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ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine whether there was a reduction in demand for psychological services provided through the Access to Allied Psychological Services (ATAPS) projects after the introduction of the Better Access to Psychiatrists, Psychologists and General Practitioners through the Medicare Benefits Schedule (Better Access) program, and whether any such reduction was greater in urban than rural areas.

Design and setting: A Division-level correlation analysis examining the relationship between the monthly number of sessions provided by allied health professionals through the ATAPS projects run by Divisions of General Practice, and allied health professional services reimbursed by Medicare Australia under the Better Access program, between 1 November 2006 and 31 March 2007.

Main outcome measures: Uptake of each program, assessed by the number of sessions provided.

Results: Overall, despite dramatic uptake of the Better Access program in the first 5 months after its introduction, the demand for ATAPS services was not reduced. The correlations between the numbers of sessions provided by both programs overall (r = −0.078, P = 0.074) and in rural Divisions (r = 0.024, P = 0.703) were not significant. However, there was a significant negative correlation between the numbers of sessions provided by both programs in urban Divisions (r = −0.142, P = 0.019).

Conclusions: For the first 5 months of the Better Access program, the two programs seemed to operate relatively independently of each other in terms of service provision, but in urban Divisions there was a move towards services provided through the Better Access program. Early indications are that the two programs are providing complementary services and are working together to address a previously unmet need for mental health care.

METHODS

Monthly data on the uptake of ATAPS services were available from a minimum dataset that routinely captures consumer-level and session-level information from the ATAPS projects and is managed by us in the context of an ongoing evaluation exercise. All but one of the ATAPS projects (99%) submitted data to the dataset in the period under analysis. These data were combined with MBS data on services rendered by psychologists under the Better Access program, made available by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. The Department extracted monthly postcode-level session data, aggregated these data so that all of the postcode areas within a given Division were combined for a given month, and provided us with the resulting Division-level data. Data were available from both sources for 105 Divisions from 1 November...
2006 (just before the introduction of the Better Access program) to 31 March 2007. Pearson’s correlation coefficient \(r\) was used to assess the relationship between the monthly number of within-Division sessions provided through the ATAPS projects and the Better Access program, since the introduction of the latter.

**RESULTS**

In total, 39 040 sessions were provided through the ATAPS projects in the 5-month observation period, and 220 522 were provided through the Better Access program. Fifty-two per cent of the ATAPS project sessions were provided in urban areas, compared with 83% of the Better Access program sessions.

The overall monthly breakdown of sessions provided under each program is shown in Box 1. Although the monthly number of sessions provided by the Better Access program increased dramatically from the time of its introduction, the monthly number of sessions provided by the ATAPS projects remained fairly constant from 1 November 2006, showing a marginal decrease at most.

A breakdown of sessions by rural and urban areas is shown in Box 2. This shows that the increase in number of sessions provided under the Better Access program was more gradual in rural areas, where the number of sessions provided by ATAPS projects remained relatively constant.

When the relationship between the provision of ATAPS sessions and Better Access sessions was examined within Divisions for each month, there was a negative, non-significant correlation overall \(r = -0.078, P = 0.074\). For rural Divisions, the correlation was positive but also non-significant \(r = 0.024, P = 0.703\). For urban Divisions, there was a significant negative correlation \(r = -0.142, P = 0.019\).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite dramatic uptake of the Better Access program in the first 5 months after its introduction, the demand for ATAPS services was not reduced. The correlations between the numbers of sessions provided by both programs overall and in rural areas were not significant. However, a significant negative correlation was observed in urban areas.

Our study has some strengths and weaknesses that should be noted before considering how these findings might be interpreted. Its main strength is that it is the first study to systematically investigate the relationship between demand for services provided through the ATAPS projects and through the Better Access arrangements. However, its main weakness is that it examines only the first 5 months in which the two programs were operating in tandem, rather than a longer period extending beyond the early establishment phase of the Better Access program. We attempted to update our preliminary analyses reported here (originally conducted for an earlier report), but were unable to access further MBS data in the form required (ie, Division-level rather than aggregated) for a repeat analysis of a longer time period.

Patterns of uptake of either or both initiatives may have varied after the initial “settling in” period of the Better Access program. However, our data suggest that, for the first 5 months of the Better Access program, the two programs seemed to be operating relatively independently of each other in terms of service provision, but that in urban Divisions there was something of a move towards services provided through the Better Access program.

Overall, the findings suggest that there was a strong demand for both programs during the 5-month observation period, presumably because there was pre-existing demand for psychological services that could not be met by the ATAPS projects alone. This interpretation is consistent with a high level of unmet need previously observed in the community. It is also consistent with the fact that ATAPS projects were implementing demand management strategies before the introduction of the Better Access program, and suggests a need for ongoing monitoring of such strategies as they are made operational by both programs during the current climate of mental health reform.

These preliminary findings suggest that the ATAPS projects and the Better Access program should continue to coexist in a complementary fashion. The ATAPS projects have an established history of successful
service delivery,13,14 have brought many GPs and allied health professionals “on board”13,14 and may more easily attract allied health professionals in areas where private providers are scarce, because they can offer the certainty of salaried positions or formalised contractual arrangements. In addition, their budgets are capped, rendering their overall associated expenditure predictable. On the other hand, the Better Access arrangements may be seen as providing greater ease of referral for GPs, increased flexibility for allied health professionals, and a reimbursement mechanism that is congruent with the broader private specialist service system. The two programs are thus well placed to work together to provide access to primary mental health care. Anecdotally, some Divisions are already supporting GPs wishing to refer patients through the Better Access program, and some allied health professionals are providing services through both programs.

Of course, there are questions over and above those related to the complementarity of the two programs that should inform decisions about their future directions. For example, additional evaluation will be necessary to compare the appropriateness and effectiveness of each program. Our own research suggests that the ATAPS projects are reaching the consumers for whom they were originally designed (ie, people with anxiety and depression who may previously have had difficulty accessing services),13,15 and that they are achieving improvements in patients’ mental health, as assessed by various standardised outcome measures.16 Similar evaluative efforts are required to assess the appropriateness and effectiveness of the Better Access program.

Evidence will also need to be sought regarding the optimal number of psychological services required to meet community need, and the affordability of these services. Using epidemiological data, cost-effectiveness studies and expert advice, a recent report estimated that optimal treatment with optional coverage would require 4.9 million psychological services per year and would be affordable.17 According to aggregated data from the ATAPS minimum dataset and the MBS website, by December 2007 the ATAPS projects and the Better Access program had provided a total of 1 954 283 sessions of care (423 530 and 1 530 753, respectively) since each program’s inception. Even with discounting for publicly funded, state-based services, this suggests that the number of services being provided is neither too many nor unaffordable.

Ongoing monitoring will be required to determine whether our preliminary findings are consistent over time, and additional evaluation evidence will be required to help shape future program delivery. However, early indications are that the two programs are providing complementary services and are working together to address a previously unmet need for mental health care.
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