Depression is characterised by persistent depressed mood or loss of interest in activities.1 It is a relapsing or recurring disorder — relapse is defined as early return of symptoms before full recovery, while recurrence is a later return of symptoms after a period of remission.2 The relapse rate of depression in primary care ranges from about 37% to 45%, and up to 20% of people with depression have a chronic course.3,4 An important role of primary care is long-term mental health care, including relapse prevention.5,7

An integrated primary care depression relapse prevention program called “Keeping the blues away” (KBA) has been developed for the general practice setting.8 KBA aims to improve outcomes for patients by reducing the severity and relapse rate of depression, and improving quality of life.

We undertook a pilot cluster randomised controlled trial to test the hypothesis that KBA would provide a 50% relative reduction of depression relapse (ie, from an estimated 40% relapse rate down to 20%), compared with usual care. We also anticipated that KBA would improve the process of care.

METHODS

During preclinical and exploratory phases, resources for the study were developed and studied to ensure acceptability.9 A randomised controlled trial in South Australian general practices was then conducted to compare usual care with KBA. Randomisation by practice was chosen to reduce the likelihood of treatment contamination (where the control group learns of the intervention) between groups.10 Stratified randomisation was used to ensure equal proportions of rural and urban practices, and small versus large practices (>3 doctors), in each arm of the study. Random allocation was performed using computer-generated random numbers.

The study’s primary outcome was reduction in the rate of depression relapse. Secondary outcomes were reduction in the severity of depression and improvements in quality of life. The use of both quantitative and qualitative approaches was important to enable measurement of depression levels and relapses, and to elicit information about patients’ and general practitioners’ experiences of KBA.

Participants

Five South Australian Divisions of General Practice were contacted in February 2004, and a letter inviting GPs to participate was sent to about 400 GP members. A total of 45 GPs from 23 urban and rural practices responded and agreed to participate. GP recruitment of patients began in May 2004, and the study ended in December 2005. GPs identified patients aged 18 years or older who met diagnostic criteria for a depressive disorder according to the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV).1 Other patient inclusion criteria were availability to be followed up for the next 12 months, and ability to give informed consent. Patients were excluded if they were known to be undergoing a separate treatment program, were suffering from psychoses, or were unable to complete the English-language questionnaires or interview.

Sample size calculations indicated that 120 patients would be required in each of the intervention and control groups (95% confidence, 80% power, assuming a design effect of 1.5 due to clustering).10

Intervention

KBA is based on the literature, current thinking and clinical experience.8 Medication is used as clinically indicated, and the program is started once the patient’s depression has been stabilised by initial treatment. KBA involves a novel, multimodal, skills-based approach. It incorporates 10 steps and utilises a range of evidence-based psychosocial strategies, such as problem solving (Box 1).12,13

Intervention-group GPs and patients were provided with resources including a GP...
1 The “Keeping the blues away” (KBA) program

The KBA program aims to reduce the severity and relapse of depression. It is based on literature, current thinking and clinical experience. The literature supports a range of treatment strategies that help prevent depression relapse, and highlights the need for novel, multifaceted, skills-based treatment approaches.11 KBA incorporates evidence-based strategies and current management guidelines, and involves a multimodal, skills-based treatment approach. KBA is based on 10 steps that can be tailored to the individual patient:

1. Medical and psychosocial assessment, goal-setting and monitoring progress
2. Information about depression and anxiety, and relapse prevention
3. Healthy lifestyle issues (nutrition, exercise, sleep, managing stress)
4. Useful coping skills (mood diary, problem solving, relaxation techniques and dealing with panic episodes)
5. Helpful thinking or cognitive strategies (thought monitoring, analysis and challenging, mindfulness-based cognitive behavioural approaches)
6. Dealing with psychological issues (self-esteem, loss and grief, anger and guilt, hopelessness and suicidal thoughts, finding hope and meaning)
7. The benefits of activity (activity scheduling, humour, giving)
8. Fostering social support and skills (connectedness, assertiveness, relationship issues and unemployment)
9. Developing a plan to manage early symptoms of relapse
10. Reassessment, review and helpful resources

with the self-rating Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS),16 and quality of life with the World Health Organization Quality of Life scale (WHOQOL-BREF).17

Relapse of depression

The occurrence of depression relapse was assessed retrospectively through blinded case-note review. Evidence of depression relapse sought from the notes included increased symptoms of depression, medication changes, hospital admissions, new symptoms or suicidality. A psychology graduate was trained to review case notes using an audit record, and was blinded to patients’ group allocation.

Acceptability of KBA

At the end of the trial, a series of semistructured interviews were conducted to gather information about GP and patient experiences. A purposive sampling technique was used to select a representative range of GPs to be interviewed by a project officer (WLN).18 These GPs then contacted a number of patients to be interviewed. Interview data were analysed using content analysis.19

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the impact of the intervention on depression relapse rates, our analysis looked at overall relapse rather than at individual time points. We used χ2 analyses to determine the relationship between relapse and identified variables (study group, sex, age, duration of symptoms, past history of depression), and calculated relative risks (RRs). For the variable of age, a logistic regression was performed. Finally, we used a model that included all the main effects and each variable’s interaction group. Interactions with a P value less than 0.20 were then included. In the final regression model, the variables of age, sex, group and age/group were retained.

As there was variability in when the DASS and the WHOQOL-BREF scales were administered, the results were analysed according to days since baseline. A mixed-models approach was used due to some missing data. The variables group, sex, age, history of depression, length of time with symptoms, antidepressant use, and location were used to build a regression model to describe changes in DASS depression scores over time. The impact of KBA on quality of life was measured across physical, psychological, social and environmental domains of the WHOQOL-BREF, and results were examined using a mixed-model regression analysis.

2 Baseline characteristics of general practices and participants in each study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General practitioners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In group practice</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In solo practice</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (range)</td>
<td>45 (33–58)</td>
<td>45 (30–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (range)</td>
<td>39 (18–62)</td>
<td>40 (19–74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of depression</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DASS depression score</td>
<td>33 (extreme)</td>
<td>28 (severe)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DASS = Depression Anxiety Stress Scales.

* One GP from each group withdrew early in the study due to work commitments or distance to travel for training.

Data were analysed using SAS, version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).
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RESULTS

Characteristics of practices, GPs and patients in the intervention and control groups are shown in Box 2. The characteristics of practices involved in the trial were relatively balanced. There were more urban than rural practices recruited to the study, and most were group practices. More female than male GPs participated, and the mean age of GPs in each arm of the study was 45 years.

A total of 110 patients participated in the study — a smaller sample size than required. Balanced numbers of female and male patients were recruited into each arm.
of the study, with ages in the intervention group ranging from 18 to 62 years (mean, 39 years), and from 19 to 74 years in the control group (mean, 40 years). Patients in the intervention group had more severe depression at the outset of the study (Box 2). Pathways of participants within the trial are shown in Box 3.

### Primary outcome — depression relapse

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in depression relapse rates ($\chi^2 = 1.51, P = 0.23$). However, there was a non-significant tendency for relapse to be reduced in the intervention group (RR = 0.77, 95% CI, 0.50–2.05) (Box 4).

In the final regression model, age was predictive of depression relapse, with increased age associated with decreased risk of relapse. Older patients (≥50 years) in the intervention group showed a significantly lower probability of relapse than those in the control group ($P = 0.018$) (Box 5). “Older” refers to one standard deviation above the mean age (where mean = 39.24 and SD = 11.30). Younger people in either group tended to show similar probability of relapse.

Secondary outcomes

#### Severity of depression

The regression model indicated that the interactions of duration of depressive symptoms, days from baseline, and group were significant predictors of severity of depression.

Baseline depression scores were higher for the intervention group (Box 6). There was a general decrease in scores over time, with patients in the intervention group who had experienced depressive symptoms for <6 months and those in the control group (regardless of symptom duration) showing similar values. Patients in the intervention group who had experienced depression for >6 months had higher depression scores at the start of the trial, but by 12 months after baseline their depression scores were indistinguishable from those of most other patients (Box 6). This reduction approached significance ($P = 0.06$).

#### Quality of life

Patients with depression for >6 months had lower physical quality of life (QOL) at baseline, regardless of group. By about 200 days after baseline, the scores of both groups had increased on this measure. Patients who had experienced depression for >6 months also had lower baseline psychological QOL scores, which increased for both groups by Day 200 from baseline, with this improvement remaining present at Day 400.

At baseline, KBA participants had lower social QOL scores, but as the study progressed, their scores increased more rapidly than those of the control group. However, by Day 400, the estimated means were the same for both groups.
Depression and Primary Care

The study examined rates of depression relapse in a primary care population undertaking a novel, multifaceted relapse prevention program that had some clinically relevant findings. KBA was found to have potential for older patients (aged ≥ 50 years), for those with more severe depression at the outset, and those who had experienced depression for more than 6 months. Even though the primary outcome of reducing depression relapse by 50% was not achieved, KBA was found to be a promising and well accepted program.

This study had a relatively small number of participants, limiting its power. However, recruitment in general practice is often challenging. Further, the impact of the intervention may have been disadvantaged by the more severe depression rates in the KBA group at baseline.

A strength of the study is that it was undertaken in multiple and varied general practices, suggesting that the results may be generalisable across a range of practices. The KBA program can be easily incorporated into practice, assisted by its manualised format and associated training program. Future plans include assessing a computer-assisted version of KBA in primary care settings.

This study highlights the potential for interventions such as KBA to be incorporated into the clinical setting, and to have a positive impact on health outcomes. Further research on the KBA program is planned.
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