trial environment would be of interest, and these questions should be the subject of future research. Also of interest in the real world of clinical practice is operating time. In a meta-analysis of randomised and non-randomised data, the mean operating time for laparoscopic surgery was 27% longer than for open surgery (175 minutes versus 147 minutes).\(^3\) Operating time is related to conversion rates, and it is possible that, as surgeons become more experienced with laparoscopic techniques, conversion rates will decrease and operating time will reduce to that of open surgery.

Impetus for the increased uptake of laparoscopic surgery for CRC comes not just from good quality evidence from randomised trials and recommendations by medical bodies,\(^2\) but also from the positive experiences of surgeons and their patients in everyday clinical practice. Given equivalent long-term oncological outcomes, patients with CRC prefer laparoscopic resections because of the proven short-term benefits.\(^2\)

CRC is the most common internal cancer diagnosed in Australia.\(^23\) It is therefore likely that laparoscopic resection for CRC will be a procedure in high demand. The results from our article provide information to help the appropriate medical bodies achieve optimal success in the uptake of laparoscopic resection for CRC in Australia by providing the data necessary to ensure adequate resource allocation.
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