

## **Supporting Information**

### **Supplementary methods and results**

This appendix was part of the submitted manuscript and has been peer reviewed. It is posted as supplied by the authors.

Appendix to: Bell KJL, Li Y, Medcalf E, Ackermann D. COVID-19 rapid antigen tests approved for selftesting in Australia: published diagnostic test accuracy studies and manufacturer-supplied information. A systematic review. *Med J Aust* 2023; doi: 10.5694/mja2.52151.

#### Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for our systematic review





### Figure 2. Risk of bias of the included published studies





Test information:

1. All Test COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test (Oral fluid): <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-oral-fluid-01.pdf</u>

2. SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Self Test (Roche): <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-352250.pdf</u>

3. Flowflex SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Rapid Test: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-382031-02.pdf</u>

4. MP Biomedicals Rapid SARS-COV-2 Antigen Test Card: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-08/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-01-373711.pdf</u>

5. Clungene COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-333341.pdf</u>

6. Panbio COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test Device: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-345192.pdf</u> <u>https://www.globalpointofcare.abbott/gb/en/product-details/panbio-covid-19-ag-antigen-test.html</u>

7. BinaxNOW COVID-19 Antigen Card Self-Test:

https://globalpointofcare.eifu.abbott/content/dam/ardx/eifu/iddm/scarborough/IN195150WEB%20v6.0%20BinaxNOW%20COVI D-19%20Antigen%20Self%20Test%20Product%20Insert%20-%20English%20US.pdf

8. V-Chek COVID-19 Antigen Saliva Test: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-374065.pdf</u>

9. Whistling 2019-nCoV Saliva Ag EASY TEST: <u>https://www.ridacom.com/en/products/view/7606</u> (Removed from TGA website as cancelled by sponsor (ARTG:391125)

10. Ecotest COVID-19 Antigen Saliva Test Kit: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/covid-19-rapid-antigen-self-tests-are-approved-australia-ifu-372335.pdf</u>

# Table 1. Specificity of TGA-approved COVID-19 rapid antigen self-tests in published studies and in manufacturer supplied information

Author (publication year), country	Rapid antigen test (RAT)	Sample size*	RT-PCR- positive	Specificity reported by study	Specificity reported by manufacturer	Difference (percentage points)
Unsupervised sample collection						
Zwart (2022), <sup>16</sup> Netherlands	Roche SARS-CoV-2 Rapid Antigen Test (oropharyngeal and nasal)	2192	152	99.7%	100%	0.3
Stohr (2022), <sup>17</sup> Netherlands	Roche SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Self- Test (nasal)	1583	192	99.7%	100%	0.3
Møller (2022), <sup>18</sup> Denmark	Panbio COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test Device (nasal)	388	0	99.7%	99.8%	0.1
lftner (2022), <sup>19</sup> Germany	Clungene COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test (nasal, researcher interpretation)	478	0	97.9%	100%	2.1
	Clungene COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test (nasal, participant interpretation)	476	0	97.9%	100%	2.1
Schuit (2022), <sup>20</sup> Netherlands	All Test COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test (oral fluid)	2803	182	99.0%	99.3%	0.3
	Roche SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Self- Test (nasal)	2819	180	99.5%	100%	0.5
Schuit (2022), <sup>21</sup> Netherlands	Flowflex SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Rapid Test (nasal)	341	145	98.5%	99.5%	1
	MP Biomedicals Rapid SARS-COV- 2 Antigen Test Card (nasal)	581	169	99.3%	100%	0.7
	MP Biomedicals Rapid SARS-COV- 2 Antigen Test Card (oropharyngeal and nasal)	255	88	98.2%	100%	1.8
Venekamp (2023), <sup>22</sup> Netherlands	Flowflex SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Rapid Test (nasal)	1229	193	99.8%	99.5%	-0.3
	MP Biomedicals Rapid SARS-COV- 2 Antigen Test Card (nasal)	1027	115	99.8%	100%	0.2
Supervised sample collection						
Shah (2021), <sup>23</sup> USA	BinaxNOW COVID-19 Antigen Card Self-Test (nasal)	2110	334	99.6%	99.8% <sup>2</sup>	0.2
Klein (2021), <sup>24</sup> Germany	Panbio COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test Device (nasopharyngeal)	290	45	99.2%	99.8%	0.6
DeMeyer (2022), <sup>25</sup> Belgium	V-Chek COVID-19 Antigen Saliva Test (oral)	50	13	100%	98.4%	-1.6
	Whistling test 2019-nCoV Saliva Ag Easy Test (oral)	102	55	100%	99.2%	-0.8
Goodall (2022), <sup>26</sup> Canada	Panbio COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test Device (nasal)	825	62	100%	99.8%	-0.2
Landaverde (2022), <sup>27</sup> USA	BinaxNOW COVID-19 Antigen Card Self-Test <sup>‡</sup> (nasal)	209	54	100%	<b>99.8%</b> <sup>2</sup>	-0.2

Notes:

1. Sample sizes exclude participants where RAT or RT-PCR result was unclear/inconclusive.

2. Manufacturer reported specificity is for Panbio COVID-19 Antigen Rapid Test Device.

3. TGA: Therapeutic Goods Authority; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; Self-RAT: rapid antigen self-test; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

#### References

- 16 Zwart VF, van der Moeren, Stohr JJJM, et al. Performance of various lateral flow SARS-CoV-2 antigen self testing methods in healthcare workers: a multicenter study [preprint]. *medRxiv* 2022.01.28.22269783; 29 Jan 2022. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.22269783 (viewed Sept 2023).
- 17 Stohr JJJM, Zwart VF, Goderski G, et al. Self-testing for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection with rapid antigen tests for people with suspected COVID-19 in the community. Clin Microbiol Infect 2022; 28: 695-700.
- 18 Møller IJB, Utke AR, Rysgaard UK, et al. Diagnostic performance, user acceptability, and safety of unsupervised SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen-detecting tests performed at home. Int J Infect Dis 2022; 116: 358-364.
- 19 Iftner T, Iftner A, Pohle D, Martus P. Evaluation of the specificity and accuracy of SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen self-tests compared to RT-PCR from 1015 asymptomatic volunteers. *medRxiv* 2022.02.11.22270873; 13 Feb 2022. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.11.22270873 (viewed Sept 2023).
- 20 Schuit E, Venekamp RP, Veldhuijzen IK, et al. Head-to-head comparison of the accuracy of saliva and nasal rapid antigen SARS-CoV-2 self-testing: cross-sectional study. BMC Med 2022; 20: 406.
- 21 Schuit E, Venekamp RP, Hooft L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of covid-19 rapid antigen tests with unsupervised selfsampling in people with symptoms in the omicron period: cross sectional study. BMJ 2022; 378: e071215.
- 22 Venekamp RP, Schuit E, Hooft L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen self-tests in asymptomatic individuals in the omicron period: a cross-sectional study. Clin Microbiol Infect 2023; 29: 391.e1-391.e7.
- 23 Shah MM, Salvatore PP, Ford L, et al. Performance of repeat BinaxNOW severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 antigen testing in a community setting, Wisconsin, November 2020 December 2020. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 73 (Suppl 1): S54-S57.
- 24 Klein JAF, Krüger LJ, Tobian F, et al. Head-to-head performance comparison of self-collected nasal versus professional-collected nasopharyngeal swab for a WHO-listed SARS-CoV-2 antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic test. Med Microbiol Immunol 2021; 210: 181-186.
- 25 De Meyer J, Goris H, Mortelé O, et al. Evaluation of saliva as a matrix for RT-PCR analysis and two rapid antigen tests for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. Viruses 2022; 14: 1931.
- 26 Goodall BL, LeBlanc JJ, Hatchette TF, et al. Investigating the sensitivity of nasal or throat swabs: combination of both swabs increases the sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen tests. Microbiol Spectr 2022; 10: e0021722.
- 27 Landaverde L, Turcinovic J, Doucette-Stamm L, et al. Comparison of BinaxNOW and SARS-CoV-2 qRT-PCR detection of the omicron variant from matched anterior nares swabs. Microbiol Spectr 2022; 10: e0130722.