Bariatric surgery: a call for greater access to coordinated surgical and specialist care in the public health system

The obesity epidemic has been escalating for over 30 years, but little attention has been paid to delivery of effective treatments in the public system

ith a trebling of incidence since 1975, the World Health Organization estimates that most of the world's population now live in countries where overweight and obesity kill more people than underweight.¹ The Australian Burden of Disease Study highlights obesity as the second largest risk for fatal disease and largest risk for non-fatal disease over the past 15 years. While death rates have been mitigated by a 35% increase in cardiovascular medicine prescriptions and a doubling of diabetes management therapies,³ our ability to successfully maintain this approach is likely to be overrun as Australian obesity rates climb to over 40% within a decade. Aside from the individual health impact, obesity

creates significant community cost implications — direct costs are estimated to be \$5.4 billion and indirect costs a further \$6.4 billion per year in Australia. Prevention would be ideal but current strategies have not been successful at scale. Yet precious little resources are allocated for specialist treatment of obesity, including surgery, in our public hospitals.

From a therapeutic perspective, even modest weight loss can be beneficial, with 5% total body weight loss (TBWL) conferring health benefit and 15% resolving metabolic syndrome.⁵ This degree of weight loss should be possible with a combination of dietary, exercise and behavioural modifications but unfortunately only 3% of people who lose weight successfully in this manner are able to maintain > 5% TBWL beyond 2 years. Adhering blindly to this approach ignores the now well accepted concept of weight homeostasis with upregulation of physiological pathways leading to hunger and a diminution of energy expenditure in response to weight loss. Return to starting weight becomes almost inevitable. Ignoring this biological reality perpetuates blame and obesity stigma, creating barriers to appropriate treatment, and results in poor health outcomes.8

The emergence of effective pharmacotherapies provides greater options for treatment. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists liragulatide (10–12% TBWL) and, in particular, semaglutide (15% TBWL) have been major advances.⁹

Bariatric surgery, however, remains the most durable and effective treatment for obesity, achieving in



most patients 20–30% TBWL for up to 20 years after surgery 10 and improving comorbidities and quality of life. Randomised trials have demonstrated bariatric surgery to be superior in the treatment and control of type 2 diabetes compared with medical management, 11 and improvements in sleep apnoea, metabolic syndrome, polycystic ovary syndrome, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and arthritic disease have been extensively documented 12 Most compellingly, matched cohort studies have demonstrated clear reduction in all-cause mortality for patients with obesity undergoing bariatric surgery, with particular reduction in cardiovascular and cancer death compared with their non-operated cohorts. 913 These health benefits translate to substantial health care cost savings, 14 the magnitude of which is equivalent to smoking

These positive health benefits are leading to a paradigm shift in the management of metabolic disease. An international consensus statement recommending incorporating earlier and more strategic use of bariatric surgery in the treatment of diabetes is a striking example. New algorithms for other metabolic diseases are likely to follow. For these reasons, many now advocate that bariatric surgery be renamed metabolic surgery as the target is not simply weight loss.

Yet for all that, although bariatric surgery has continued to grow in Australia, it remains predominantly out of reach for patients reliant on the public health system. Over 90% of all bariatric surgeries in Australia are performed in the private

Ahmad Aly^{1,2}
Michael L Talbot³ (D
Wendy A Brown^{4,5}

1 Austin Hospital, Melbourne, VIC. **2** University Of Melbourne, Melbourne,

3 St George Hospital, Sydney, NSW. **4** Monash University, Melbourne, VIC.

5 The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, VIC.

ahmad.aly@austin. org.au

doi: 10.5694/mja2.51673

Podcast with Ahmad Aly and Michael Talbot available at mja.com.au/ podcasts sector, with critically low access in the public health system. ^{16,17} In 2019, the national Bariatric Surgery Registry recorded 24 public hospitals performing bariatric surgeries but only 6 providing a significant volume (> 75 cases per year) (personal communication, Jenifer Cottrell, Operations Manager, Bariatric Surgery Registry). As few services are available, long waiting lists and delays for treatment are inevitable.

This inequity of access to care is alarming from an ethical, health and training standpoint. Obesity is of greatest frequency and severity in lower socioeconomic strata¹⁸ who are often wholly dependent on public health care. If bariatric surgery is now considered part of standard of care for some metabolic diseases, such as diabetes, 15 then we are denying appropriate care to a large proportion of the Australian community. Training, and quality and safety improvement predominantly occur in public hospitals, so if we wish to maintain and improve standards, we must bring surgery into the public training ground. Ensuring that clinicians of the future have access to training in obesity management will also help to reduce the well documented stigma that surrounds obesity as a disease.

Why is bariatric surgery so poorly provided for in the public health system? The specialty has grown quickly over the past 20 years, as attested by the number of procedures performed and increase in the number of surgeons offering services. ¹⁶ Therefore, it is not a question of surgical resources.

The few services that do exist in the public system have shown that bariatric surgery can be delivered in public hospitals safely and with weight loss and health outcomes similar to those seen in the bariatric surgical literature. ^{19,20} A recent publication examining long term data in a Medicare population in the United States found that patients undergoing bariatric surgery had significantly reduced risk of mortality, heart failure and stroke after 65 years of age, confirming that mortality benefit is not confined to paying patients. ²¹ Therefore, it is not a lack of proven efficacy of the treatment in the public setting.

Bariatric surgery is commonly perceived as being high risk and requiring resource intensive tertiary level facilities. Australian Bariatric Surgery Registry data on over 80% of all surgery performed document a significant adverse event rate of 2.4% for primary surgery. This is comparable to cholecystectomy. Further local data demonstrate that in well prepared patients, surgery can be delivered effectively and safely in a low acuity public hospital environment in substantial volume. This is despite the fact that people with obesity who receive care through the public system are typically older, heavier and have more obesity-related comorbidities. It is not a question of safety or intensive inpatient resource use.

The reasons for such poor provision of bariatric—metabolic surgery in the public system are varied and nuanced at each level of governance. Obesity stigma based on misperception of obesity as a self-inflicted lifestyle choice, a lack of understanding of the powerful physiology that drives weight regain,

and a misplaced belief that conservative treatment suffices persist among the general community, medical practitioners and health policymakers, leading to easy sidelining of service establishment at the local level.

Activity-based hospital funding models do not provide for costs associated with multidisciplinary care clinics required for comprehensive bariatric surgical care (eg, dietetics, psychology, exercise physiology) or preoperative preparation programs shown to improve surgical outcome.²³

Variability and lack of standardisation of access criteria, treatment pathways and models of care can create uncertainty in federal and state health governance. A national framework for public bariatric surgery from the Australian and New Zealand Metabolic and Obesity Surgery Society and Collective Public Bariatric Surgery Taskforce²⁴ is an attempt to address this concern and standardise care for the public system.

Additionally, at all levels of governance is the fear that providing unlimited access to such a highly prevalent disease would open the floodgates. If all patients who meet standard eligibility criteria were to undergo bariatric surgery indiscriminately in the public setting, we would need to operate on over 800 000 people. Result in unmanageable waiting lists and spiralling upfront costs.

Public hospitals are already under heavy strain and additional workload will represent a challenge, requiring careful triage. Who then should receive bariatric surgery in a resource constrained public hospital system? Importantly, can we more judiciously deliver it to those likely to benefit?

Like all health care rationalisation dilemmas, difficulty lies in defining greatest benefit.

There is no doubt, however, that current eligibility criteria warrant revision. Traditionally, eligibility for bariatric surgery is based on National Institutes of Health consensus criteria from 1992²⁵ and is body mass index (BMI) centric. This does not account well for the health impact of obesity or mortality risk posed to an individual.

An alternative approach is to consider mortality risk as a way of stratifying patients. The Edmonton Obesity Staging System, ²⁶ based on a large North American epidemiological dataset, is one such attempt. It stratifies patients suffering obesity (BMI > 30) into mortality risk groups according to metabolic disease parameters and functional impact of obesity including mental health. It has been shown to be a greater predictor of mortality than BMI alone. Basing eligibility criteria on such a defined hard endpoint may not be nuanced but offers an evidence-based outcome benefit approach to triage for surgery within the publicly funded setting. The national framework for public bariatric surgery²⁴ recommends this approach to selection criteria in the absence of other compelling data to judge relative outcome value.

A tiered approach, focusing on the weight loss required to improve health rather than starting BMI, could further refine when and how surgery

Perspectives

is used.²⁷ Behavioural therapies could be primarily offered where 5% TBWL suffices to reduce risk, pharmacotherapy where 10–15% is needed, and bariatric surgery reserved for patients requiring > 15% TBWL or with resistant diabetes. Combined surgery and pharmacotherapy may provide greater efficacy and reduce need for revisional surgery. This accords with the concepts of value-based health care and personalised medicine, and potentially delivers more equitable resource allocation.

While greater access to bariatric surgery in public hospitals is greatly needed, the future demands the establishment of a structure that supports access to all current and future therapies in a multidisciplinary setting supporting health improvement for people with obesity rather than weight loss alone.

With due consideration, we believe it is eminently possible to provide specialist bariatric care across the public health system by defining value-based selection criteria and standardised care pathways combining all forms of obesity treatments. Not only will individuals benefit, the resultant reduction in diseases that had been caused by obesity will lead to system-wide health care savings.²⁸

To achieve this vision, however, a system-wide approach is required. Hospital networking to provide comprehensive care between high and low acuity centres for appropriately triaged patients and consistent eligibility criteria can provide accessible, local care with shared resource use. Commitment to provide purpose-specific funding, not simply activity-based funding, is needed to help establish supportive care around surgical activity and creation of shared care programs for ongoing treatment and follow-up. This will allow for sustainable delivery of specialist obesity care including surgery.

The past 2 years have seen our community focus almost wholly on a pandemic caused by an infectious disease. The obesity pandemic has now been escalating for over 30 years, yet little attention has been paid to effective treatments, or to how they could be delivered in the public system. No clinicians would be happy to deny their patients effective and potentially life-saving treatments, but unless we can find ways to advocate for the care of those living with severe obesity, they will continue to miss out and suffer the consequences. Perhaps now is the time to push for local change and ask "why not" for our patients. Continuing to ignore obesity in our health care system creates ongoing harm that we have the tools to correct.

Competing interests: No relevant disclosures.

Provenance: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access: Open access publishing facilitated by The University of Melbourne, as part of the Wiley – The University of Melbourne agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

© 2022 The Authors. *Medical Journal of Australia* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of AMPCo Pty Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

- 1 World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. 9 June 2021. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (viewed July 2022).
- 2 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2018 (Australian Burden of Disease Study Series No. 23; Cat. No. BOD 29). Canberra: AIHW. 2021. https://www.aihw.gov.au/getmedia/5ef18dc9-414f-4899-bb35-08e239417694/aihw-bod-29.pdf.aspx?inline=true (viewed July 2022).
- 3 Cieslik LK, Cresswell NR, Fineberg D, et al. Prescription trends and costs of diabetes medications in Australia between 2003 and 2019: an analysis and review of the literature. *Intern Med* J 2020; 52: 841-847
- 4 Obesity Collective. Australia's growing obesity epidemic. 2019. https://static1.squarespace.com/static/57e9ebb16a4963ef7adfafdb/t/5c9a8961f4e1fc9deceb1ae4/1553631602322/Obesity+Collective_Australias+Growing+Obesity+Epidemic+report+27+03+19.pdf (viewed July 2022).
- 5 Ooi GJ, Doyle L, Tie T, et al. Weight loss after laparoscopic adjustable gastric band and resolution of the metabolic syndrome and its components. *Int J Obesity* 2017; 41: 902-908.
- 6 Wing RR, Phelan S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 82 (1 Suppl): 222S-225S.
- 7 Sumithran P, Proietto J. The defence of body weight: a physiological basis for weight regain after weight loss. Clin Sci 2013; 124: 231-241.
- 8 Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. Weight discrimination and risk of mortality. *Psychol Sci* 2015; 26: 1803-1811.
- **9** Sjostrom L. Review of the key results from the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) trial a prospective controlled intervention study of bariatric surgery. *J Intern Med* 2013; 273: 219-234.
- 10 O'Brien PE, Hindle A, Brennan L, et al. Long-term outcomes after bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of weight loss at 10 or more years for all bariatric procedures and a single-centre review of 20-year outcomes after adjustable gastric banding. Obes Surg 2019; 29: 3-14.
- 11 Khorgami Z, Shoar S, Saber AA, et al. Outcomes of bariatric surgery versus medical management for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes Surg* 2019; 29: 964-974.
- 12 Kaul A, Sharma J. Impact of bariatric surgery on comorbidities. Surg Clin North Am 2011; 91: 1295-1312.
- 13 Syn NL, Cummings DE, Wang LZ, et al. Association of metabolic-bariatric surgery with long-term survival in adults with and without diabetes: a one-stage meta-analysis of matched cohort and prospective controlled studies with 174 772 participants. Lancet 2021; 397: 1830-1841.
- 14 Noparatayaporn P, Thavorncharoensap M, Chaikledkaew U, et al. Incremental net monetary benefit of bariatric surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis of cost-effectiveness evidences. Obes Surg 2021; 31: 3279-3290.
- **15** Rubino F, Nathan DM, Eckel RH, et al. Metabolic surgery in the treatment algorithm for type 2 diabetes: a joint statement by international diabetes organisations. *Diabetes Care* 2016; 39: 861-877.
- 16 Backman B, Brown D, Cottrell J, et al. The Bariatric Surgery Registry 2019/2020 annual report. Melbourne: Monash University, 2020. https://www.monash.edu/__data/assets/pdf_ file/0004/2582131/2021-Bariatric-Surgery-Registry_8th-Annual-Report_Amended_May.pdf (viewed July 2022).
- 17 Medical Services Advisory Committee. Public summary document: Application No. 1180r Review of items for the surgical treatment of obesity. Nov 2011. http://www.msac.gov.au/internet/msac/publishing.nsf/Content/7249121840E2563DCA25821200823415/\$File/1180r%20Public%20Summary%20Document.pdf (viewed July 2022).
- **18** Sharman MJ, Breslin MC, Kuzminov A, et al. Population estimates and characteristics of Australians potentially eligible for bariatric surgery: findings from the 2011-13 Australian Health Survey. *Aust Health Rev* 2018; 42: 429-437.
- 19 Burton P, Brown W, Chen R, et al. Outcomes of high-volume bariatric surgery in the public system. ANZ J Surg 2016; 86: 572-577

- 20 Clough A, Hamill D, Jackson S, et al. Outcome of three common bariatric procedures in the public sector. ANZ J Surg 2017; 87: 930-934
- 21 Mentias A, Aminian A, Youssef D, et al. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes after bariatric surgery in the Medicare population. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2022; 79: 1429-1437.
- 22 Newbold R, Craven A, Aly A. Efficacy of patient selection criteria for obesity surgery in a non-high-dependency unit/intensive care unit facility. *ANZ J Surg* 2021; 91: 1528-1533.
- 23 Brown WA, Burton PR, Shaw K, et al. A pre-hospital patient education program improves outcomes of bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg* 2016; 26: 2074-2081.
- 24 Australian and New Zealand Metabolic and Obesity Surgery Society, Collective Public Bariatric Surgery Taskforce. Public

- bariatric surgery: a national framework. 2020. https://anzmoss.com.au/wp-content/uploads/Public-Bariatric-Surgery-Framework_full-report.pdf (viewed July 2022).
- 25 Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity: National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1992; 55 (2 Suppl): 615S-619S.
- **26** Sharma AMP, R. Obesity is a sign over-eating is a symptom: an aetiological framework for the assessment and management of obesity. *Obes Rev* 2010: 11: 362-370.
- 27 Brown WA. Has the tide turned on bariatric surgery? *Br J Surg* 2022; 109: 395-396.
- 28 Chadwick C, Burton PR, Playfair J, et al. Potential positive effects of bariatric surgery on healthcare resource utilisation. *ANZ J Surg* 2021; 91: 2436-2442. ■





Brand new Medical/Retail spaces.

Upstate Commercial are now offering you an exclusive opportunity to secure your next commercial space in a highly sought-after location. We are pleased to present 13 different retail, office, or medical lots available for sale or lease. Located within The Belrose Collection luxury development, these rare opportunities won't last.

Positioned in an ideal location with 3 street frontages and right next door to Glenrose Village Shopping Centre.

- Internal sizes from $70m^2 3,443m^2$
- Total 163 car spaces. Ratio 1 car space per 21m²
- 3 3.2m ceiling heights
- Estimated completion date of mid 2024

For Sale and Lease.

Contact

Vincent West **0403 444 000**

Oliver Rosati **0428 877 888** To view more visit upstate.com.au

