8. Investigation and treatment of upper-airway obstruction: childhood sleep disorders I

J Declan Kennedy and Karen A Waters

Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome is common and is associated with significant childhood morbidities

Ithough Charles Dickens (in *The Pickwick Papers* published in 1836) is credited with the first description of obstructive sleep apnoea in an overweight boy called Joe, the medical literature was somewhat tardy in validating his observations. Osler outlined the clinical effects of upper-airway obstruction in 1892, but it is only in the past 40 years that detailed systematic evaluation of paediatric obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS) has been undertaken.

Prevalence and pathophysiology

The consensus view is that OSAS affects about 3% of children, with 8%–12% snoring most nights.^{1,2} The peak prevalence occurs between the ages of 2 and 8 years, when lymphoid enlargement relative to upper-airway size is at its peak. However, upper-airway obstruction during sleep should not be attributed solely to large tonsils and adenoids. In fact, in many children they do not cause significant obstruction, and evidence to date suggests a poor correlation between tonsillar size and risk of OSAS.³ Other factors that may modulate the risk of obstruction include altered upper-airway tone, midface hypoplasia, allergic rhinitis, obesity and genetic factors.⁴ Therefore, finding large tonsils in a child who snores is not prima facie evidence of significant upper-airway obstruction during sleep.

Morbidity

Severe upper-airway obstruction in children is known to result in developmental delay, growth failure and cor pulmonale. What is less well appreciated are the more recently identified morbidities.^{5,6}

Over the past 20 years, an extensive body of literature has detailed the effects of adult OSAS on daytime functioning. The areas affected include verbal and non-verbal intelligence, memory, attention, concentration, and executive functioning (ie, flexible analytical and problem-solving ability) and psychosocial functioning.⁷

The potential for similar effects in children was largely unstudied until a decade ago. There is now mounting evidence that

Department of Paediatrics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA. J Declan Kennedy, MD, FRCP, FRACP, Senior Lecturer; and Paediatric Thoracic Physician, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Women's and Children's Hospital, Adelaide.

Department of Respiratory Medicine, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW.

Karen A Waters, MB BS, FRACP, PhD, Associate Professor; and Paediatric Thoracic Physician,The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, NSW (currently, Professor, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA).

Reprints will not be available from the authors. Correspondence: Dr J Declan Kennedy, Department of Paediatrics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000. declan.kennedy@adelaide.edu.au

ABSTRACT

- Always take a history of snoring and sleep disturbance when reviewing children in primary care, as there is evidence that episodes of hypoxia and arousal during sleep may result in deficits in memory, attention and behaviour, in addition to the well known sequelae of growth failure, developmental delay and cor pulmonale. Check for changes in behaviour affecting school progress.
- To investigate for possible obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS), clinical examination, lateral neck x-ray (adenoidal hypertrophy) and overnight oximetry (desaturation episodes) are useful screening tests, but oximetry is best used in conjunction with polysomnography. A negative oximetry test does not exclude OSAS.
- Polysomnography is the best method for detecting and assessing the severity of OSAS in children, and is especially helpful for prioritising treatment and evaluating the risk of perioperative complications of adenotonsillectomy.
- Adenotonsillectomy is thought to "cure" (ie, symptoms disappear and overnight respiratory parameters are corrected) in about 80% of children with OSAS. The remaining 20% need ongoing evaluation and treatment.
- Further research is needed to determine the "true" prevalence of OSAS; what degrees of severity of upper-airway obstruction lead to morbidity requiring treatment; and whether the deficits in neurocognitive function associated with sleep-disordered breathing are fully correctable.

MJA 2005; 182: 419-423

disruption of children's sleep architecture (ie, the normal pattern and sequence of stages of sleep) by repetitive episodes of hypoxia and arousal may result in similar deficits.⁸ The behavioural aspects most consistently reported include aggression, hyperactivity, inattention, anxiety and shyness, while learning, memory and executive functioning are the neurocognitive areas most affected.⁹ A direct relationship between the severity of OSAS in children and the resultant neurocognitive deficits has also been demonstrated.⁸ Areas of ongoing research in children include the effect of upperairway obstruction on cardiovascular function (including systemic hypertension) and metabolic dysfunction.

The more controversial issue is that of snoring without significant changes in blood gas levels (primary snoring). This results from vibration of the soft tissues of the upper airway, and implies some degree of obstruction, albeit mild. Recent studies suggest that primary snoring may not be as innocuous as previously thought, with learning, neurocognitive and behavioural deficits being described in snoring children.^{6,10} For example, continuous snoring without intermittent hypoxia was found to be significantly

1 Symptoms of obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome suggesting the need for adenotonsillectomy

Snoring and:

- Observed apnoea during sleep
- Struggling to breathe during sleep. Parents report that they shake the child to prompt breathing during sleep
- Parental anxiety, resulting in observing the child during sleep
- Unusual sleeping positions (eg, the "sword swallower" position with neck extended), restless sleep
- Slow to waken in the morning, tiredness on waking, hypersomnolence
- Impairment in memory, attention and behaviour

associated with poor academic performance in mathematics (odds ratio [OR], 3.3), science (OR, 2.9), and spelling (OR, 4.5).¹⁰ The cause was postulated to be fragmentation of sleep architecture, although the authors did include in their analyses some children with what would be considered mild hypoxia.

Clinical evaluation of snoring in children

It is clear from the data of several studies that primary snoring cannot be confidently distinguished from OSAS on clinical history alone.^{11,12} While assessment is more straightforward in children with symptoms suggestive of severe obstruction, or in those with conditions that predispose to OSAS (ie, Pierre Robin syndrome, craniofacial syndromes or Down's syndrome), most children with OSAS fall into the less severe category.

Features in the clinical history that can be helpful in deciding which children need surgery are listed in Box 1. Given that adenotonsillectomy carries a risk of morbidity, and even mortality, and that it costs about \$40 million per year for adenotonsillectomies on 1% of Australian children, there is an imperative to target appropriate children for surgery.

On physical examination, adenoidal hypertrophy is suggested by a hyponasal voice and evidence of daytime upper-airway obstruction (eg, mouth breathing), while a muffled voice may result from tonsillar enlargement. Failure to thrive may occur in younger children, especially those with more severe obstruction, and growth parameters should be plotted.

In addition to the length of the soft palate, and the size of the tongue and oropharyngeal space, the tonsils and facial structure should always be assessed. Nasal patency should be checked, midface hypoplasia or micrognathia excluded, and a loud second heart sound (suggestive of increased right-heart pressure) ruled out. The presence of systemic hypertension and alterations in chest-wall shape should also be evaluated, the latter suggesting increased respiratory effort.

Investigations (Box 2)

Lateral x-ray of the neck (Box 3)

This provides useful information about the size of the adenoids and the postnasal space. Even if the postnasal space is patent while awake, its patency may diminish significantly during sleep, especially during rapid-eye-movement sleep when muscle tone is at its nadir.

Overnight oximetry

This is a useful screening test because it is relatively simple to perform, is widely available, and has a positive predictive value of greater than 90%.¹³ However, its limitations as a diagnostic tool need to be highlighted. In a recent study of a cohort of 349 children referred for assessment of snoring to a tertiary sleep laboratory, screening oximetry had a negative predictive value of only 47% when compared with polysomnography.¹³ Therefore, negative findings can not be used to confidently exclude OSAS. Another important factor is that different types of oximeters may have varying performance characteristics, especially with regard to averaging times and movement artefact. Thus, the presence and severity of desaturation episodes may vary according to the specific type of equipment used in the study. Furthermore, oximeters with long averaging times, or algorithms designed to automatically remove movement artefact, are more likely to record false-negative results.

Polysomnography

Polysomnography is currently the gold standard for the detection and assessment of the severity of OSAS in children.^{14,15} Polysomnography is a simultaneous recording of multiple physiological parameters related to sleep and wakefulness (brain activity, eye movements, muscle activity, heartbeat, blood oxygen levels and respiration). Although polysomnography is often labelled as an expensive procedure, it is not significantly more expensive than other paediatric investigations, such as echocardiography. How-



*Monitor symptoms especially over winter and, if in doubt, perform oximetry



ever, a restricting factor may be the limited number of paediatric sleep facilities in Australia.

Deciding which children need treatment for OSAS

As new research reveals significant morbidity, such as learning deficits, potentially associated with primary snoring,⁶ the critical cut-off level for treatment of childhood OSAS is regularly being reviewed. Many clinicians screen children with overnight oximetry if they have a history suggestive of OSAS. Children with abnormal oximetry findings¹⁶ are referred for adenotonsillectomy. If the oximetry findings are normal and the clinical history is suggestive of OSAS, a polysomnogram will delineate the degree of upper-airway obstruction. Polysomnography is especially helpful for evaluating the risk of perioperative complications of adenotonsillectomy in high-risk children (*see* section on **Adenotonsillectomy**).

In children undergoing polysomnography, the current consensus is that those with an obstructive apnoea–hypopnoea index of more than five events per hour should be referred for adenotonsillectomy, while surgical treatment is generally considered optional for those with an apnoea–hypopnoea index of three to five per hour. The latter group require ongoing clinical monitoring, with the recommendation for or against surgery more likely to be modified by the clinical history and physical findings.

Important issues that will improve the assessment of children for surgery include standardising the analysis and interpretation of

paediatric sleep studies,¹⁴ and including factors other than just the obstructive apnoea–hypopnoea index, as it can be difficult to capture the severity of OSAS if interpretation is confined to a simple score. Children have a predisposition to long periods of obstructive hypoventilation rather than discrete apnoeas. This means that cut-off levels for apnoea–hypopnoea indices that reflect disease significance are generally much lower than for equivalent studies in adults.

Treatment and outcomes

Adenotonsillectomy

Adenotonsillectomy is the most appropriate therapy for most children with OSAS, and may significantly reduce their behavioural, learning and neurocognitive deficits.^{17,18} In a cohort of 297 first graders who were performing poorly academically, Gozal found a 6- to 9-fold increase in the prevalence of OSAS. Adenoton-sillectomy in the children who had OSAS resulted in a significant improvement in their academic performance in the following year,⁵ but there was no such improvement in those with OSAS whose parents declined treatment.

Lipton and Gozal, in a recent extensive review of the outcomes of adenotonsillectomy,¹⁹ reported the cumulative "cure" rate to be about 80%. ("Cure" is disappearance of symptoms and normalisation of overnight respiratory parameters.) They acknowledged that studies published to date are generally from tertiary referral centres and therefore more likely to include children with coexisting morbidities or underlying abnormalities. Outcomes in non-tertiary settings have not been well studied.²⁰

The same review found morbidity rates of 18%–34% in children with OSAS having adenotonsillectomy,¹⁹ suggesting that the cost–benefit ratio for individual children needs to be carefully assessed. Complications that can occur in the postoperative period include upper-airway obstruction secondary to oedema, immediate or delayed haemorrhage, and pulmonary oedema. The risk of postoperative complications is highest in young children (ie, younger than 3 years) and those with severe OSAS (especially when combined with failure to thrive, right ventricular hypertrophy, and a history of prematurity), and in those with craniofacial abnormalities, especially midface hypoplasia.¹⁸ It is important that surgery in children who are at increased risk of postoperative complications is undertaken in units with specialised intensive care facilities.

Despite this, as the tonsils and adenoids represent a spaceoccupying lesion in the oropharynx, and the site of the adenotonsillar tissue is the commonest location of airway occlusion,²¹ adenotonsillectomy (rather than just the removal of the tonsils or adenoids) is the treatment of choice for childhood OSAS.

Other surgical strategies for complex OSAS

In children with "complex OSAS" (eg, Down's syndrome, craniofacial syndromes) tailored surgical solutions may also be undertaken. These include a variety of surgical techniques such as mandibular advancement (distraction) in Treacher Collins syndrome, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty in cerebral palsy, and partial glossectomy in Beckwith syndrome. A combination of surgical techniques may be required to alleviate OSAS. Pre- and postoperative polysomnography is required to objectively confirm the outcomes.

Case study — a 5-year-old boy with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (OSAS)

A mother brings her 5-year-old son to you, as she is concerned about his loud snoring. He has been snoring for 2 years, but it is gradually becoming louder. Although she is unaware of whether or not her son has obstructive apnoeas, she is anxious about his breathing during sleep. She often sits up to watch him breathe before retiring herself. The mother reports that the boy's teacher at school says that her son has a poor concentration span and is easily distracted in class. Both the father and grandfather snore loudly, but neither has sought medical advice or investigation.

Management

- On examination, you find that the boy has relatively small tonsils and you initially reassure his mother. However, as his symptoms persist, you refer him to a paediatric respiratory physician.
- An overnight home oximetry study shows only mild desaturations that appear to be associated with movement.
- A lateral x-ray of the neck confirms adenoidal hypertrophy but a patent postnasal space.
- A polysomnogram shows an obstructive respiratory disturbance index of nine per hour.
- Based on the data from the polysomnogram, the boy undergoes adenotonsillectomy.

After the operation, the boy's snoring resolves, his behaviour and concentration improve, and his mother describes him as a "different boy".

At the 1-year follow-up, the boy's mother reports that his snoring has returned. You resume frequent clinical monitoring, and subsequently refer him for repeat polysomnography, as his history once again suggests the presence of OSAS.

If polysomnography confirms that OSAS has returned, and a lateral neck x-ray shows that his adenoids have not regrown, the use of night-time continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) support will be considered.

Nasal steroids

Data on the usefulness of nasal steroids in childhood upper-airway obstruction is limited. In a cohort of 25 children with moderate OSAS, nasal steroids (fluticasone) for a 6-week period reduced mean overnight oxygen desaturation episodes by over 50%; however, by the conclusion of the study, 46% of those treated with nasal steroids required adenotonsillectomy.²² The role of this therapy is yet to be defined, but some authorities argue that a trial of nasal steroid administration may be worthwhile in children with less severe OSAS. The optimal duration of treatment is not known.

Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)

Positive pressure support is usually reserved for children with persistent OSAS despite adenotonsillectomy, or for those with specific contraindications to surgery. Unlike adenotonsillectomy, it is not curative and may need to be used for many years. Treatment success depends on the expertise of an experienced "sleep team" that adjusts an appropriate mask to fit the child and optimise comfort, avoiding complications such as air leaks or pressure sores. The requisite pressure to correct upper-airway obstruction during sleep is titrated during polysomnography. This method of treatment has been successful in children from infancy to teenage years, but depends in large measure on appropriate training of parents and children²³ and regular followup. In children who have difficulty in breathing against the CPAP, bilevel ventilation is often successful. Bilevel ventilation allows positive pressure support on inspiration, which, unlike with CPAP, falls on expiration. Some concern exists regarding the potential for a CPAP mask, used from an early age, to cause midface hypoplasia, although the evidence is limited.²⁴

Overnight oxygen supplementation

Although supplemental oxygen abolishes desaturation episodes associated with OSAS, it does not treat the underlying obstruction. In addition, oxygen therapy can exacerbate hypercarbia. Despite these caveats, it may be useful in some children in whom other treatments have not been successful; for example, a child may still have symptoms of ongoing upper-airway obstruction after adenotonsillectomy, and may not tolerate CPAP.

Conclusions

What we now know

• OSAS is common and is associated with significant childhood morbidities. The most frequent presenting symptom is snoring.

• Adenotonsillectomy is the most appropriate therapy in most children with OSAS, and OSAS is a clear (and increasingly common) basis for undertaking such surgery. However, the indications for surgery are now more stringent because of the risk of operative complications.

• Accurate diagnosis of the presence and severity of upper-airway obstruction is essential.

• The gold standard for diagnosing OSAS is polysomnography, which can provide valuable information regarding the need for surgery and risks likely to be associated with surgery.

Evidence-based practice tips

- Primary snoring cannot be confidently distinguished from obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome on clinical history alone (III-2).^{11,12}
- The risk of upper airway obstruction does not depend on the size of the tonsils or adenoids *per se*, but on their relative size compared with that of the upper airway (III-2).³
- Polysomnography is the gold standard method of detecting and assessing the severity of obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome in children (III-2).^{14,15}
- Adenotonsillectomy is the treatment of choice in children with proven obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome (III-2).^{17,18}
- There is a higher risk of perioperative problems in children who have severe obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome, comorbid medical conditions such as midface hypoplasia, or are younger than 3 years of age (III-2).¹⁹
- Not all children with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome are "cured" by adenotonsillectomy. About 20% will need ongoing evaluation and, possibly, further treatment (III-2).¹⁹

Levels of evidence (I–IV) are derived from the National Health and Medical Research Council's system for assessing evidence. 25

• Follow-up to ensure resolution of OSAS is becoming increasingly important, as we recognise that it is not uncommon for obstruction to persist, and as the consequences of untreated disease in children are better defined.

What we still need to find out

• What is the natural history of snoring and what are the effects of treatment for individual children over the whole age range?

• What is the "true" prevalence of OSAS, as the gold standard method of diagnosing it — polysomnography — is an expensive and time-consuming tool for large-scale epidemiological studies?

• What degrees of severity of upper-airway obstruction lead to morbidity requiring treatment?

• What is the cost–benefit ratio of adenotonsillectomy in individual children?

• Are the deficits in neurocognitive function associated with sleep-disordered breathing fully correctable?

References

- 1 Ali NJ, Pitson DJ, Stradling JR. Snoring, sleep disturbance and behaviour in 4-5 year olds. Arch Dis Child 1993; 68: 360-366.
- 2 Gislason T, Benediktsdottir B. Snoring, apneic episodes, and nocturnal hypoxemia among children 6 months to 6 years old. An epidemiologic study of lower limit of prevalence. *Chest* 1995; 107: 963-966.
- 3 Wang RC, Elkins TP, Keech D, et al. Accuracy of clinical evaluation in pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1998; 118: 69-73.
- 4 Marcus CL. Sleep-disordered breathing in children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001; 164: 16-30.
- 5 Gozal D. Sleep-disordered breathing and school performance in children. *Pediatrics* 1998; 102: 616-620.
- 6 Blunden S, Lushington K, Kennedy D, et al. Behaviour and neurocognitive performance in children aged 5-10 years who snore compared with controls. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2000; 22: 554-568.
- 7 Engleman HM, Kingshott RN, Martin SE, Douglas NJ. Cognitive function in the sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome (SAHS). *Sleep* 2000; 23 Suppl 4: S102-S108.
- 8 Kennedy JD, Blunden S, Hirte C, et al. Reduced neurocognition in children who snore. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2004; 37: 330-337.
- 9 Gozal D, O'Brien LM. Snoring and obstructive sleep apnoea in children: Why should we treat? *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2004; 5: S371-376.
- 10 Urschitz MS, Guenther A, Eggebrecht E, et al. Snoring, intermittent hypoxia and academic performance in primary school children. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med* 2003; 168: 464-468.

- 11 Carroll JL, McColley SA, Marcus CL, et al. Inability of clinical history to distinguish primary snoring from obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children. *Chest* 1995; 108: 610-618.
- 12 Suen JS, Arnold JE, Brooks LJ. Adenotonsillectomy for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in children. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1995; 121: 525-530.
- 13 Brouillette RT, Morielli A, Leimanis A, et al. Nocturnal pulse oximetry as an abbreviated testing modality for pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Pediatrics* 2000; 105: 405-412.
- 14 American Thoracic Society. Standards and indications for cardiopulmonary sleep studies in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 153: 866-878.
- 15 American Academy of Pediatrics. Clinical practice guideline: diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2002; 109: 704-712.
- 16 Nixon GM, Kermack AS, Davis GM, et al. Planning adenotonsillectomy in children with obstructive sleep apnea: the role of overnight oximetry. *Pediatrics* 2004; 113 (1 Pt 1): e19-25.
- 17 Ali NJ, Pitson D, Stradling JR. Sleep disordered breathing: effects of adenotonsillectomy on behaviour and psychological functioning. *Eur J Pediatr* 1996; 155: 56-62.
- 18 Friedman BC, Hendeles-Amitai A, Kozminsky E, et al. Adenotonsillectomy improves neurocognitive function in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep* 2003; 26: 999-1005.
- 19 Lipton AJ, Gozal D. Treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in children: do we really know how? *Sleep Med Rev* 2003; 7: 61-80.
- 20 Lim J, McKean M. Adenotonsillectomy for obstructive sleep apnoea in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; (1): CD003136.
- 21 Arens R, McDonough JM, Corbin AM, et al. Upper airway size analysis by magnetic resonance imaging in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; 167: 65-70.
- 22 Brouillette RT, Manoukian JJ, Ducharme FM, et al. Efficacy of fluticasone nasal spray for pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *J Pediatr* 2001; 138: 838-844.
- 23 Waters KA, Everett FM, Bruderer JW, Sullivan CE. Obstructive sleep apnea: the use of nasal CPAP in 80 children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152: 780-785.
- 24 Li KK, Riley RW, Guilleminault C. An unreported risk in the use of home nasal continuous positive airway pressure and home nasal ventilation in children: mid-face hypoplasia. *Chest* 2000; 117: 916-918.
- 25 National Health and Medical Research Council. How to use the evidence: assessment and application of scientific evidence. Handbook series on preparing clinical practice guidelines. Table 1.3: Designation of levels of evidence. Canberra: NHMRC, February 2000: 8. Available at: www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/pdf/cp69.pdf (accessed Mar 2005).

(Received 22 Jun 2004, accepted 5 Jan 2005)