

Appendix 1

This appendix was part of the submitted manuscript and has been peer reviewed. It is posted as supplied by the authors.

Appendix to: Scott IA, Wakefield JB. Deciding when quality and safety improvement interventions warrant widespread adoption. *Med J Aust* 2013; 198: 408-410. doi: 10.5694/mja12.10858.

On-line appendix 1. Key issues that should have been addressed by QSII change theories

| Issue | Change strategies supported by multiple QSII evaluations ^{16,17} | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Convincing clinical teams | Using audit and benchmarking data, presented in clear and graphical formats, which indicate care is suboptimal | | | | |
| that there is a quality and | Securing emotional engagement by using case studies and patient and staff narratives | | | | |
| safety problem | Demonstrating the relative advantage (both for patients and the organisation) in improving care by implement | | | | |
| | QSII | | | | |
| Convincing clinical teams | Retrieving and presenting evidence to support the QSII, with particular focus on case studies of successful | | | | |
| that QSII will work | implementation in similar settings | | | | |
| | Convening well-facilitated forums to debate and discuss evidence around QSII, including where possible 'live' | | | | |
| | (face to face or videoconferencing) testimonials from clinician leads in other implementation sites | | | | |
| | Defining clear design and implementation rationale that clarifies causal links between QSII and outcomes sought, | | | | |
| | while allowing for modification in response to new learnings | | | | |
| | Demonstrating that QSII will be either resource neutral or allow existing resources to be used more efficiently or | | | | |

| | capable of providing greater patient benefit | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Convincing clinical teams | Ensuring enough time for proper diagnostics of existing care and building teams and relationships, especially | | | | | |
| that QSII will translate to | when these start from a low base | | | | | |
| a tangible and sustainable | Procuring adequate financial support, infrastructure, training, managerial skills and dedicated time | | | | | |
| change in practice | Avoiding overly ambitious 'stretch goals' and jargon of 'transformative reform' which may alienate people or | | | | | |
| | cause disillusionment if aims are not quickly realised | | | | | |
| | Emphasising the objective of institutionalised change irrespective of future changes in organisational priorities | | | | | |
| | Avoiding over-reliance of QSII success on certain individuals and assumptions that QSII will simply sustain an | | | | | |
| | diffuse itself over time | | | | | |
| | Avoiding the QSII being perceived as a time-limited 'project' (or passing fad) | | | | | |
| Aligning the QSII with | Eliciting enthusiasm from senior managers by fitting QSII with strategic goals and organisational aspirations | | | | | |
| organisational context, | Involving front-line clinical teams in QSII implementation which ensure QSII remains focussed on patient care | | | | | |
| culture and capacities | priorities; this also assists dissemination activities | | | | | |
| | Seeking external support from professional societies or peers where local expertise and capacity are limited | | | | | |

| | Developing contingency plans for dealing with potential team instability due to changes in clinical, managerial | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | and support staff | | | | | |
| Overcoming conflict and | Forming QSII teams whose members are part of pre-existing networks or have prior history of collaboration | | | | | |
| lack of staff engagement | Ensuring QSII teams contain representatives from all key stakeholder groups likely to be affected by QSII | | | | | |
| | Applying rules of engagement and consensus building which avoid marginalisation of individual stakeholders | | | | | |
| | Adapting QSII, where reasonable, to the constraints of competing clinical and organisational demands arising | | | | | |
| | from constrained levels of staffing and resources | | | | | |
| | Anticipating resistance to QSII from desire to protect professional autonomy against externally led change by | | | | | |
| | tapping into professional norms and networks which are congruent with the QSII | | | | | |
| | Recruiting respected peers and opinion leaders to foster engagementand sense of ownership of QSII; avoiding | | | | | |
| | bombastic managerial approaches | | | | | |
| | Clarifying who owns the problem and potential solutions, agreeing roles and responsibilities from the outset, | | | | | |
| | working to common goals, using shared language and fostering a sense of community | | | | | |
| Using incentives to | Making full use of intrinsic professional motivation to improve by using peer-comparisons and feedback which | | | | | |

| accelerate change | demonstrate patient benefit arising from QSII | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Rewarding and publicly acknowledging successful QSII implementation | | | |
| | Ensuring any financial savings or efficiency dividends resulting from QSII are returned to those involved in QSII | | | |
| | implementation | | | |